INRIA

Project-Team Sydoco

SYstèmes Dynamiques, Optimisation et Commande Optimale

Rocquencourt

THEME NUM

Activity Report

2006

Table of contents

1. Team

Head of project-team
Frédéric Bonnans [DR Inria, HdR]

Administrative assistant
Martine Verneuille [AI Inria]

Research scientist
Housnaa Zidani [Enseignante chercheur, ENSTA]

Ph. D. student
Romain Apparigliato [EDF, Logilab]
Grégory Emiel [EDF, IMPA]
Audrey Hermant [DGA]
Stefania Maroso [MESR fellowship until Sept. 06, Ater at Paris VII since]
Nadia Megdich [Tunisian fellowship until Sept. 06, Ater at Paris XI since]
Elisabeth Ottenwaelter [IUT Paris]

Post-Doctorant fellow
Pierre Martinon [since November 1st]

Visiting scientist
Pablo Lotito [Pladema, Argentine, 2 weeks]
Hector Ramirez-Cabrera [Université du Chili]
Mikhail Solodov [IMPA - Rio de Janeiro, 1 week]
Claudia Sagastizábal [IMPA - Rio de Janeiro, 1 week]

Student intern
Matthieu Jardin [Ecole Polytechnique]

2. Overall Objectives

2.1. Overall Objectives

To develop new algorithms in deterministic and stochastic optimal control, and deal with associated applications, especially for aerospace trajectories and management for the power industries (hydroelectric resources, storage of gas and petroleum).

In the field of deterministic optimal control, our objective is to develop algorithms combining iterative fast resolution of optimality conditions (of the discretized problem) and refinement of discretization, through the use of interior point algorithms. At the same time we wish to study multiarcs problems (separations, rendezvous, formation flights) which necessitates the use of decomposition ideas.

In the field of stochastic optimal control, our first objective is to develop fast algorithms for problems of dimension two and three, based on fast computation of consistent approximations as well as splitting methods. The second objective is to link these methods to the stochastic programming approach, in order to deal with problems of dimensions greater than three.

3. Scientific Foundations

3.1. Scientific Foundations

For deterministic optimal control problems there are basically three approaches. The so-called direct method consists in an optimization of the trajectory, after having discretized time, by a nonlinear programming solver that possibly takes into account the dynamic structure; see Betts [32]. The indirect approach eliminates control variables using Pontryagin's maximum principle, and solves the resulting two-points boundary value problem by a multiple shooting method. Finally the dynamic programming approach solves the associated Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation, which is a partial differential equation of dimension equal to the number n of state variables. This allows to find the global minimum, whereas the two other approaches are local; however, it suffers from the curse of dimensionality (complexity is exponential with respect to n).

There are various additional issues: decomposition of large scale problems, simplification of models (leading to singular perturbation problems), computation of feedback solutions.

For stochastic optimal control problems there are essentially two approaches. The one based on the (stochastic) HJB equation has the same advantages and disadvantages as its deterministic counterpart. The stochastic programming approach is based on a finite approximation of uncertain events called a scenario tree (for problems with no decision this boils down to the Monte Carlo method). Their complexity is polynomial with respect to the number of state variables but exponential with respect to the number of time steps. In addition, various heuristics are proposed for dealing with the case (uncovered by the two other approaches) when both the number of state variables and time steps is large.

4. Application Domains

4.1. Application Domains

Aerospace trajectories (rockets, planes), automotive industry (car design), chemical engineering (optimization of transient phases, batch processes).

Storage and management, especially of natural and power resources, portfolio optimization.

5. Software

5.1. Software

We have presently two research softwares. The first is an implementation of interior point algorithms for trajectory optimization, and the second is an implementation of fast algorithms for bidimensional HJB equations of stochastic control.

6. New Results

6.1. Trajectory optimization

6.1.1. *Second-order Conditions for State Constrained Optimal Control*
Participants: F. Bonnans, A. Hermant.

We have studied state-constrained optimal control problems with only one control variable and one state constraint, of arbitrary order. We consider the case of finitely many boundary arcs and touch times. We obtain a theory of second-order conditions without gap, in which the difference between second-order necessary or sufficient conditions is only a change of an inequality into a strict inequality. This allows us to characterize the second-order quadratic growth condition, using the second-order information.

6.1.2. *Analysis of the shooting algorithm*

Participants: F. Bonnans, A. Hermant.

We have studied the shooting algorithm for optimal control problems with a scalar control and a regular scalar state constraint. Additional conditions are displayed, under which the so-called alternative formulation is equivalent to Pontryagin's minimum principle. The shooting algorithm appears to be well-posed (invertible Jacobian), iff (i) the no-gap second order sufficient optimality condition holds, and (ii) when the constraint is of order $q \geq 3$, there is no boundary arc. Stability and sensitivity results without strict complementarity at touch points are derived using Robinson's strong regularity theory, under a minimal second-order sufficient condition. The directional derivatives of the control and state are obtained as solutions of a linear quadratic problem. The result is published in [23].

6.1.3. *Structural stability of Pontryaguine extremals for first-order state constraints*

Participants: F. Bonnans, A. Hermant.

Assuming well posedness of a first-order state constraint and weak second-order optimality conditions (equivalent to uniform quadratic growth) we show that boundary arcs are structurally stable, and that touch point can either remain so, vanish or be transformed into a single boundary arc. This is the first result of this type. It follows that the shooting algorithm (properly adapted to the possible structural transformations) is well-posed in this case again.

The result is published in [23].

6.1.4. *Multidimensional singular arcs*

Participants: F. Bonnans, P. Martinon, E. Trélat (Univ. Orléans), J. Laurent-Varin (Direction des lanceurs, CNES Evry).

We just started in November 2006 a study of the multidimensional singular arc that can occur in the atmospheric flight of a launcher. The physical reason for not having a bang-bang control (despite the fact that the hamiltonian function is affine w.r.t. the control, is that aerodynamic forces may make a high speed ineffective. Our preliminary results suggest that we have an effective means for computing such extremals.

6.2. Antidiffusive schemes for first order HJB equations

Participants: N. Megdich, H. Zidani.

In the framework of the thesis of N. Megdich, we have continued the study of numerical schemes for HJB equations coming from optimal control problems with state constraints (RDV problems, target problems, minimal time). When some controlability assumptions are not satisfied, the solution of the HJB equation is discontinuous and the classical schemes, relying on finite diffrences or/and interpolation technics, provide poor quality approximation. In fact, these schemes lead to an increasing loss of precision around the discontinuities as well as for long time approximations. Hence, the numerical solution is unsatisfying for long time approximations even in the continuous case.

We prove the convergence of a non-monotone scheme for one-dimensional Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations of the form $u_t + \max_a (f(x,a)u_x) = 0$, $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$. The scheme is related to the HJB-Ultra-Bee scheme suggested in [1], [13], which has an anti-diffusive behavior, but where the convergence was not proved. In our approach we can consider discontinous initial data u_0. In particular we show a first-order convergence of the scheme, in L^1-norm (i.e. an error bounded by a constant times Δx where Δx is the mesh size) towards the viscosity solution. We also illustrate the non-diffusive behavior of the scheme on some numerical examples. A corresponding preprint is under preparation.

Let us stress on that our scheme is explicit and is non-monotone (neither ϵ-monotone in the sense of R. Abgrall [28]). As far as we know, there are few non-monotone scheme that has been proved to converge for HJ equations (see also Lions and Souganidis [37] for an implicit non-monotone scheme).

6.3. Numerical methods for HJB equation

6.3.1. *Splitting*

Participants: F. Bonnans, H. Zidani, E. Ottenwaelter.

We have continued the study of splitting algorithms for solving the HJB equation of stochastic control. We have clarified the issue of monotonicity and consistency of such algorithms. It appears unfortunately that monotonicity and consistency occur only under quite restrictive hypothesis.

6.3.2. *A numerical method for a stochastic impulse control problem. Some results on Howard algorithm*

Participants: F. Bonnans, S. Maroso, H. Zidani.

In the framework of the thesis of S. Maroso, we have studied an implementable scheme for solving the HJB equation for stochastic impulse control problem. Our scheme is based on the cascade approach that we have already used in [16], to study the error estimates for the numerical approximation of the impulse HJB equation. At each (each) step of our algorithm, we have to solve an obstacle problem. We suggest to perform this resolution by doing a given number of iterations of policy algorithm (also called Howard algorithm).

We also study some convergence results for the policy algorithm. More precisely, we give a simple proof of a superlinear convergence of the policy iterations when applied to solve a problem in the following setting:

$$\text{Max}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^N}(A(\alpha)X - f(\alpha);$$

here, the output is the vector $X \in I\!\!R^N$, $\mathcal{A}$ being a compact set, and for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^N$, $A(\alpha)$ is a monotone matrix and $f(\alpha)$ is a vector in $I\!\!R^N$. The main idea in our proof is the formulation of the Howard algorithm as a semi-smooth Newton's method applied to find the zero of the function F defined by:

$$F(X) := \text{Max}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^N}(A(\alpha)X - f(\alpha).$$

We prove also that the function F is differentiable in a weak sense (slant differentiability) [38], [36]

On the other hand, we prove that the Howard algorithm used for solving an obstacle problem:

$$\text{Max}(MX - b, X - \Psi) = 0,$$

is strictly equivalent to the Primal-dual algorithm introduced by Ito-Kunich [36]. For more details, see [10].

6.3.3. *Numerical approximation for a super-replication problem*

Participants: S. Maroso, H. Zidani.

In a financial market, consisting in a non-risky asset and some risky assets, people are interested to study the minimal initial capital needed in order to super-replicate a given contingent claim, under gamma constraints. Many authors have studied this problem in theoretical point of view [35], [33], [34].

In collaboration with O. Bokanowski[1], and B. Bruder[2], we study a super-replication problem in dimension 2. The main difficulty for this problem comes from the non-boundedness of the control set. First we give a characterisation of the value function ϑ as unique viscosity solution of an HJB equation:

---

[1]Lab. Jacque-Louis Lions, Paris 7
[2]Lab. Probabilités et modèles alátoires, Paris 7. Also at Soc. Générale

$$\Lambda^{-}\left(J(t,x,y,D\vartheta(t,x,y),D^{2}\vartheta(t,x,y))\right)=0, \qquad x,y \in (0,+\infty). \tag{1}$$

where J is a symmetric matrix differential operator associated to the Hamiltonian, and where $\Lambda^{-}(J)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of J.

The advantage of the above HJB equation lies on the fact that the operator J does not depend on the control variable, but the "non standard" form of the equation could lead us to think that it is not-useful. However, from standard calculations, we obtain a simple formulation of (1) in the following form:

$$\min_{\|\alpha\|=1} \alpha^{\mathsf{T}} J(t,x,y,D\vartheta(t,x,y),D^{2}\vartheta(t,x,y))\alpha=0, \qquad x,y \in (0,+\infty). \tag{2}$$

In this new formulation the variable α can be seen as a bounded control variable.

We study an approximation scheme for the equation (2) based on the generalized finite differences algorithm introduced in [6], [4]. We prove the existence, uniqueness of a bounded discrete solution. We also verify the monotonicity and stability of the scheme. Moreover, we give a consistance error approximation. Then by using the same arguments as in [30], we prove the convergence of the discrete solutions towards the value function ϑ, when the discretization step size tends to 0.

A preliminary version of this work is presented in the thesis of S. Maroso, while a complete version will be submitted as an INRIA report.

6.4. Short and middle term electricity production planning

6.4.1. *Efficient optimization technique for weekly unit commitment*
Participants: R. Apparigliato, J.P. Vial, R. Zorgati.

The Unit Commitment Problem (UCP) consists of defining the minimal-cost power generation schedule for a given set of power plants. Due to many complex constraints, the deterministic UCP, even in its deterministic version, is a challenging large-size, non-convex, non-linear optimization problem, but there exist nowadays efficient tools to solve it. For a very short term horizon, the deterministic UCP is satisfactory; it is currently used for the daily scheduling in an industrial way. For the two/four-week time horizon which we are concerned with, uncertainty become significant and cannot be ignored anymore, making it necessary to treat the UCP as a stochastic problem. Dealing with uncertainty introduces a level of complexity that is of an order of magnitude higher than in the deterministic case. Thus, there is a need to design new stochastic optimization techniques and models, that are implementable in an industrial context.

Contribution. Among possible tools, robust optimization offers promising opportunities. It has the very attractive property of leading to computationally tractable problems. To investigate this new approach, we focused our investigations on integrating the uncertainty on water inflows in the management of a hydraulic valley. To account for the progressive unfolding of uncertainty and the opportunity to take corrective, or recourse, actions, we modeled future decisions as linear functions of observed past inflows. These so-called *linear decision rules* restrict the field of possible future recourse but still capture a good deal of the adaptive feature of real-time management. We implemented the robust optimization approach on a small but representative valley with a one week horizon. We simulated the performance of the obtained on a large sample of randomly generated scenarios. In view of the lack of readily available alternatives, we benchmarked the robust optimization against a simple enough a deterministic policy with daily revision. The latter approach is quite close to operational practice: the one-day ahead controls are those obtained by optimizing a one-week deterministic model; the model is revised on a daily basis to account for the actual water levels in the reservoirs. We could have used a similar periodic review scheme with the robust optimization scheme, but, even though it is perfectly implementable at the operation level, it turned out to be computationally too expensive in the extensive simulation runs. This has put the robust optimization in a clear disadvantage with respect to the

deterministic policy with periodic review. Nevertheless, the robust approach reduces violations of the volume constraints from 75% to 95%. This improvement is obtained at the expense of modest 0.5% increase of the production cost in comparison with the cost of the deterministic technique. Two EDF's internal reports are in progress ([25],[26]).

In the newt year, we'll study the problem of management of the margin of production, defined as the assessment between the offer and the demand. This problem can be formulated as finding optimal decisions, according to an economical criterion, for hedging against supply shortage or for selling the positive margin of production. A EDF's report is in progress ([27]).

6.4.2. *Solving the French optimal power planning problem in the midterm via dynamic bundle methods*

Participants: G Emiel [edf, impa], C. Sagastizábal.

We are interested in the use of bundle methods to solve large-scale mixed-integer problems, possibly nonconvex. Specifically, we consider optimization problems arising in electrical power management. Given an electric generation mix, the aim is to minimize production costs subject to operating constraints of generation units and other external constraints, like network flow capacities. There are many different problems fitting such a large framework. In particular, the time horizon chosen for the scheduling highly determines the specificity of problems. Short, middle and long term decisions have their own peculiarities that need to be reflected in the modeling. While short term problems are generally modeled in a deterministic framework, for longer terms, inherent uncertainties may result in poor solutions if a deterministic model is still used. Consider for instance the French case, where winter demand has uncertainties reaching up to several thousands of MW. When comparing this value to typical peak loads (70000 MW), we see that for the modeling to yield any significant values, it must explicitly incorporate the stochastic nature of the problem. For the mid-term problem we are interested to solve, uncertainty is represented by a scenario tree, composed by many nodes representing all possible values of the demand, at each given time step.

A solution approach introduced in [29] based on stochastic Lagrangian relaxation, can be applied to solve this problem. However, since the relaxed constraints involve satisfaction of demand at each node, the dimension of the dual problem increases with the size of the scenario tree. In addition, demand constraints are formulated as 3 different equations at each node, corresponding to 3 blocks: base, average, and peak demand. As a result, the dual dimension becomes very soon too big to be dealt with (from the nondifferentiable point of view, a problem with more than 10^5 variables is considered "large scale".

Instead of dualizing all the constraints at once, an alternative approach is to choose at each iteration *subsets* of constraints to be dualized. In this dynamical relaxation, subsets J have cardinality $|J|$ much smaller than the original one. As a result, the corresponding dual function is manageable from the nondifferentiable optimization point of view.

Such dynamic relaxation could be done according to some rule depending on which multipliers are active, for example (i.e., analyzing at which nodes and blocks the demand is not satisfied). From the dual point of view, this approach yields multipliers with varying dimensions and a dual objective function that changes along iterations.

Based on [31], we discuss how to apply a bundle dynamic methodology to solve this kind of dual problems, putting a particular emphasis on the specific structure of the considered power management problem. We also investigate alternative approaches, related to the so-called *incremental* methods.

Work in progress.

7. Contracts and Grants with Industry

7.1. Aerospace applications

We are finalizing a contract with CNES whose subject is the optimization of launcher trajectories when the atmospheric flight may have a singular arc.

7.2. Electricity production planning

We have two contracts with EDF, related to the CIFRE theses of G. Emiel et R. Apparigliato.

7.3. Trajectory optimization

We have agreements of cooperation with Onera and CNRS concerning the studies on transfer or orbits for low-thrust satellites, and optimal trajectories for future launchers.

8. Other Grants and Activities

8.1. International collaborations

- With Claudia Sagastizábal, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro : we are currently analysing some approaches for stochasting programming, with application to the production of electricity.

8.2. Visiting Scientists

C. Sagastizábal and Mikhail Solodov (IMPA - Brazil), Hector Ramirez-Cabrera (DIM - Chile), Pablo Lotito (Argentina).

9. Dissemination

9.1. Teaching

- F. Bonnans
    1. Associate Professor , Ecole Polytechnique (50 h) , and Course on Continuous Optimization, Mastere de Math. et Applications, Filière "OJME", Optimisation, Jeux et Modélisation en Economie, Université Paris VI (18 h).
    2. CIMPA School on Applied Math, 28 aout-8 sept. 2006, Castro Urdiales, Cantabria (Espagne). Satellite activity of International Congress of Matematics 2006. Course on "Optimal control of ordinary differential equations" (7h).

- A. Hermant
    1. TD (8h) et TP (4h) du cours "Optimisation quadratique" de première année de l'ENSTA
    2. TP (8h) du cours "Contrôle des EDP" de troisième année de l'ENSTA.

- S. Maroso
    1. Supervision of numerical work (4h) and exercices (8h), "Quadratic Optimization" course, first year at ENSTA.
    2. Supervision of exercices (10h), "Differentiable Optimization" course, second year at ENSTA.

- H. Zidani - Professeur at ENSTA (70h)
    1. "Quadratic Optimization" course, first year at ENSTA.
    2. "Front propagation" course, third year at ENSTA.
    3. Optimal Control and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations", third year at ENSTA.

- N. Megdich

1. Supervision of numerical work (4h) and exercices (8h), "Quadratic Optimization" course, first year at ENSTA.
2. Course "Linear Control Systems" (30h), Master (1st year) University of Paris-Sud XI.

9.2. Conference and workshop committees, invited conferences

- The Veszprém Optimization Conference: Advanced Algorithms (VOCAL). December 13-15, 2006, Veszprém, Hungary F. Bonnans, invited plenary speaker
- Eccomas 2006 Egmond aan Zee, The Netherlands, September 5-8, 2006. F. Bonnans, member of Scientific Committee.
- XIX ISMP : International Symposium on Mathematical Programming. Rio de Janeiro, July 30-August 4, 2006. F. Bonnans, International Programme Committee.

  G. Emiel (attendant).

  Talk by F. Bonnans "Second-Order Optimality Conditions and Sensitivity Analysis for State-Constrained Otimal Control Prolems".
- New Trends in Viscosity Solutions and Nonlinear PDE. Lisbonne July 24-28.

  Talks by S. Maroso : "Error estimates for stochastic control problem with unbounded control" and N. Megdich : "An anti-dissipative fast method for control problems with state constraints".
- ROCOND: Robust Control Design. July 5-7, - Toulouse. F. Bonnans, member of scientific committee.
- Workshop on Advances in Continuous Optimization, Reykjavik, Iceland, 30 June-1 July 2006. Talk by A. Hermant : "No-gap Second-order Optimality Conditions for State Constrained Optimal Control Problems".

  Minisymposium "Quantitative Methods for Hamilton-Jacobi Equations and Applications", Torino 04/07/2006. H. Zidani invited speaker.
- EURO XXI: 21st European Conference on Operational Research. Reykjavik, Iceland, July 2-5, 2006. Talk by F. Bonnans "Fast computation of the leastcore and prenucleolus of cooperative games".
- 13th IFAC Workshop on Control Applications of Optimisation CAO'06 . 26 - 28 April 2006, ENS Cachan, France. F. Bonnans, co-chair of International Programme Committee.
- Seminar of the 3$^{\text{rd}}$ Romand cycle of Operational Research organized by the Swiss Association of Operational Research, Federal Polytechnic School of Lausanne, HEC Genève and University of Fribourg, March 2006. R. Apparigliato, attendant. http://roso.epfl.ch/3emecycle/,
- Journée "Propagation de fronts et applications", CERMICS-ENPC 07/03/2006, H. Zidani, invited speaker.
- Conf. AMAMEF (finance), Rocquencourt, February 1-3, 2006. Talk by S. Maroso "Error estimates for a stochastic impulse control problem".
- Séminaire à Tours. S. Maroso "Analyse numrique d'un problme de contrle stochastique avec contrle non born".
- Seminar of Optimization, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro.

  Talk by G. Emiel "Solving the mid-term production planning problem of energy systems".

10. Bibliography

Major publications by the team in recent years

[1] O. BOKANOWSKI, H. ZIDANI. *Anti-duffisive schemes for linear advection and application to Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations*, in "J. Sci. Computing", 2005.

[2] J. F. BONNANS, J. C. GILBERT, C. LEMARÉCHAL, C. SAGASTIZÁBAL. *Numerical Optimization: Theoretical and Practical Aspects*, Second Edition, Springer Verlag, 2006.

[3] J. F. BONNANS, M. HADDOU. *Asymptotic analysis of congested communication networks*, in "Mathematics of Operations Research", Rapport de Recherche Inria 3133, 1997, n° 25-3, 2000, p. 409-426, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00073556.

[4] J. BONNANS, E. OTTENWAELTER, H. ZIDANI. *Numerical schemes for the two dimensional second-order HJB equation*, in "ESAIM: M2AN", vol. 38, 2004, p. 723-735.

[5] J. F. BONNANS, A. SHAPIRO. *Perturbation analysis of optimization problems*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[6] J. F. BONNANS, H. ZIDANI. *Consistency of generalized finite difference schemes for the stochastic HJB equation*, in "SIAM J. Numerical Analysis", vol. 41, 2003, p. 1008-1021.

[7] J. F. BONNANS, H. ZIDANI. *Consistency of Generalized Finite Difference Schemes for the Stochastic HJB Equation*, in "SIAM J. Numerical Analysis", vol. 41, n° 3, 2003, p. 1008-1021.

Year Publications

Books and Monographs

[8] J. F. BONNANS. *Optimisation continue*, 325 pages, Dunod, 2006.

[9] J. F. BONNANS, J. C. GILBERT, C. LEMARÉCHAL, C. SAGASTIZÁBAL. *Numerical Optimization: theoretical and numerical aspects*, Universitext Series, 485 pages, second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

Doctoral dissertations and Habilitation theses

[10] S. MAROSO. *Analyse numérique de problème de contrôle stochastique*, Ph. D. Thesis, Université Paris VI - Pierre et Marie Curie, December 7, 2006.

Articles in refereed journals and book chapters

[11] O. BOKANOWSKI, S. MAROSO, H. ZIDANI. *Error estimates for stochastic differential game: the adverse stopping case*, in "IMA J. Num. Anal.", vol. 28, 2006, p. 188–212.

[12] 0. BOKANOWSKI, S. MARTIN, R. MUNOS, H. ZIDANI. *An anti-diffusive scheme for viability problems*, in "Applied Numerical Mathematics", vol. 56, n[o] Issue 9, in Numerical Methods for Viscosity Solutions and Applications, 2006, p. 1135-1254.

[13] O. BOKANOWSKI, N. MEGDICH, H. ZIDANI. *An adaptative antidissipative method for optimal control problems*, in "ARIMA", vol. 5, n[o] Special Issue TAM TAM'05, 2006, p. 253-268.

[14] J. F. BONNANS, A. HERMANT. *Conditions d'optimalité du second ordre nécessaires ou suffisantes pour les problèmes de commande optimale avec une contrainte sur l'état et une commande scalaire*, in "Notes aux Comptes-Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris", vol. Sér. I 343, 2006, p. 473–478.

[15] J. F. Bonnans, J. Laurent-Varin. *Computation of order conditions for symplectic partitioned Runge-Kutta schemes with application to optimal control*, in "Numerische Mathematik", vol. 103-1, 2006, p. 1–10.

[16] J. F. Bonnans, S. Maroso, H. Zidani. *Error estimates for a stochastic impulse control problem*, in "Applied Mathematics and Optimization", to appear, 2006.

[17] N. Bérend, J. F. Bonnans, M. Haddou, J. Laurent-Varin, C. Talbot. *An Interior-Point Approach to Trajectory Optimization*, in "J. Guidance, Control and Dynamics", to appear, 2006.

Publications in Conferences and Workshops

[18] S. Adam, J. F. Bonnans, R. Paraisy, S. Veyrat. *Application of convex lexicographical optimization to the balance of GRTgaz gas grid*, in "Proc. Operation Research Models and Methods in the Energy Sector Conference (ORMMES 2006), Coimbra, Portugal", Sept. 6-8, 2006.

[19] J. André, J. F. Bonnans, L. Cornibert. *Planning reinforcement on gas transportation networks with optimization methods*, in "Proc. Operation Research Models and Methods in the Energy Sector Conference (ORMMES 2006), Coimbra, Portugal", Sept. 6-8, 2006.

[20] N. Bérend, J. F. Bonnans, M. Haddou, J. Laurent-Varin, C. Talbot. *Fast Linear Algebra for Multiarc Trajectory Optimization*, in "Large Scale Nonlinear Optimization", Springer Verlag, Proc. Erice Workshop, Non convex optimization and its applications series, 2006.

Internal Reports

[21] J. F. Bonnans, M. André. *Fast computation of the leastcore and prenucleolus of cooperative games*, Rapport de Recherche, n° 5956, INRIA-Rocquencourt, July 2006, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00087029.

[22] J. F. Bonnans, A. Hermant. *No-gap Second-order Optimality Conditions for Optimal Control Problems with a Single State Constraint and Control*, to appearin Mathematical Programming Series B, Special issue in the honor of S.M. Robinson, Rapport de Recherche, n° 5837, INRIA-Rocquencourt, Feb. 2006, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00070189.

[23] J. F. Bonnans, A. Hermant. *Stability and Sensitivity Analysis for Optimal Control Problems with a First-order State Constraint*, Rapport de Recherche, INRIA, Rocquencourt, July 2006, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00087573.

[24] J. F. Bonnans, A. Hermant. *Well-Posedness of the Shooting Algorithm for State Constrained Optimal Control Problems with a Single Constraint and Control*, Rapport de Recherche, n° 5589, INRIA-Rocquencourt, April 2006, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00070418.

Miscellaneous

[25] R. Apparigliato, J. Vial, R. Zorgati. *Management of a hydraulic valley by robust optimization*, In preparation.

[26] J. Vial, R. Apparigliato. *A short introduction of the linear robust optimization*, In preparation.

[27] R. Zorgati, R. Apparigliato, L. Andrieu. *Weekly management of the production: Margin, Risk and Hedging*, In preparation.

References in notes

[28] S. Augoula, R. Agbrall. *High order numerical discretization for Hamilton-Jacobi equations on triangular meshes*, in "J. Sci. Comput.", vol. 15, n° 2, 2000, p. 197–229.

[29] L. Bacaud, C. Lemaréchal, A. Renaud, C. Sagastizábal. *Bundle methods in stochastic optimal power management: a disaggregated approach using preconditioners*, in "Computational Optimization and Applications", n° 20(3), 2001, p. 227-244.

[30] G. Barles, P. Souganidis. *Convergence of approximation schemes for fully nonlinear second order equations*, in "Asymptotic Analysis", vol. 4, 1991, p. 271–283.

[31] A. Belloni, C. Sagastizábal. *Dynamic Bundle Methods*, 2004, http://www.optimization-online.org/DB_HTML/2004/08/925.html.

[32] J. Betts. *Practical methods for optimal control using nonlinear programming*, in "Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM)", 2001.

[33] B. Bruder. *Superreplication of European options with a derivative asset under constrained finite variation strategies*, preprint, 2005.

[34] P. Cheridito, H. Soner, N. Touzi. *The multi-dimensional super-replication problem under gamma constraints*, in "Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré: Analyse Non Linéaire", To appear.

[35] J. Cvitanic, H. Pham, N. Touzi. *Super-replication in stochastic volatility models with portfolio constraints*, in "Journal of Applied Probability", vol. 36, 1999, p. 523–545.

[36] K. Ito, K. Kunisch. *Newton's method for a class of weakly singular optimal control problems*, in "SIAM J. Optim.", vol. 10, 2000, p. 896–916.

[37] P. Lions, P. Souganidis. *Convergence of MUSCL and filtered schemes for scalar conservation laws and Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, in "Numer. Math.", vol. 69, 1995, p. 441–470.

[38] M. Ulbrich. *Semismooth Newton metods for operator equations in function spaces*, in "SIAM J. Optim", vol. 13, n° 3, 2003, p. 805–841.