

INSTITUT NATIONAL DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE ET EN AUTOMATIQUE

# Team MAGNOME

# Models and Algorithms for the Genome

Bordeaux - Sud-Ouest



# **Table of contents**

1.	Team	<mark>1</mark>
2.	Overall Objectives	<mark>1</mark>
	2.1. Overall Objectives	1
	2.2. Highlights of the year	2
<b>3.</b>	Scientific Foundations	<b>2</b>
	3.1. Introduction	2
	3.2. Comparative Genomics	3
	3.3. Data-mining and Data Integration	4
	3.4. Modeling and Formal Methods	5
4.	Application Domains	<mark>6</mark>
	4.1. Comparative Genomics of Yeasts	6
	4.2. Construction of Biological Networks	6
	4.3. Modeling Biological Systems	7
<b>5.</b>	Software	8
	5.1. Magus: Collaborative Genome Annotation	8
	5.2. Faucils: Analyzing Genome Rearrangement	9
	5.3. BioRica: Multi-scale Stochastic Modeling	9
	5.4. Génolevures On Line: Comparative Genomics of Yeasts	9
	5.5. ProViz: Visualization of Protein Interaction Networks	10
6.	New Results	11
	6.1. Gene fusions and fissions in fungal genomes	11
	6.2. Algorithms for genome rearrangements	11
	6.3. Computation of genome rearrangement trees	11
	6.4. Analysis of oenological genomes	12
	6.5. Evaluation of dynamic models	12
	6.6. Genome annotation	12
	6.7. Large-scale exploratory simulation of a hybrid model for cell senescence	13
7.	Other Grants and Activities	
	7.1. International Activities	13
	7.1.1. HUPO Proteomics Standards Initiative	13
	7.1.2. Génolevures Consortium	13
	7.2. European Activities	14
	7.2.1. Yeast Systems Biology Network (FP6)	14
	7.2.2. ProteomeBinders (FP6)	14
	7.2.3. IntAct	15
	7.3. National Activities	15
	7.3.1. ANR GENARISE	15
	7.3.2. ANR DIVOENI	15
	7.4. Regional Activities	15
	7.4.1. Aquitaine Region "Pôle Recherche en Informatique"	15
	7.4.2. Aquitaine Region "Identification de nouveaux QTL chez la levure pour la sélecti levains œnologiques"	
0	C I	16 <b>16</b>
8.	Dissemination	
	8.1. Reviewing	16
	8.2. Memberships and Responsabilities 8.3. Respuising committees	16
	8.3. Recruiting committees	16
	<ul><li>8.4. Visitors</li><li>8.5. Participation in colloquia, seminars, invitations</li></ul>	16 17
	8.6. Teaching	18
	0.0. Icacining	

2	Activity Report INRIA 2008

MAGNOME is an INRIA Team joint with University of Bordeaux (UB1, UB2 and ENSEIRB) and CNRS (LaBRI, UMR 5800)

## 1. Team

#### **Research Scientist**

Pascal Durrens [ CNRS, Research scientist (CR) CNRS, HdR ] Macha Nikolski [ CNRS, Research scientist (CR) ]

#### **Faculty Member**

David James Sherman [ Team leader; Associate Professor (MCF) ENSEIRB seconded to INRIA, HdR ]

#### **External Collaborator**

Elisabeth Bon [ University Bordeaux 2, Associate Professor (MCF) ] Serge Dulucq [ University Bordeaux 1, Professor (Pr), HdR ] Grégoire Sutre [ CNRS, Research scientist (CR) ]

#### **Technical Staff**

Tiphaine Martin [ CNRS, Research Engineer (IR) ]
Cyril Cayla [ University Bordeaux 1, ANR Contract ]
Simon Frey [ INRIA, Associate Engineer, till Mar. 2008 ]
Julien Dumeste [ INRIA, Associate Engineer, since Dec. 2008 ]

#### **PhD Student**

Rodrigo Assar [ University Bordeaux 1, since Oct. 2008 ] Emmanuelle Beyne [ University Bordeaux 1, till Jan. 2008 ] Géraldine Jean [ University Bordeaux 1, MESR grant, since Mar. 2006 ] Nicolás Loira [ INRIA, since Mar. 2007 ] Hayssam Soueidan [ University Bordeaux 1, since Mar. 2006 ]

#### **Post-Doctoral Fellow**

Adrien Goëffon [ INRIA ]
Julie Bourbeillon [ INRIA ]

#### **Visiting Scientist**

Nikolai Vyahhi [ University of St. Petersburg ]

#### **Administrative Assistant**

Marie Sanchez [ INRIA ]

# 2. Overall Objectives

## 2.1. Overall Objectives

One of the key challenges in the study of biological systems is understanding how the static information recorded in the genome is interpreted to become dynamic systems of cooperating and competing biomolecules. MAGNOME addresses this challenge through the development of informatic techniques for multi-scale modeling and large-scale comparative genomics:

- logical and object models for knowledge representation
- stochastic hierarchical models for behavior of complex systems, formal methods
- algorithms for sequence analysis, and
- data mining and classification.

We use genome-scale comparisons of eukaryotic organisms to build modular and hierarchical hybrid models of cell behavior that are studied using multi-scale stochastic simulation and formal methods. Our research program builds on our experience in comparative genomics, modeling of protein interaction networks, and formal methods for multi-scale modeling of complex systems.

## 2.2. Highlights of the year

A major release of the Génolevures web resource<sup>1</sup>, representing more than 20 person-months of work in collaboration with partners from the biological sciences, came on line at the end of the year. This release coincides with the publication of reference [17]. The resource contains 78,000 pages of detailed information for genetic elements, 21,000 families of annotated protein coding genes, and high-quality datasets made available to the community by the Génolevures Consortium.

We developed a novel algorithmic method for large-scale detection of gene fusion and fission events in fungal genomes, that explicitly uses relations between groups of paralogous genes in order to compensate for genome redundancy [14]. More that 1600 elementary events are reliably identified in these genomes. The method permits us to define a new metric of recombinational phylogeny in fungal genomes.

Improved combinatory tools for exploring genome rearrangement were developed and used to build the first rearrangement trees for the Hemiascomycetous yeasts.

MAGNOME participated in the full annotation of several complete genomes from the Hemiascomycetous yeasts and also from bacteria the play a role in secondary wine fermentation, providing both software tools and scientific expertise. The annotated yeast genomes are integrated into the Génolevures web resource cited above.

## 3. Scientific Foundations

#### 3.1. Introduction

The development of high-throughput techniques for genomics and post-genomics has considerably changed the way that many biologists do their research. Knowledge of complete genomes and, more recently, metabolic, regulatory, and interaction networks has made it possible to consider a living cell not as a loose collection of individual components but as a *system*. These *global approaches* in biology contribute to deeper understanding of living systems, but produce an accompanying volume of information that only informatic methods can master. Global answers to biological questions are more and more dependent of pluridisciplinary approaches that link biology and bioinformatics. The ultimate goals of computational biology are to extract knowledge from large scale data sets; to build complete representations of cells, organisms, and populations; and to predict computationally complex systems from bodies of less complex data [55]. The inference of the behavior of a living organism at a systems level, based on the knowledge of other living organisms, will be very valuable in medicine and biotechnology. Indeed, a large number of living organisms are out of reach for thorough experimental investigation, either for technical or financial reasons. As the acquisition of genomic sequences is becoming easier and more cost effective, computational biology must fill the gap between the genome and the understanding of a living organism as a system.

Addressing the challenges of systems-level understanding of living organisms requires a three-fold view [41]. The first step is the identification of components constituting the system, starting from the genome. The second step is understanding the function of each component, which in case of biological systems requires the understanding of genome evolution and how these components arose. The third step is the unraveling of the way that these components cooperate, thus realising complex functions at a cellular level. The latter requires both the understanding of the "wiring diagram" between components, as well as the dynamics of the system. This vision in turn presents numerous technical challenges for the information sciences: algorithmic

genolevures.org, cbi.labri.fr/Genolevures

techniques for finding patterns in data, knowledge representation and data integration on a semantic level, algorithmic predictive methods for building hypotheses that can be tested in the laboratory, and formal tools for modeling and simulating complex system behavior.

MAGNOME is an interdisciplinary project that addresses these challenges through a systems approach that draws its strength from close collaborations between computer scientists and biologists. Historically, the members of the MAGNOME team come from varied backgrounds: formal methods and analysis of complex industrial systems, efficient implementation of logic and rewriting systems, and molecular genetics. This historical basis is reflected in the scientific foundations of the MAGNOME team, which are a unique combination of three mutually-reinforcing scientific domains.

- In **comparative genomics** we identify and analyse differences between genomes, in order to understand their past history and current function, and the processes that shape them.
- Our focus in data-mining and data integration is both on efficient algorithms for identifying
  pertinent groupings in complex data sets, and multi-scale representations of those data that admit
  complex queries and reasoning.
- Our long-standing work in **formal methods** applied to complex systems combines efficient representations of state spaces with model-checking to analyze the realm of system behaviors.

While each of these domains can be studied independently, we have found that their combination provides a robust approach where each subject is reinforced by the context provided by the other two.

## 3.1.1. A Systems Approach

Biological systems are *complex systems* in the sense that their behavior cannot be completely described by the behavior of their individual components. Interaction between simple components leads to complex system behavior.

MAGNOME uses genome-scale comparisons of eukaryotic organisms to build modular and hierarchical hybrid models of cell behavior that are studied using multi-scale stochastic simulation and formal methods. Rather than study individual components of these genomes or individual biochemical reactions, we build views of these organisms as systems of cooperating and competing biological processes.

Our research program develops novel applications in comparative genomics of eukaryotic microorganisms, predictive construction of biological networks such as protein-interaction networks and biochemical pathways, and practical modeling and simulation of biological systems using the BioRica framework. This activity has produced a wide variety of software tools designed for the biological user, developed in through international collaboration with partner laboratories in France and in Europe.

## 3.2. Comparative Genomics

The goal of comparative genomics is to understand the structure and function of genomes through the comparison of related species. While this goal is inherently biological, the techniques brought into play are inherently informatic and comprise a domain of scientific study in their own right. The research of the MAGNOME team involves three axes within this domain.

Genome annotation is the process of associating biological knowledge to sequences. This involves identification of the genes through analysis of the sequence, clustering the genes and other elements into phylogenetic and functional groups, and integrating heterogenous data sources into efficient software tools for exploration, analysis, and visualization. References [74] and [75] provide an overview of our work.

Sequence analysis using probabalistic models, notably hidden markov models, are used for syntactic analysis of macromolecular sequences, applying rules derived from models of how the cell's transcriptional machinery recognizes and interprets the DNA sequence to predict whether a given sequence code for protein, is intronic, participates in gene regulation, etc. Our team adapts and develops algorithms for predicting gene architectures based on intrinsic evidence (based only on the sequence) and extrinsic evidence (including outside information such as sequence alignments).

Combinatory analysis, including algorithms for permutations and other word problems, and graph algorithms are widely used for biological data. Our own work involves combinatorial methods for calculating rearrangement distances using operations inspired by [52], [70], [85], but including biologically-inspired constraints such as centromere position and a cost model adapted to our models of yeast genome evolution. Formally, each genome is coded by a signed permutation, where each element denotes a syntenic region conserved across species, and the sign of the element indicates its relative orientation along the sense or the antisense strand. Genome rearrangements are thus represented by reversal and translocation operations on these permutations. Optimization in the space of genome rearrangements is accomplished using local search techniques. A key advantage of our approach is that it gives the means to explore rearrangement scenarios that are suboptimal with regard to the mathematical formulation, but possibly more reasonable with regard to biological constraints.

## 3.3. Data-mining and Data Integration

Broadly speaking, *data-mining* methods seek to find meaningful patterns in volumes of data, ideally patterns that are both previously unknown and useful for some application. We can contrast this with *data integration*, where the goal is to link related information in a semantically coherent way. Both kinds of methods are developed in the MAGNOME team.

Consensus clustering. Clustering is a widely used data-mining technique whose goal is to learn a set of classes or categories for the given data, without an predetermined idea of what those classes will be. Its utility for applications in computational biology stems from widespread use of "guilt by association" reasoning: phenomena that appear under the same conditions in a experiment often take part in a common, unknown mechanisms of biological interest. Many varieties of clustering algorithms for biological data have consequently been developed, and in large numbers (see [32] for review), which leads to an important practical problem: how to decide which algorithms, or which learning parameters, to use for a given application?

We have addressed one part of this problem through the development of techniques for clustering ensembles, where the goal is to combine the strengths of a chosen set of different (presumably complementary) clustering techniques. This can be formulated as a search for a median partition  $\Pi$  that minimizes  $S = \sum_{i=1}^k d(\Pi^i, \Pi)$ , given k partitions  $\Pi^1, ..., \Pi^k$  and a distance function d. The first mathematical treatment goes back to Régnier [73], and [34] shows that the general problem is NP-complete. If the partition  $\Pi$  of the dataset D, |D| = n to discover is not necessarily one of the original partitions  $\Pi_1, ..., \Pi_k$ , then the size of the potential search space corresponds to the *Bell numbers* [35]. Heuristic approaches have been developed for this inherently intractible problem: exact methods using cutting planes [51], co-association methods [49], voting approach [87], information-theoretic approach [63], hypergraph partitioning methods [84] and using mixture models [86].

The solution we have developed [68] is tailored to the specific problem of consensus clustering for protein families, where in our application  $n=50\,000$  but singleton families (containing only one protein) are allowed. The approach uses a compact bipartite graph encoding of the confusion matrices of pairwise comparaisons between two input partitions  $\Pi^1$  and  $\Pi^2$ , where nodes are clusters in one or the other inputs, and edges indicate that the two clusters have an element in common. Choice of a consensus among the k partitions can be made by choosing within the connected components of the confusion matrix, in such a way as to cover all the initial elements. Such a choice can be formulated as an instance of minimum exact cover (MDC), also NP-complete [50]. Since we allow singleton families we can further relax the problem to minimum inexact cover. In [68] we define an efficient heuristic running in low-order polynomial time that uses a Condorcet election procedure to choose an inexact cover that minimizes inter-partition distance while maximizing cluster similarity.

**Enrichment analysis.** Guilt by association methods are widely used to search for enrichment of a query set by use of a statistical model to identify similar target sets (see [59] for review). These methods often involve a large number of target sets, each of which must be stored and compared to the query, and produce large numbers of redundant results that overwhelm the user with non-pertinent information. This is a classic query optimization problem involving a time-space tradeoff and an early pattern evaluation, since ideally we would like to only generate interesting nonredundant targets on the fly from a less explicit representation.

In [33] we developed a guilt by association method for integrating heterogenous data collections using a uniform set-based representation of relations between data items, and a probabilistic measure of similarity between sets. Adopting the Danchin view that biological entities must be understood in terms of their relationships and not only in terms of their individual properties [43], the *BlastSets* system provides a systematic means of representing and querying data banks through the use of gene "neighborhoods." Unfortunately, like many others, this system suffered precisely from the efficiency and redundancy problems described in the preceding paragraph.

Element neighborhoods are defined with respect to each discrete or continuous property stored in the data bank, and in terms of different qualitative similarity thresholds. Sets in a neighborhood are thus overlapping and their elements can be partially ordered by the inclusion relation  $\subseteq$ . By representing these posets by *Hasse diagrams* we obtain a compact DAG representation of the neighborhood. Since redundancy between two target sets occurs when they have the same common elements or the same differing elements, we say that a target set T in a neighborhood N is *pertinent* for a query set Q iff  $T \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  and  $\neg \exists T'$  with the same differences w.r.t. Q; without loss of generality this can be defined using the cardinality of these set differences, and can be implemented by a breadth-first bottom-up traversal of the DAG representation .

## 3.4. Modeling and Formal Methods

Early work of members of the MAGNOME team concerned formal methods for the modeling and analysis of complex industrial systems, including model checking and reliability analysis. These problems are generally characterized by an explosion in the size of the state space, whether that space represents the behavior of a system or a truth table encoding a boolean function. An early focus of our work was consequently the efficient encoding of complex sets in systems with uniform sharing of congruent subsets [76], [89], [67], in large part ordered binary decision diagrams, and on semantics-preserving rewriting transformations of these representations to dynamically improve performance [66].

To model systems with stochastic behavior we have extended the AltaRica modeling language [30] with probabalistic choice to define a language whose execution semantics is provided by constraint automata. Constraints between state variables implicitly define transitions, and by assigning weight and durations to transition triggers, generalize both discrete processes such as Markov chains, and continuous stochastic processes such as Markov continuous processes with memory. When exponential laws are used for transition probabilities, the resulting system is a Markov process; accessibility is thus decidable, and model checking can be performed. When other probability laws are used, the system is general stochastic, and only simulation can be used to study mode behavior.

Simulation of complex systems with both continuous and discrete components is hampered by the mix of formalisms and, specifically, by the absence of a formal semantics for combinations of components. We have defined a formal framework for such combinations [80], whose semantics is provided by hybrid automata [53]. An added benefit is that the defined models are hierarchical: each component describes a specific automaton, and components are combined together by composition functions such as parallel composition, connection between Input/Output variables, and synchronization on events. The low-level explicit formalism of BioRica is built upon General Semi-Markovian Decision Processes, an expressive semi-discrete formal model that has been shown [81] to capture most discrete and continuous models while being able to approximate at any precision arbitrary continuous and hybrid processes [80].

Another major challenge for using formal methods for real-world systems is that they lack the ability to reason about the creation and destruction of entities involved. However, this is an essential part of any biological system as can be exemplified by cell division and death, or protein synthesis and degradation. Formally speaking, such models exhibit *infinite behavior*, since we cannot reasonably consider a fixed bound on the number of created entities. Set automata provide a formalism able to describe infinite set computations. In general such systems are indecidable. We have characterized decidable subclasses possessing maximal expressivity. Automatic verification of the expected behaviour of these models can be expressed in the temporal logic *AllTL* [45]. We have extended AllTL to allow for quantification over entities and comparison with automata variables [69].

A distinguishing feature of our approach is the systematic use of *abstraction*. In the case of *AllTL* we define an automatic sound and complete parametrized abstraction that can reduce the infinite state transition system to a finite one. Model checking of such systems is decidable and properties can be verified using standard automata theoretic techniques. In the case of dynamic hybrid systems in BioRica, we use abstraction to reduce an infinite control with finite data, to a finite control on infinite data. The properties of these systems can be studied using counter automata and counter abstraction [65], [72].

# 4. Application Domains

## 4.1. Comparative Genomics of Yeasts

**Keywords:** bio-technologies, biology, health.

The best way to understand the **structure** and the **evolutionary history** of a genome is to compare it with others. At the level of single genes this is a standard and indeed essential procedure: one compares a gene sequence with others in data banks to identify sequence similarities that suggest homology relations. For most gene sequences these relations are the only clues about gene function that are available. The procedure is essential because the difference between the number of genes identified by *in silico* sequence analysis and the number that are experimentally characterized is several orders of magnitude. At the level of whole genomes, large-scale comparison is still in its infancy but has provided a number of remarkable results that have led to better understanding, on a more global level, of the mechanisms of evolution and of adaptation.

Yeasts provide an ideal subject matter for the study of eukaryotic microorganisms. From an experimental standpoint, the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is a model organism amenable to laboratory use and very widely exploited, resulting in an astonishing array of experimental results.

From a genomic standpoint, yeasts from the hemiascomycete class provide a unique tool for studying eukaryotic genome evolution on a large scale. With their relatively small and compact genomes, yeasts offer a unique opportunity to explore eukaryotic genome evolution by comparative analysis of several species. Yeasts are widely used as cell factories, for the production of beer, wine and bread and more recently of various metabolic products such as vitamins, ethanol, citric acid, lipids, etc. Yeasts can assimilate hydrocarbons (genera *Candida, Yarrowia* and *Debaryomyces*), depolymerise tannin extracts (*Zygosaccharomyces rouxii*) and produce hormones and vaccines in industrial quantities through heterologous gene expression. Several yeast species are pathogenic for humans. The most well known yeast in the Hemiascomycete class is *S. cerevisiae*, widely used as a model organism for molecular genetics and cell biology studies, and as a cell factory. As the most thoroughly-annotated genome of the small eukaryotes, it is a common reference for the annotation of other species. The hemiascomycetous yeasts represent a homogeneous phylogenetic group of eukaryotes with a relatively large diversity at the physiological and ecological levels. Comparative genomic studies within this group have proved very informative [36], [40], [58], [57], [44], [61], [46].

The *Génolevures* program is devoted to large-scale comparisons of yeast genomes from various branches of the Hemiascomycete class, with the aim of addressing basic questions of molecular evolution such as the degrees of gene conservation, the identification of species-specific, clade-specific or class-specific genes, the distribution of genes among functional families, the rate of sequence and map divergences and mechanisms of chromosome shuffling.

The differences between genomes can be addressed at two levels: at a molecular level, considering how these differences arise and are maintained; and at a functional level, considering the influence of these molecular differences on cell behavior and more generally on the adaptation of a species to its ecological niche.

## 4.2. Construction of Biological Networks

**Keywords:** biology, health, metabolic pathways, protein interaction networks.

Comparative genomics provides the means to identify the set of protein-coding genes that comprise the components of a cell, and thus the set of individual functions that can be assured, but a more comprehensive view of cell function must aim to understand the ways that those components work together. In order to predict how genomic differences influence function differences, it is necessary to develop representations of the ways that proteins cooperate.

One such representation are networks of *protein-protein interactions*. Protein-protein interactions are at the heart of many important biological processes, including signal transduction, metabolic pathways, and immune response. Understanding these interactions is a valuable way to elucidate cellular function, as interactions are the primitive elements of cell behavior. One of the principal goals of proteomics is to completely describe the network of interactions that underly cell physiology.

As networks of interaction data become larger and more complex, it becomes more and more important to develop data mining and statistical analysis techniques. Advanced visualization tools are necessary to aid the researcher in the interpretation of these relevant subsets. As databases grow, the risk of false positives or other erroneous results also grows, and it is necessary to develop statistical and graph-theoretic methods for excluding outliers. Most importantly, it is necessary to build *consensus networks*, that integrate multiple sources of evidence. Experimental techniques for detecting protein-protein interactions are largely complementary, and it is reasonable to have more confidence in an interaction that is observed using a variety of techniques than one that is only observed using one technique.

The ProViz software tool (see below) addresses the need for efficient visualization tools, and provides a platform for developing interactive analyses. But the key challenge for comparative analysis of interaction networks is the reliable extrapolation of predicted networks in the absence of experimental data.

A complementary challenge to the network prediction is the extraction of useful summaries from interaction data. Existing databases of protein-protein interactions mix different types too freely, and build graph representations that are not entirely sensible, as well as being highly-connected and thus difficult to interpret. We have developed a technique called *policy-directed graph extraction* that provides a framework for selecting observations and for building appropriate graph representations. A concrete example of graph extraction is *subtractive pathway modeling*, which uses correlated gene loss to identify loss of biochemical pathways.

## 4.3. Modeling Biological Systems

**Keywords:** bio-technologies, biology, health, stochastic models.

Realistic, precise simulation of cell behavior requires detailed, precise models and fine-grain interpretation. At the same time, it is necessary that this simulation be computationally tractable. Furthermore, the models must be comprehensible to the biologist, and claims about properties of the model must be expressed at an appropriate level of abstraction. Reaching an effective compromise between these conflicting goals requires that these systems be **hierarchically composed**, that the overall semantics provide means for combining components expressed in **different quantitative or discrete formalisms**, and that the simulation admit **stochastic behavior** and evaluation at **multiple time scales**.

In general, numerical modeling of biological systems follows the process shown below.

- 1. Starting from experimental data, sort possible molecular processes and retain the most plausible.
- 2. Build a schema depicting the overall model and refine it until it is composed of elementary steps.
- 3. Translate the elementary steps into mathematical expressions using the laws of physics and chemistry.
- Translate these expressions into time-dependent differential equations quantifying the changes in the model.
- 5. Analyze the differential system to assess the model.
- 6. Elaborate predictions based on a more detailed study of the differential system.
- 7. Test some selected predictions in vitro or in vivo.

This approach has proven substantial properties of various biological processes, as for example in the case of cell cycle [88]. However, it remains tedious and implies a number of limitations that we shortly describe in this section.

Many biochemical processes can be modeled using continuous domains by employing various kinetics based on the mass action law. However quite a number of biological processes involve small scale units and their dynamics can not be approximated using a global approach and needs to be considered unit-wise.

Some of the biological systems are now known to have a switch-like behavior and can only be specified in a continuous realm by using zero-order ultra-sensitive parametric functions converging to a sharply sigmoid function, which artificially complexifies the system.

The lack of formalized translations between each step makes the whole modeling process error-prone, since immersing the high-level comprehensible cartoon into a low-level differential formalism is completely dependent on the knowledge of the modeler and his/her mathematical skills. Maybe even worse, it blurs the explanatory power of the schema.

As an illustration of the last point it is well-known that the same high level process of the lysis/lysogeny decision in lambda bacteriophage infecting an *E. coli* cell can be specified using different low-level formalisms, each producing unique results contradicting the others.

The assessment step of the modeling process is usually conducted by slow and painful *parameter tinkering*, upon which some artificial integrators and rate constants are added to fit the model to the experimental data without any clue as to what meanings these integrators could have biologically speaking.

Two complementary approaches are necessary for model validation. The first is the validation from the computer science point of view, and is mainly based on intrinsic criteria. The second is the external validation, and in our case requires confirmation of model predictions by biological experiments.

In addition to classic measures such as indexes of cluster validity, our use of instrinsic criteria in comparative genomics depends on treatment of the organism as a system. We define coherency rules for predictions that take into account essential genes, requirements for connectivity in biochemical pathways, and, in the case of genome rearrangements, biological rules for genome construction. These rules are defined at appropriate levels in each application.

Experimental validation is made possible by collaboration with partner laboratories in the biological sciences.

# 5. Software

## 5.1. Magus: Collaborative Genome Annotation

**Keywords:** collaborative workflows, genome annotation, in silico analysis.

Participants: David James Sherman [correspondant], Pascal Durrens, Tiphaine Martin, Cyril Cayla.

As part of our contribution the Génolevures Consortium, we have developed over the past few years an efficient set of tools for web-based collaborative annotation of eukaryote genomes. The MAGUS genome annotation system (http://magus.gforge.inria.fr) integrates genome sequences and sequences features, *in silico* analyses, and views of external data resources into a familiar user interface requiring only a Web navigator. MAGUS implements the Génolevures annotation workflow and enforces curation standards to guarantee consistency and integrity. As a novel feature the system provides a workflow for *simultaneous annotation* of related genomes through the use of protein families identified by *in silico* analyses; this has resulted in a three-fold increase in curation speed, compared to one-at-a-time curation of individual genes. This allows us to maintain Génolevures standards of high-quality manual annotation while efficiently using the time of our volunteer curators.

MAGUS is built on: a standard sequence feature database, the Stein lab generic genome browser [83], various biomedical ontologies (http://obo.sf.net), and a web interface implementing a representational state transfer (REST) architecture [48].

See also the web page http://magus.gforge.inria.fr/.

## 5.2. Faucils: Analyzing Genome Rearrangement

**Keywords:** genome rearrangements, in silico analysis, rearrangement trees, synteny.

**Participants:** Macha Nikolski [correspondant], Adrien Goëffon, Géraldine Jean, David James Sherman, Tiphaine Martin.

The Faucils suite uses evolutionary and combinatory algorithms to facilitate mathematical exploration of eukaryote genome rearrangement. It is composed of a number of cooperating tools: SyDIG, a method for detecting synteny in distantly related genomes; SuperBlocks, a method for computing ancestral superblocks; Faucils, tools for computing median genomes and rearrangement trees using stochastic local search and any colony optimization; and Virage, an tools for interactive visual exploration of divergent rearrangement scenarios.

These tools are developed internally on the INRIA Gforge site and are licensed under CeCILL.

## 5.3. BioRica: Multi-scale Stochastic Modeling

**Keywords:** formal methods, stochastic modeling.

**Participants:** David James Sherman, Macha Nikolski [correspondant], Hayssam Soueidan, Nicolás Loira, Grégoire Sutre.

Multi-scale modeling provides one avenue to better integrate continuous and event-based modules into a single scheme. The word *multi-scale* itself can be interpreted both at the level of building the model, and at the level of model simulation. At the modeling level, it involves building *modular* and *hierarchical* models. An attractive feature of such modeling is that it provides a systematic means to balance the need for greater biological detail against the need for simplicity. At the execution level, it implies the co-existence of phenomena operating at different time scales in an integrated fashion. This is a very lively research topic by itself, and has promising applications to biology, such as for example in [60].

We are developing *BioRica*, a high-level modeling framework integrating discrete and continuous multi-scale dynamics within the same semantics field.

The co-existence of continuous and discrete dynamics is assured by a pre-computation of the continuous parts of the model. Once computed, these parts of the model act as components that can be queried for the function value, but also modified, therefore accounting for any trajectory modification induced by discrete parts of the model. To achieve this we extensively rely on methods for solving and simulation of continuous systems by numerical algorithms. As for the discrete part of the model, its role is that of a controller.

As a means to counteract the over-genericity of re-usable modular models and their underlying simulation complexity, *BioRica* provides an automatic abstraction module, whose aim is to preserve only the pertinent information for a given task. The soundness of this approach is ensured by a formal study of the operational semantics of *BioRica* models that adopts, in particular, the theoretical framework of *abstract interpretation* [42].

The current stage of development extends the AltaRica modeling language to Stochastic AltaRica Dataflow [81] semantics, but also provides parsers for widely used SBML [54] data exchange format. The corresponding simulator is easy to use and computationally efficient.

See also the web page <a href="http://www.labri.fr/">http://www.labri.fr/</a>.

## 5.4. Génolevures On Line: Comparative Genomics of Yeasts

**Keywords:** comparative genomics, databases, knowledge representation and ontologies, web design.

**Participants:** David James Sherman, Pascal Durrens, Macha Nikolski, Tiphaine Martin [correspondant], Cyril Cayla.

The Génolevures online database (http://cbi.labri.fr/Genolevures/) provides tools and data relative to 9 complete and 10 partial genome sequences determined and manually annotated by the Génolevures Consortium, to facilitate comparative genomic studies of hemiascomycetous yeasts. With their relatively small and compact genomes, yeasts offer a unique opportunity for exploring eukaryotic genome evolution. The new version of the Génolevures database provides truly complete (subtelomere to subtelomere) chromosome sequences, 48 000 protein-coding and tRNA genes, and *in silico* analyses for each gene element. A new feature of the database is a novel collection of conserved **multi-species protein families** and their mapping to metabolic pathways, coupled with an advanced search feature. Data are presented with a focus on relations between genes and genomes: conservation of genes and gene families, speciation, chromosomal reorganization and synteny. The Génolevures site includes an area for specific studies by members of its international community.

The focus of the Génolevures database is to describe the relations between genes and genomes. We curate relations of orthology and paralogy between genes, as individuals or as members of protein families, chromosomal map reorganization and gain and loss of genes and functions. We do not provide detailed annotations of individual genes and proteins of *S. cerevisiae* which are already carefully maintained by the MIPS in the CYGD database (http://mips.gsf.de/projects/fungi) [64] in Europe and by the SGD (http://www.yeastgenome.org/) [39] in North America, as well as in general-purpose databases such as UniProtKB [31] and EMBL [56].

While extensive chromosomal rearrangements combined with segmental and massive duplications make comparisons of yeast genome sequences difficult [79], relations of homology between protein-coding genes can be identified despite their great diversity at the molecular level [46]. Families of homologous proteins provide a powerful tool for appreciating conservation, gain and loss of function within yeast genomes. Génolevures provides a unique collection of paralogous and orthologous protein families, identified using a novel consensus clustering algorithm [68] applied to a complementary set of homeomorphic [sharing full-length sequence similarity and similar domain architectures, see [90]] and nonhomeomorphic systematic Smith-Waterman [78] and Blast [29] sequence alignments. Similar approaches are developed on a wider scale [90] and are complementary to these yeast-specific families.

The Génolevures database uses a straightforward object model mapped to a relational database. Flexibility in the design is guaranteed through the use of ontologies and controlled vocabularies: the Sequence Ontology [47] for DNA sequence features and GLO, our own ontology for comparative genomics (D. Sherman, unpublished data). Browsing of genomic maps and sequence features is provided by the Generic Genome Browser [83]. The Blast service is provided by NCBI Blast 2.2.6 [29]. The Génolevures web site uses a REST architecture internally [48] and extensively uses the BioPerl package [82] for manipulation of sequence data.

See also the web page <a href="http://cbi.labri.fr/Genolevures/">http://cbi.labri.fr/Genolevures/</a>.

#### 5.5. ProViz: Visualization of Protein Interaction Networks

**Keywords:** protein-protein interaction networks, scientific visualization.

Participants: David James Sherman [correspondant], Julien Dumeste.

ProViz is a software tool that provides highly interactive visualization of large networks of protein-protein interactions, integrated with the IntAct data model[6]. ProViz is similar in purpose to PIMrider [62], Osprey [38], and other visualization or analysis tools. ProViz improves over existing work by providing a fast, scalable, open tool with extensive plugins, that integrates emerging standards for representing biological knowledge in a biologist-oriented interface.

See also the web page http://cbi.labri.fr/proviz.htm.

## 6. New Results

## 6.1. Gene fusions and fissions in fungal genomes

**Keywords:** chromosome dynamics, comparative genomics, gene birth and death.

Participants: David James Sherman, Pascal Durrens [correspondant], Macha Nikolski.

One consequence of genome remodelling in evolution is the modification of genes, either by fusion with other genes, or by fission into several parts. By tracking the mathematical relations between groups of similar genes, rather than between individual genes, we can paint a global picture of remodelling across many species simultaneously.[14] The strengths of our method are that it allows us to include highly redundant eukaryote genomes, and that it avoids alignment artifacts by representing each group of similar genes by a mathematical model. Applying our method to a set of fungal genomes, we confirmed first that the number of fusion/fission events is correlated with genome size, second that the fusion to fission ratio favors fusions, third that the set of events is not saturated, and fourth that while genes assembled in a fusion tend to have the same biochemical function, there appears to be little bias for the functions that are involved. Indeed, fusion and fission events are landmarks of random remodelling, independent of mutation rate: they define a metric of "recombination distance." This distance lets us build a genome evolution history of species and may well be a better measure than mutation distance of the process of adaptation.

## 6.2. Algorithms for genome rearrangements

**Keywords:** algorithmic combinatorics, genome architecture.

Participants: David James Sherman, Macha Nikolski [correspondant], Géraldine Jean, Serge Dulucq.

Macha Nikolski and Géraldine Jean have developed an improved algorithm, **SyDIG**, for identifying synteny in distant genomes. It is designed for widespread cases where existing methods, such as filtered genome alignments (e.g. GRIMM-Synteny [71]), or profile-based iterated search (e.g. i-AdHoRe [77]), do not work.

This in turn has led to improvements in their method for identifying *super-blocks* of syntenic segments,[16] improving on and building a bridge between competing methods defined by Sankoff and by Bourque and Pevzner. Super-blocks represent the semantics of the ancestral architecture, and provide a piecewise approximation to this architecture that provides a reasonable upper bound on the sum of rearrangement distances between contemporary genomes and the theoretical median. Super-blocks have been successfully identified for a range of species in the Hemiascomycetous yeasts, including five new genomes from the protoploid *Saccharomycetacae*.

## 6.3. Computation of genome rearrangement trees

**Keywords:** algorithmic combinatorics, genome architecture, metaheuristic optimization.

Participants: David James Sherman, Macha Nikolski [correspondant], Géraldine Jean, Adrien Goëffon.

Given an encoding of a set of contemporary genomes as signed permutations, the Hannenhalli-Pevzner model defines a rearrangement distance based on the number of inversions necessary to change one permutation into another. A *median genome* for a set of at least three genomes is a permutation that minimizes the sum of rearrangement distances to the contemporary genomes. Current methods for multichromosomal genomes (in particular [37]) use an exact, resource-intensive computation.

Using a new formulation in terms of optimization, Adrien Goëffon with Macha Nikolski and Géraldine Jean devised a new algorithm using techniques from optimization by local search and metaheuristics. The algorithm maintains a population of configurations, modified depending on the set of architectures, and evaluated using the rearrangement distance. The result is a robust approach that converges rapidly, and obtains better results that those reported elsewhere. Compared with competing algorithms currently used, this new algorithm takes only a few minutes, compared to several hours; does so on tens of genomes, compared to a maximum of three; and includes biological constraints such as centromere presence and gene super-block conservation, which competing algorithms do not. The algorithm was successfully applied to five complete genomes using markers identified by *in silico* chromosomal painting

## 6.4. Analysis of oenological genomes

**Keywords:** comparative genomics, fermentation, oenology.

Participants: Elisabeth Bon, Pascal Durrens [correspondant], David James Sherman, Macha Nikolski.

Two activities contributed to improved understanding of the relation between genome variation and efficiency of cell factory microorganisms used in winemaking.

The first, led by Pascal Durrens, is analysis and mapping of the genomes variations involved in quantitative traits. In collaboration with the "Institut d'Oenologie de Bordeaux", we detect and map single nucleotude polymorphism (SNP) associated with fermentation parameters during wine fermentation by oenoligical yeasts. The results will be exploited both in yeast strain improvement (selection of the relevant gene variants) and in modelisation of the fermenting cell (indication of the key metabolic steps).

The second is led by Elisabeth Bon. Through her association with MAGNOME, the team has acquired a new expertise on prokaryotic models, and notably on the non-pathogenic food production bacterium, *Oenococcus oeni*. This species is part of the natural microflora of wine and related environments, and is the main agent of the malolactic fermentation (MLF), a step of winemaking that generally follows alcoholic fermentation (AF) and contributes to wine deacidification, improvement of sensorial properties and microbial stability. The start, duration and achievement of MLF are unpredictable since they depend both on the wine characteristics and on the properties of the *O. oeni* strains. Elisabeth is in charge of sequencing effort coordination, explorative and comparative genome data analysis, and comparative genomics. In comparative genomics, we investigated gene repertoire and genomic organization conservation through intra- and inter-species genomic comparisons, which clearly show that the *O. oeni* genome is highly plastic and fast-evolving. Preliminary results reveal that the optimal adaptation to wine of a strainmostly depends on the presence of key adaptative loops and polymorphic genes. They also point up the role of horizontal gene transfer and mobile genetic elements in *O. oeni* genome plasticity, and give the first clues of the genetic origin of its oenological aptitudes.

## 6.5. Evaluation of dynamic models

**Keywords:** comparative genomics, stochastic modeling, systems biology.

**Participants:** David James Sherman [correspondant], Pascal Durrens, Macha Nikolski, Nicolás Loira, Rodrigo Assar, Hayssam Soueidan, Grégoire Sutre.

Using comparative genomics to inform mathematical models of cell function is a central challenge of the MAGNOME research program.

Nicolás Loira has used a large dataset of protein families from the Génolevures complete genomes and sub-partitionned it through clustering methods to obtain reliable indications of enzyme conservation in nine species. The resulting determination of enzyme conservation is mapped to biochemical reation models (BIGG, KEGG, BioCyc, YSBN) and used to infer stoichiometric models that are currently being evaluated through simulation. In collaboration with partners from YSBN, Nicolás has developed a framework for uniform comparison of published models with experimental data, currently being applied to yeast flux balance models.

Rodrigo Assar with Hayssam Soueidan has built a hierarchical model in BioRica of the blood circulatory system, on the basis of Guyton's pioneering work from the 1970s, and is running large-scale simulations using the BioRica system to evaluate both it precision and the contribution of hierarchy to the modeling methodology.

## 6.6. Genome annotation

**Keywords:** algorithmic combinatorics, genome architecture, metaheuristic optimization.

**Participants:** David James Sherman [correspondant], Pascal Durrens, Macha Nikolski, Tiphaine Martin, Cyril Cayla.

Using our whole genome annotation pipeline (defined by David Sherman and Tiphaine Martin), we have successfully realized a complete annotation and analysis of four new genomes, provided to the Génolevures Consortium by the Centre National de Séquençage - Génoscope (Évry) and by the Washington University Genome Sequencing Center (St. Louis, USA). This result required a year of work by a network of 20 experts from 6 partner labs, using the Magus web-based system for collaborative genome annotation, and hundreds of hours of computation on our dedicated 54-core computing cluster. The analysis of these results, performed by members of the Consortium, include identification of 17 500 novel genes, genome comparative cartography and breakpoint analysis, assessment of protein family-specific phylogenetic trees and fast-evolving genes, and definition of a molecular clock through characterization of families of homologous and orthologous protein-coding genes. This major result will be published in the beginning of next year.

## 6.7. Large-scale exploratory simulation of a hybrid model for cell senescence

**Keywords:** formal methods, parameter estimation, systems biology.

Participants: Hayssam Soueidan, Macha Nikolski [correspondant], David Sherman.

Hayssam Soueidan, in collaboration with Marija Cvijovic of MPI Berlin, extended her work on models for yeast senescence to a new hierarchical model that, through exhaustive exploratory simulation, was used to characterize the fitness space of strategies for transmission of damaged proteins from mother to daughter cells.[20] Many complex biological processes of this kind, such as the cell division cycle, involve replicative behaviors where a process can evolve and create another process. Since the initial values of the latter depend on the process state of the former, simulation of such hierarchical systems requires parameter computation and estimation at simulation time.

To this end, we exploited the object-oriented nature of BioRica models by using parallel composition and node instantiation to describe dynamical hierarchical systems, thus minimizing the extra work that must be performed by the modeler to transform a single-cell model into a hierarchical system. This enriched model allowed for the prediction of previously uncomputable behaviors. Simulation data agree with experimental data obtained by Thomas Nystrom's group (Göteborg).

# 7. Other Grants and Activities

## 7.1. International Activities

#### 7.1.1. HUPO Proteomics Standards Initiative

Participants: David James Sherman [correspondant], Sandrine Palcy, Julie Bourbeillon.

We participate actively in the Proteomics Standards Initiative (PSI) of the Human Proteome Organization (HUPO), and international structure for the development and the advancement of technologies for proteomics. The HUPO PSI develops quality and representation standards for proteomic and interactomic data. The principal standards and PSI-MI, for molecular interactions, and PSI-MS, for mass spectometric data. These standards were presented in reference [5] in the journal *Nature Biotechnology*. Our project ProteomeBinders (see below) has been accepted as a HUPO PSI working group.

#### 7.1.2. Génolevures Consortium

**Participants:** David James Sherman, Pascal Durrens [correspondant], Macha Nikolski, Tiphaine Martin, Cyril Cayla.

Since 2000 our team is a member of the Génolevures Consortium (GDR CNRS), a large-scale comparative genomics project that aims to address fundamental questions of molecular evolution through the sequencing and the comparison of 14 species of hemiascomycetous yeasts. The Consortium is comprised of 16 partners, in France, Belgium, and England (see <a href="http://cbi.labri.fr/Genolevures/">http://cbi.labri.fr/Genolevures/</a>). Within the Consortium our team is responsible for bioinformatics, both for the development of resources for exploiting comparative genomic data and for research in new methods of analysis.

In 2004 this collaboration with the 60+ biologists of the Consortium realized the complete genomic annotation and global analysis of four eukaryotic genomes sequenced for us by the National Center for Sequencing (Génoscope, Évry). This annotation consisted in: the *ab initio* identification of candidate genes and gene models though analysis of genomic DNA, the determination of genes coding for proteins and pseudo-genes, the association of information about the supposed function of the protein and its relations phylogenetics. For this global analysis in particular we developed a novel method for constructing multi-species protein families and detailled analyses of the gain and loss of genes and functions throughout evolution.

This perennial collaboration continues in two ways. First, a number of new projects are underway, concerning several new genomes currently being sequenced, and new questions about the mechanisms of gene formation. Second, through the development and improvement of the Génolevures On Line database, in whose maintenance our team has a longstanding committment.

## 7.2. European Activities

## 7.2.1. Yeast Systems Biology Network (FP6)

Participants: David James Sherman, Macha Nikolski [correspondant], Hayssam Soueidan.

Our team is actively involved in the Yeast Systems Biology Network (YSBN) Coordinated Action, sponsored by the EU sixth framework programme. The allocated budget is 1.3 million Euros. The CA is coordinated by Prof. Jens Nielsen (Technical University of Denmark) and involves 17 European universities and 2 start-up biotech companies: InNetics AB and Fluxome Sciences A/S.

The activities of this CA aim at facilitating and improving research in yeast systems biology. The EU team creates standardised methods for research, reference databases, develops inter-laboratory benchmarking, and organizes a international conference, a number of PhD courses, and workshops.

The project involves most of the best EU academic centres in this field of science: Biozentrum University of Basel, Bogazici University Istanbul, Budapest University of Technology and Economics and Hungarian Academy of Sciences, CNSR/LaBRI University Bordeaux, ETH Zurich, Gothenburg University, Manchester University, Lund University, Max Plank Institute of Molecular Genetics, Medical University Vienna, Stuttgart University, Technical University of Denmark, Technical University Delft, University of Milano Bicocca, Virje University Amsterdam, VTT Technical Research Centre Finland.

#### 7.2.2. ProteomeBinders (FP6)

Participants: David James Sherman [correspondant], Sandrine Palcy, Julie Bourbeillon.

The ProteomeBinders Coordination Action, sponsored by the EU sixth framework programme, coordinates the establishment of a European resource infrastructure of binding molecules directed against the entire human proteome. The allocated budget is 1.8 million Euros. The CA is coordinated by Prof. Mike Taussig of the Babraham Institute in the UK.

A major objective of the "post-genome" era is to detect, quantify and characterise all relevant human proteins in tissues and fluids in health and disease. This effort requires a comprehensive, characterised and standardised collection of specific ligand binding reagents, including antibodies, the most widely used such reagents, as well as novel protein scaffolds and nucleic acid aptamers. Currently there is no pan-European platform to coordinate systematic development, resource management and quality control for these important reagents.

The ProteomeBinders Coordination Action (proteomebinders.org) coordinates 26 European partners and two in the USA, several of which operate infrastructures or large scale projects in aspects including cDNA collections, protein production, polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies. They provide a critical mass of leading expertise in binder technology, protein expression, binder applications and bioinformatics. Many have tight links to SMEs in binder technology, as founders or advisors. The CA will organise the resource by integrating the existing infrastructures, reviewing technologies and high throughput production methods, standardising binder-based tools and applications, assembling the necessary bioinformatics and establishing a database schema to set up a central binders repository. A proteome binders resource will have huge benefits for basic and applied research, impacting on healthcare, diagnostics, discovery of targets for drug intervention and therapeutics. It will thus be of great advantage to the research and biotechnology communities.

Within ProteomeBinders, our team is responsible for formalizing an ontology of binder properties and a set of requirements for data representation and exchange, and for developing a database schema based on these specifications that could be used to set up a central repository of all known ligand binders against the human proteome. The adoption of the proposed standards by the scientific community will determine the success of this activity.

#### 7.2.3. IntAct

Participants: David James Sherman [correspondant], Julie Bourbeillon.

The IntAct project, led by the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) within the framework of the European project TEMBLOR (The European Molecular Biology Linked Original Resources), develops a federated European database of protein-protein interactions and their annotations. IntAct partners develop a normalized representation of annotated protein interaction data and the necessary ontologies, a protocol for data exchange between the nodes of the federated database, and a software infrastructure for the installation of these local nodes. In this infrastructure, a large number of software tools have been realized to aid biological user exploit these data reliably and efficiently. Our own tool Proviz is part of this set of tools. Curator annotation, optimization, and quality control tools have also been developed [6]. We also submit experimental data to the repository.

## 7.3. National Activities

#### 7.3.1. ANR GENARISE

**Participants:** David James Sherman [correspondant], Pascal Durrens, Macha Nikolski, Tiphaine Martin, Cyril Cayla.

GENARISE is a four-year ANR project that explores the question of how genes arise and die. Coordinated by Prof. Bernard Dujon of the Pasteur Institute, this pluridisciplinary project uses an original combination of complementary experimental and informatic techniques to answer specific questions about the mechanisms of genome dynamics. The MAGNOME team contributes much of the informatics expertise in this project and is in particular plays a role as a resource for *in silico* techniques.

#### 7.3.2. ANR DIVOENI

Participant: Elisabeth Bon [correspondant].

Elisabeth Bon of MAGNOME is a partner in DIVOENI, a four-year ANR project concerning intraspecies biodiversity of *Oenococcus oeni*, a lactic acid bacterium of wine. Coordinated by Prof. Aline Lonvaud of the Université Victor Ségalen Bordeaux 2, the aims of the programme are: 1) to evaluate the genetic diversity of a vast collection of strains, to set up phylogenetic groups, then to investigate relationships between the ecological niches and the essential phenotypical traits. Hypotheses on the evolution in the species and on the genetic stability of strains will be drawn. 2) to propose methods based on molecular markers to make a better use of the diversity of the species. 3) to measure the impact of the repeated use of selected strains on the diversity in the ecosystem and to draw the conclusions for its preservation.

## 7.4. Regional Activities

#### 7.4.1. Aquitaine Region "Pôle Recherche en Informatique"

Participants: David James Sherman [correspondant], Pascal Durrens, Macha Nikolski.

In the wider context of the regional project supporting a research pole in informatics, we work with other experts in data-mining and visualization on the application of these techniques to genomic data. In particular we have develop novel methods for constructing summaries of large data sets, that are coupled with graph visualization techniques in the Tulip platform.

# 7.4.2. Aquitaine Region "Identification de nouveaux QTL chez la levure pour la sélection de levains œnologiques"

Participant: Pascal Durrens [correspondant].

This project is a collaboration between the company SARCO, specialized in the selection of industrial yeasts with distinct technological abilities, the FCBA technology institute, and the CNRS. The goal is to use genome analysis to identify chromosomal regions (QTLs) responsible for different physiological capabilities, as a tool for selecting yeasts for wine fermentation through efficient crossing strategies. Pascal Durrens is leading the bioinformatic analysis of the genomic and experimental data.

## 8. Dissemination

## 8.1. Reviewing

David Sherman was reviewer for the journal *Bioinformatics* (Oxford University Press).

David Sherman was reviewer for the journal BMC Bioinformatics (BioMed Central).

David Sherman was reviewer for the journal Nucleic Acids Research (Oxford University Press).

David Sherman was reviewer for the ANR program "SYSCOMM" in 2008.

Adrien Goëffon was reviewer for the conference EvoBIO 2008.

## 8.2. Memberships and Responsabilities

Pascal Durrens is responsible for scientific diffusion, and David Sherman is head of Bioinformatics, for the Génolevures Consortium.

Tiphaine Martin is member of the Local Committee of the INRIA Bordeaux Sud-Ouest.

Tiphaine Martin is member of the GIS-IBiSA GRISBI-Bioinformatics Grid working group.

Tiphaine Martin and David Sherman are members of the *Institut de Grilles*, and Tiphaine is active in the Biology/Health working group.

David Sherman is member of the Comité Consultatif Régional de Recherche et de Développement Technologique (CCRRDT) de la Région Aquitaine : Commission 3 "Sciences biologiques, médicales et de la santé" (suppléant of Claude Kirchner)

David Sherman is member of the Scientific Council of the LaBRI UMR 5800/CNRS

# 8.3. Recruiting committees

Macha Nikolski is external member of the CR recruiting committee of the INRIA Saclay.

Macha Nikolski is external member of the section 27 recruiting committee of the University Évry Val d'Essonne.

David Sherman is external member of the section 27 recruiting committee of the University Bordeaux 3.

Pascal Durrens is external member of the section 65 recruiting committee of the University Victor Ségalen Bordeaux 2.

## 8.4. Visitors

Nikolai Vyahhi of St. Petersburg University, Russia, was invited for three months as a visiting researcher.

## 8.5. Participation in colloquia, seminars, invitations

Emmanuelle Beyne was invited for 3 additional weeks to work in the laboratory of Prof. Steve Oliver, Cambridge University (UK). February 2008.

Nicolás Loira participated in the three-week International Course in Yeast Systems Biology, organized at Chalmers University, Göteborg (SE). June 2008.

David Sherman, Pascal Durrens, Tiphaine Martin, Cyril Cayla, Emmanuelle Beyne, Florian Iragne, Géraldine Jean, Nicolás Loira and Adrien Goëffon participated in regular Génolevures and ANR GENARISE monthly meetings in Paris (FR). January-December 2008.

#### **Tiphaine Martin**

9 janvier 2008, Paris (FR), Ministere de la recherche, Reunion Grisbi

8 fevrier 2008, Paris (FR), ENS, Reunion Génolevures

6 mars 2008, Paris (FR), Genoscope, Evry, Réunion Génolevures

10-11 Mars 2008, Lyon (FR), Reunion GRISBI

26 Mars 2008, Bordeaux, Institut des Grilles

27 Mars 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur, Reunion Génolevures

22-23 Avril 2008, Bordeaux, Reunion EC1118

29-30 Avril 2008, Bordeaux, Reunion Grisbi

6 Mai 2008, Bordeaux, journée High Performance Computing

29-30 Mai 2008, Strasbourg (FR), First German / French / European Meeting on Yeast and Filamentous

Fungi 6 Juin 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur, Reunion ANR Genarise

20 juin 2008, Paris (FR), Tour montparnasse, Reunion Groupe de travail « biologie-Santé », Institut

des Grilles 2 juin 2008, Lille (FR), Reunion Grisbi + AG RENABI

3 juin 2008, Lille (FR), Journée satellite JOBIM 2008

19 septembre 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur, reunion Génolevures

6-7 octobre 2008, Paris (FR), ENS, conference Institut des Grilles,

13-15 Octobre 2008, Paris (FR), ENS, Conference RECOMB 2008

16 Octobre 2008, Strasbourg (FR), Soutenance de these de Rym Kachouri-lafon

19-24 Octobre 2008, Annecy (FR), Formation ENVOL

27-28 Octobre 2008, Jouy-en-josas (FR), Reunion Grisbi

5-7 Novembre 2008, Lyon (FR), IBCP, Conference Biograle

10 décembre 2008, Bordeaux (FR), Reunion Génolevures

12 décembre 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur, reunion Genolevures

18-19 Decembre 2008, Strasbourg (FR), Reunion GRISBI

#### **Pascal Durrens**

21 Nov 2008, Strasbourg (FR), Université Louis Pasteur Jury de thèse

13-15 Oct 2008, Strasbourg (FR), Palais des Congrès 7e colloque national "Ressources génétiques" 19 Sep 2008, Paris (FR), Institut Pasteur GDR 2354 Génolevures

29-31 May 2008, Strasbourg (FR), Université Louis Pasteur 1st German-French-European Meeting on Yeast and Filamentous Fungi

6 Mar 2008, Evry (FR), Genoscope GDR 2354 Génolevures

8 Feb 2008, Pari (FR)s, Ecole Normale Supérieure GDR 2354 Génolevures

#### Macha Nikolski

8 Feb 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur Institute, Génolevures meeting

30 Mar-2 Apr 2008, Copenhagen (DK), YSBN meeting

28-30 Apr 2008, Paris (FR), Jury INRIA Saclay

11-12 Jun 2008, Lausanne (CH), EPFL, Research Day

20-24 Jun 2008, Saint Petersburg (RU), Saint Petersburg State University

6-10 Oct 2008, Moscow (RU), AlBio Conference

12-15 Oct 2008, Paris (FR), Recomb Conference, Paris

26 Nov-5 Dec 2008, Brisbane (AU), GIW 2008 Conference

#### **David Sherman**

10-12 Jan 2008, Cambridge (UK), ProteomeBinders Meeting

5 Feb 2008, Orsay (FR), U. Paris-Sud, Systems Biology of Yarrowia lipolytica

8 Feb 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur, Genolevures Meeting

6 Mar 2008, Paris (FR), Genoscope, Evry, Réunion Génolevures

27 Mars 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur, Reunion Génolevures

18-20 May, Cambridge (UK), ProteomeBinders Mid-term Report

29-31 May 2008, Strasbourg (FR), Université Louis Pasteur, 1st German-French-European Meeting

on Yeast and Filamentous Fungi 6 Juin 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur, GENARISE Meeting

22-23 Aug 2008, Göteborg (SE), Chalmers, YSBN Meeting

4-5 Sep 2008, Paris (FR), Institut Pasteur, GENARISE Meeting

19 Sep 2008, Paris (FR), Institut Pasteur, GDR 2354 Génolevures

6–10 Oct 2008, Moscow (RU), AlBio Conference

12 Dec 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur, reunion Genolevures

#### Nicolás Loira

8 Feb 2008, Pari (FR)s, Pasteur, Genolevures Meeting

29-31 May 2008, Strasbourg (FR), Université Louis Pasteur, First German / French / European / Meeting on Yeast and Filamentous Fungi

1-19 Jun 2008, Göteborg (SE), Chalmers, The 3rd International Course in Yeast Systems Biology

22-23 Aug 2008, Göteborg (SE), Chalmers, YSBN Meeting

#### Hayssam Soueidan

26 Nov-5 Dec 2008, Brisbane (AU), GIW 2008 Conference

#### Géraldine Jean

8 Feb 2008, Paris (FR), Pasteur, Genolevures Meeting

29-31 May 2008, Strasbourg (FR), Université Louis Pasteur 1st German-French-European Meeting on Yeast and Filamentous Fungi

11-12 Jun 2008, Lausanne (CH), EPFL, Research Day

12-15 Oct 2008, Paris (FR), Recomb Conference, Paris

#### Julie Bourbeillon

10-12 Jan 2008, Cambridge (UK), ProteomeBinders Meeting

18 Feb-1 Mar, Cambridge (UK), Ontologies for Affinity Binders Meeting

18-20 May, Cambridge (UK), ProteomeBinders Mid-term Report

### Adrien Goëffon

25 Feb 2008, Clermont-Ferrand (FR), Conference ROADEF'2008

11-16 Jul 2008, Atlanta (US), Conference GECCO'2008

## 8.6. Teaching

David Sherman is on the faculty of the École Nationale Supérieure d'Informatique, Électronique et Radio-communication de Bordeaux (ENSEIRB) and teaches in the first, second, and third years. In 2004-2006 he was seconded to the CNRS, and is currently seconded to INRIA.

Elisabeth Bon is on the faculty of the Université Victor Ségalen Bordeaux 2 and teaches courses in bioinformatics and cellular biology.

Macha Nikolski supervised with David Sherman the Master 2 thesis of Damien Girons.

All of the doctoral students in MAGNOME have teaching duties as teaching assistants, in the Universities Bordeaux 1 and Victor Ségalen Bordeaux 2, or the ENSEIRB. Post-doc Julie Bourbeillon teachines bioinformatics and statistics at the Université Victor Ségalen Bordeaux 2.

# 9. Bibliography

## Major publications by the team in recent years

[1] R. Barriot, J. Poix, A. Groppi, A. Barré, N. Goffard, D. Sherman, I. Dutour, A. D. Daruvar. New strategy for the representation and the integration of biomolecular knowledge at a cellular scale, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 32, 2004, p. 3581–3589.

- [2] G. BLANDIN, P. DURRENS, F. TEKAIA, M. AIGLE, M. BOLOTIN-FUKUHARA, E. BON, S. CASARÉGOLA, J. DE MONTIGNY, C. GAILLARDIN, A. LÉPINGLE, B. LLORENTE, A. MALPERTUY, C. NEUVÉGLISE, O. OZIER-KALOGEROPOULOS, A. PERRIN, S. POTIER, J.-L. SOUCIET, E. TALLA, C. TOFFANO-NIOCHE, M. WÉSOLOWSKI-LOUVEL, C. MARCK, B. DUJON. Genomic Exploration of the Hemiascomycetous Yeasts: 4. The genome of Saccharomyces cerevisiae revisited, in "FEBS Letters", vol. 487, no 1, December 2000, p. 31-36.
- [3] B. Dujon, D. Sherman, G. Fischer, P. Durrens, S. Casarégola, I. Lafontaine, J. de Montigny, C. Marck, C. Neuvéglise, E. Talla, N. Goffard, L. Frangeul, M. Aigle, V. Anthouard, A. Babour, V. Barbe, S. Barnay, S. Blanchin, J.-M. Beckerich, E. Beyne, C. Bleykasten, A. Boiramé, J. Boyer, L. Cattolico, F. Confanioleri, A. D. Daruvar, L. Despons, E. Fabre, C. Fairhead, H. Ferry-Dumazet, A. Groppi, F. Hantraye, C. Hennequin, N. Jauniaux, P. Joyet, R. Kachouri, A. Kerrest, R. Koszul, M. Lemaire, I. Lesur, L. Ma, H. Muller, J.-M. Nicaud, M. Nikolski, S. Oztas, O. Ozier-Kalogeropoulos, S. Pellenz, S. Potier, G.-F. Richard, M.-L. Straub, A. Suleau, D. Swennene, F. Tekaia, M. Wésolowski-Louvel, E. Westhof, B. Wirth, M. Zeniou-Meyer, I. Zivanovic, M. Bolotin-Fukuhara, A. Thierry, C. Bouchier, B. Caudron, C. Scarpelli, C. Gaillardin, J. Weissenbach, P. Wincker, J.-L. Souciet. Genome Evolution in Yeasts, in "Nature", vol. 430, 2004, p. 35–44.
- [4] P. DURRENS, M. NIKOLSKI, D. SHERMAN. Fusion and fission of genes define a metric between fungal genomes., in "PLoS Computational Biology", vol. 4, 10 2008, e1000200, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00341569/en/.
- [5] H. HERMJAKOB, L. MONTECCHI-PALAZZI, G. BADER, J. WOJCIK, L. SALWINSKI, A. CEOL, S. MOORE, S. ORCHARD, U. SARKANS, C. VON MERING, B. ROECHERT, S. POUX, E. JUNG, H. MERSCH, P. KERSEY, M. LAPPE, Y. LI, R. ZENG, D. RANA, M. NIKOLSKI, H. HUSI, C. BRUN, K. SHANKER, S. GRANT, C. SANDER, P. BORK, W. ZHU, A. PANDEY, A. BRAZMA, B. JACQ, M. VIDAL, D. SHERMAN, P. LEGRAIN, G. CESARENI, I. XENARIOS, D. EISENBERG, B. STEIPE, C. HOGUE, R. APWEILER. The HUPO PSI's molecular interaction format—a community standard for the representation of protein interaction data, in "Nat. Biotechnol.", vol. 22, n<sup>o</sup> 2, Feb. 2004, p. 177-83.
- [6] H. HERMJAKOB, L. MONTECCHI-PALAZZI, C. LEWINGTON, S. MUDALI, S. KERRIEN, S. ORCHARD, M. VINGRON, B. ROECHERT, P. ROEPSTORFF, A. VALENCIA, H. MARGALIT, J. ARMSTRONG, A. BAIROCH, G. CESARENI, D. SHERMAN, R. APWEILER. *IntAct: an open source molecular interaction database*, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 32, Jan. 2004, p. D452-5.
- [7] F. IRAGNE, M. NIKOLSKI, B. MATHIEU, D. AUBER, D. SHERMAN. *ProViz: protein interaction visualization and exploration*, in "Bioinformatics", Advance Access Publication 3 September 2004, vol. 21, n<sup>o</sup> 2, 2005, p. 272-4.

- [8] M. NIKOLSKI, D. SHERMAN. Family relationships: should consensus reign?- consensus clustering for protein families, in "Bioinformatics", vol. 23, 2007, p. e71–e76.
- [9] D. J. SHERMAN, T. MARTIN, M. NIKOLSKI, C. CAYLA, J.-L. SOUCIET, P. DURRENS. Genolevures: protein families and synteny among complete hemiascomycetous yeast proteomes and genomes., in "Nucleic Acids Research (NAR)", 11 2008, epub ahead of print, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00341578/en/.
- [10] M. Taussig, O. Stoevesandt, C. Borrebaeck, A. Bradbury, D. Cahill, C. Cambillau, A. de Daruvar, S. Duebel, J. Eichler, R. Frank, T. Gibson, D. Gloriam, L. Gold, F. Herberg, H. Hermjakob, J. Hoheisel, T. Joos, O. Kallioniemi, M. Koegll, Z. Konthur, B. Korn, E. Kremmer, S. Krobitsch, U. Landegren, S. van der Maarel, J. McCafferty, S. Muyldermans, P.-A. Nygren, S. Palcy, A. Plueckthun, B. Polic, M. Przybylski, P. Saviranta, A. Sawyer, D. Sherman, A. Skerra, M. Templin, M. Ueffing, M. Uhlen. *ProteomeBinders: planning a European resource of affinity reagents for analysis of the human proteome*, in "Nature Methods", vol. 4, n<sup>o</sup> 1, 2007, p. 13–17.

## **Year Publications**

#### **Doctoral Dissertations and Habilitation Theses**

- [11] E. BEYNE. Règles de cohérence pour l'annotation génomique : développement et mise en oeuvre in silico et in vivo, Ph. D. Thesis, Université Sciences et Technologies Bordeaux I, 01 2008, http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00350902/en/.
- [12] G. JEAN. Méthodes in silico pour l'étude des réarrangements génomiques : de l'identification de marqueurs communs à la reconstruction ancestrale., Ph. D. Thesis, Université Sciences et Technologies Bordeaux I, 12 2008, http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00350900/en/.

## **Articles in International Peer-Reviewed Journal**

- [13] A. ATHANE, E. BILHÈRE, E. BON, P. LUCAS, G. MOREL, A. LONVAUD-FUNEL, C. LE HÉNAFF-LE MARREC. Characterization of an acquired-dps-containing gene island in the lactic acid bacterium Oenococcus oeni, in "Journal of Applied Microbiology", Received 22 October 2007, revised 8 April 2008 & Accepted 8 May 2008 (In press), 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00340058/en/.
- [14] P. DURRENS, M. NIKOLSKI, D. SHERMAN. Fusion and fission of genes define a metric between fungal genomes., in "PLoS Computational Biology", vol. 4, 10 2008, e1000200, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00341569/en/
- [15] A. GOËFFON, J.-M. RICHER, J.-K. HAO. *Progressive Tree Neighborhood Applied to the Maximum Parsimony Problem*, in "IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics", vol. 5, 2008, p. 136–145, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00350539/en/.
- [16] G. JEAN, D. SHERMAN, M. NIKOLSKI. *Mining the semantics of genome super-blocks to infer ancestral architectures*, in "J. Computational Biology", accepted for publication, 2008.
- [17] D. J. SHERMAN, T. MARTIN, M. NIKOLSKI, C. CAYLA, J.-L. SOUCIET, P. DURRENS. *Genolevures: protein families and synteny among complete hemiascomycetous yeast proteomes and genomes.*, in "Nucleic Acids Research (NAR)", epub ahead of print, 11 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00341578/en/.

#### **Invited Conferences**

[18] M. NIKOLSKI. *Mining the semantics of of genome super-blocks*, in "3rd Workshop on Algorithms in bioinformatics, Moscow Russian Federation", 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00350572/en/.

[19] D. J. SHERMAN. *Genomic Exploration of the Hemiascomycetous Yeasts*, in "3rd Workshop on Algorithms in bioinformatics, Moscow Russian Federation", 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00350570/en/.

#### **International Peer-Reviewed Conference/Proceedings**

- [20] M. CVIJOVIC, H. SOUEIDAN, D. J. SHERMAN, E. KLIPP, M. NIKOLSKI. Exploratory Simulation of Cell Ageing Using Hierarchical Models, in "19th International Conference on Genome Informatics Genome Informatics, Gold Coast, Queensland Australia", J. ARTHUR, S.-K. NG (editors), Genome Informatics, EU FP6 Yeast Systems Biology Network LSHG-CT-2005-018942, EU Marie Curie Early Stage Training (EST) Network "Systems Biology", ANR-05-BLAN-0331-03 (GENARISE), vol. 21, Imperial College Press, London, 2008, p. 114–125, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00350561/en/.
- [21] A. GOËFFON, M. NIKOLSKI, D. J. SHERMAN. *An Efficient Probabilistic Population-Based Descent for the Median Genome Problem*, in "Proceedings of the 10th annual ACM SIGEVO conference on Genetic and evolutionary computation (GECCO 2008), Atlanta United States", ACM, 2008, p. 315-322, http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00341672/en/.

## **National Peer-Reviewed Conference/Proceedings**

[22] A. GOËFFON. *Recherches locales à voisinages probabilistes et applications à la bio-informatique*, in "ROADEF '08 - Neuvième congrès de la Société Française de Recherche Opérationnelle et d'Aide à la Décision, Clermont-Ferrand France", I.: Computing Methodologies/I.2: ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE/I.2.8: Problem Solving, Control Methods, and Search, 2008, p. 211-2, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00350547/en/.

### **Workshops without Proceedings**

- [23] A. ATHANE, E. BILHÈRE, E. BON, G. MOREL, P. LUCAS, A. LONVAUD-FUNEL, C. LE HÉNAFF-LE MARREC. Characterization of an acquired dps-containing gene island in the lactic acid bacterium Oenococcus oeni, in "9th Symposium on Lactic Acid Bacteria, Egmond aan Zee Netherlands", 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00340080/en/.
- [24] E. BON, C. GRANVALET, F. REMIZE, D. DIMOVA, P. LUCAS, D. JACOB, A. GROPPI, S. PENAUD, C. AULARD, A. DE DARUVAR, A. LONVAUD-FUNEL, J. GUZZO. *Insights into genome plasticity of the wine-making bacterium Oenococcus oeni strain ATCC BAA-1163 by decryption of its whole genome.*, in "9th Symposium on Lactic Acid Bacteria, Egmond aan Zee Netherlands", 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00340073/en/.
- [25] D. DIMOVA, E. BON, P. LUCAS, R. BEUGNOT, M. DE LEEUW, A. LONVAUD-FUNEL. *The whole genome of Oenococcus strain IOEB 8413*, in "9th Symposium on Lactic Acid Bacteria, Egmond aan Zee Netherlands", 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00340086/en/.
- [26] G. JEAN. *Reconstruction and visualization of genome rearrangements within the Kluyveromyces*, in "First German / French / European Meeting on Yeast and Filamentous Fungi, Strasbourg France", 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00350613/en/.

- [27] N. LOIRA. Projection and FBA of Metabolic Networks in Hemiascomycetous yeasts, in "First German / French / European Meeting on Yeast and Filamentous Fungi, Strasbourg France", 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/ inria-00350615/en/.
- [28] H. SOUEIDAN. Exploratory simulation of cell ageing using hierarchical models, in "First German / French / European Meeting on Yeast and Filamentous Fungi, Strasbourg France", 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00350616/en/.

#### **References in notes**

- [29] S. F. ALTSCHUL, T. L. MADDEN, A. A. SCHÄFFER, J. ZHANG, Z. ZHANG, W. MILLER, D. J. LIPMAN. *Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs*, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 25, 1997, p. 3389–3402.
- [30] A. ARNOLD, G. POINT, A. GRIFFAULT, A. RAUZY. *The AltaRica formalism for describing concurrent systems*, in "Fundam. Inf.", vol. 40, n<sup>o</sup> 2-3, 1999, p. 109–124.
- [31] A. BAIROCH, R. APWEILER, C. WU, W. BARKER, B. BOECKMANN, ETAL. *The Universal Protein Resource (UniProt)*, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 33, 2005, p. D154–D159.
- [32] P. Baldi, S. Brunak. *Bioinformatics: The Machine Learning Approach*, Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning Series, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1998.
- [33] R. BARRIOT, J. POIX, A. GROPPI, A. BARRÉ, N. GOFFARD, D. SHERMAN, I. DUTOUR, A. D. DARUVAR. New strategy for the representation and the integration of biomolecular knowledge at a cellular scale, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 32, 2004, p. 3581–3589.
- [34] J.-P. BARTHÉLEMY, B. LECLERC. *The median procedure for partitions*, in "DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science", 1995.
- [35] E. BELL. Exponential numbers, in "Amer. Math. Monthly", vol. 41, 1934, p. 411–419.
- [36] G. Blandin, P. Durrens, F. Tekaia, M. Aigle, M. Bolotin-Fukuhara, E. Bon, S. Casarégola, J. De Montigny, C. Gaillardin, A. Lépingle, B. Llorente, A. Malpertuy, C. Neuvéglise, O. Ozier-Kalogeropoulos, A. Perrin, S. Potier, J.-L. Souciet, E. Talla, C. Toffano-Nioche, M. Wésolowski-Louvel, C. Marck, B. Dujon. *Genomic Exploration of the Hemiascomycetous Yeasts:* 4. The genome of Saccharomyces cerevisiae revisited, in "FEBS Letters", vol. 487, n<sup>o</sup> 1, December 2000, p. 31-36.
- [37] G. BOURQUE, P. PEVZNER. Genome-scale evolution: reconstructing gene orders in ancestral species, in "Genome Res.", vol. 12, 2002, p. 9748-9753.
- [38] B. Breitkreutz, C. Stark, M. Tyers. *Osprey: a network visualization system*, in "Genome Biology", vol. 4, no 3, 2003, R22.
- [39] J. CHERRY, C. ADLER, C. BALL, S. CHERVITZ, S. DWIGHT, E. HESTER, Y. JIA, G. JUVIK, T. ROE, M. SCHROEDER, S. WENG, D. BOTSTEIN. *SGD: Saccharomyces Genome Database*, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 26, 1998, p. 73–79.

[40] P. CLIFTEN, P. SUDARSANAM, A. DESIKAN, L. FULTON, B. FULTON, J. MAJORS, R. WATERSTON, B. A. COHEN, M. JOHNSTON. Finding functional features in Saccharomyces genomes by phylogenetic footprinting, in "Science", vol. 301, 2003, p. 71–76.

- [41] F. S. COLLINS, E. D. GREEN, A. E. GUTTMACHER, M. S. GUYER. A vision for the future of genomics research, in "Nature", vol. 422, April 2003, p. 835–847.
- [42] P. COUSOT, R. COUSOT. Abstract interpretation: a unified lattice model for static analysis of programs by construction or approximation of fixpoints, in "Conference Record of the Fourth ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages", January 1977, p. 238–252.
- [43] A. DANCHIN. La Barque de Delphes, Éditions Odile Jacob, 1998.
- [44] F. S. DIETRICH, ETAL. The Ashbya gossypii genome as a tool for mapping the ancient Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome, in "Science", vol. 304, 2004, p. 304-7.
- [45] D. DISTEFANO. On model checking the dynamics of object based software, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Twente, 2003.
- [46] B. DUJON, D. SHERMAN, ETAL. Genome Evolution in Yeasts, in "Nature", vol. 430, 2004, p. 35-44.
- [47] K. EILBECK, S. LEWIS, C. MUNGALL, M. YANDELL, L. STEIN, R. DURBIN, M. ASHBURNER. *The Sequence Ontology: a tool for the unification of genome annotations*, in "Genome Biology", vol. 6, 2005, R44.
- [48] R. FIELDING, R. TAYLOR. *Principled design of the modern Web architecture*, in "ACM Trans. Internet Technol.", vol. 2, 2002, p. 115–150.
- [49] A. FRED, A. JAIN. *Data clustering using evidence accumulation*, in "In Proc. of the 16th Intl. Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR 2002)", 2002, p. 276–280.
- [50] M. GAREY, D. JOHNSON. Computers and Intractability; A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness, W. H. Freeman & Co., 1979.
- [51] M. GRÖTSCHEL, Y. WAKABAYASHI. A cutting plane algorithm for a clustering problem, in "Mathematical Programming B", vol. 59–96, 1989.
- [52] S. HANNENHALLI, P. PEVZNER. *Transforming cabbage into turnip (polynomial algorithm for sorting signed permutations by reversals)*, in "Proc. 27th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on the Theory of Computing", 1995, p. 178–189.
- [53] T. HENZINGER. *The theory of hybrid automata*, in "Proceedings of the 11th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, New Jersey", 1996, p. 278–292.
- [54] M. HUCKA, ETAL. The systems biology markup language (SBML): a medium for representation and exchange of biochemical network models, in "Bioinformatics", vol. 19, no 4, 2003, p. 524-31.

- [55] M. KANEHISA, P. BORK. Bioinformatics in the post-sequence era, in "Nature Gen.", Review, vol. 33, March 2003, p. 305–310.
- [56] C. KANZ, P. ALDEBERT, N. ALTHORPE, W. BAKER, A. BALDWIN, K. BATES, ETAL. *The EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Database*, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 33 database issue, 2005, p. D29–D33.
- [57] M. KELLIS, B. BIRREN, E. LANDER. *Proof and evolutionary analysis of ancient genome duplication in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, in "Nature", vol. 428, 2004, p. 617-24.
- [58] M. KELLIS, N. PATTERSON, M. ENDRIZZI, B. BIRREN, E. S. LANDER. Sequencing and comparison of yeast species to identify genes and regulatory elements, in "Nature", vol. 423, 2003, p. 241–254.
- [59] P. KHATRI, S. DRAGHICI. Ontological analysis of gene expression data: current tools, limitations, and open problems, in "Bioinformatics", vol. 21, n<sup>o</sup> 18, 2005, p. 3587–3595.
- [60] E. KOROBKOVA, T. EMONET, J. VILAR, T. SHIMIZU, P. CLUZEL. From molecular noise to behavioural variability in a single bacterium., in "Nature", vol. 428, 2004, p. 574–578.
- [61] R. KOSZUL, S. CABURET, B. DUJON, G. FISCHER. Eucaryotic genome evolution through the spontaneous duplication of large chromosomal segments, in "EMBO Journal", vol. 23, no 1, 2004, p. 234-43.
- [62] P. LEGRAIN, J. WOJCIK, J. GAUTHIER. *Protein-protein interaction maps: a lead towards cellular functions*, in "Trends in Genetics", vol. 17, 2001.
- [63] M. MEILA. Comparing Clusterings by the Variation of Information, in "Proceeding of COLT'2003", 2003, p. 173–187.
- [64] H. MEWES, D. FRISCHMAN, U. GULDENER, G. MANNHAUPT, K. MAYER, M. MOKREJS, B. MORGENSTERN, M. MUNSTERKOTTER, S. RUDD, B. WEIL. *MIPS: a database for genomes and protein sequences*, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 30, no 1, January 2002, p. 31–34.
- [65] M. MINSKY. Computation: Finite and Infinite Machines, Prentice-Hall, 1967.
- [66] M. NIKOLSKAÏA, A. RAUZY, D. J. SHERMAN. Almana: A BDD Minimization Tool Integrating Heuristic and Rewriting Methods, in "Formal Methods in Computer-Aided Design, Second International Cnference, FMCAD'98, Palo Alto, California", G. GOPALAKRISHNAN, P. WINDLEY (editors), Springer-Verlag LNCS 1522, November 1998.
- [67] M. NIKOLSKAÏA, L. NIKOLSKAIA. Size of OBDD representation of 2-level redundancies functions, in "Theoretical Computer Science", vol. 255, n<sup>o</sup> 1-2, 2001, p. 615-625.
- [68] M. NIKOLSKI, D. SHERMAN. Family relationships: should consensus reign?- consensus clustering for protein families, in "Bioinformatics", vol. 23, 2007, p. e71–e76.
- [69] M. NIKOLSKI, H. SOUEIDAN, G. SUTRE. *Decidability of Model Checking Set Automata*, Submitted for publication, October 2006.

[70] P. PEVZNER, G. TESLER. Genome Rearrangements in Mammalian Evolution: Lessons from Human and Mouse Genomes, in "Genome Research", vol. 13, 2002, p. 37–45.

- [71] P. PEVZNER, G. TESLER. *Genome rearrangements in mammalian evolution: lessons from human and mouse genomes*, in "Genome Res.", vol. 13, n<sup>o</sup> 1, 2003, p. 37-45.
- [72] A. PNUELI, J. XU, L. D. ZUCK. *Liveness with (0, 1, infty)-Counter Abstraction*, in "CAV '02: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Computer Aided Verification, London, UK", Springer-Verlag, 2002, p. 107–122.
- [73] S. RÉGNIER. Sur quelques aspects mathématiques des problèmes de classification automatique, in "ICC Bulletin", vol. 4, 1965, p. 175–191.
- [74] D. SHERMAN, P. DURRENS, E. BEYNE, M. NIKOLSKI, J.-L. SOUCIET, GÉNOLEVURES CONSORTIUM. *Génolevures: comparative genomics and molecular evolution of hemiascomycetous yeasts*, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 32, 2004, p. D315–D318.
- [75] D. SHERMAN, P. DURRENS, F. IRAGNE, E. BEYNE, M. NIKOLSKI, J.-L. SOUCIET, GÉNOLEVURES CONSORTIUM. Génolevures complete genomes provide data and tools for comparative genomics of hemiascomycetous yeasts, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 34, 2006, p. D432–435.
- [76] D. J. SHERMAN, N. MAGNIER. *Factotum: Automatic and Systematic Sharing Support for Systems Analyzers*, in "Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS'98), Lisbon, Portugal", B. STEFFEN (editor), Springer-Verlag LNCS 1384, March-April 1998.
- [77] C. SIMILLION, K. VANDEPOELE, Y. SAEYS, Y. VAN DE PEER. Building genomic profiles for uncovering segmental homology in the twilight zone, in "Genome Res.", vol. 14, 2004, p. 1095-1106.
- [78] T. F. SMITH, M. WATERMAN. *Identification of common molecular subsequences*, in "Journal of Molecular Biology", 1981.
- [79] J.-L. SOUCIET, ETAL. FEBS Letters Special Issue: Génolevures, in "FEBS Letters", vol. 487, n<sup>o</sup> 1, December 2000.
- [80] H. SOUEIDAN, M. NIKOLSKI. BioRica: Continuous and discrete modular models, Submitted for publication, 2006.
- [81] H. SOUEIDAN, M. NIKOLSKI, G. SUTRE. Syntaxe, Sémantique et abstractions de programmes AltaRica Dataflow, Masters thesis, Université de bordeaux 1, 2005, http://www.labri.fr/~soueidan/.
- [82] J. STAJICH, D. BLOCK, K. BOULEZ, S. BRENNER, S. CHERVITZ, ETAL. *The BioPerl Toolkit: Perl modules for the life sciences*, in "Genome Res.", vol. 12, 2002, p. 1611-18.
- [83] L. D. Stein. *The Generic Genome Browser: A building block for a model organism system database*, in "Genome Res.", vol. 12, 2002, p. 1599-1610.
- [84] A. STREHL, J. GHOSH. *Cluster ensembles a knowledge reuse framework for combining multiple partitions*, in "The Journal of Machine Learning Research archive", vol. 3, 2003, p. 583–617.

- [85] G. TESLER. *Efficient Algorithms for multichromosomal genome rearrangements*, in "J. Comp. Sys. Sci.", vol. 65, 2002, p. 587–609.
- [86] A. TOPCHY, A. JAIN, W. PUNCH. A Mixture Model for Clustering Ensembles, in "Proc. SIAM Conf. on Data Mining", 2004, p. 379-390.
- [87] A. TOPCHY, M. LAW, A. JAIN, A. FRED. *Analysis of Consensus Partition in Cluster Ensemble*, in "Proc. IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM'04)", 2004, p. 225–232.
- [88] J. TYSON, K. C. CHEN, L. CALZONE, A. CSIKASZ-NAGY, F. R. CROSS, B. NOVAK. *Integrative Analysis of Cell Cycle Control in Budding Yeast*, in "Mol. Biol. Cell", vol. 15, no 8, 2004, p. 3841-3862, http://www.molbiolcell.org/cgi/content/abstract/15/8/3841.
- [89] P. WILLIAMS, M. NIKOLSKAÏA, A. RAUZY. *Bypassing BDD construction for reliability analysis*, in "Information Processing Letters", vol. 75, n<sup>o</sup> 1–2, 2000, p. 85–89.
- [90] C. Wu, A. NIKOLSKAYA, H. HUANG, L. YEH, D. NATALE, C. VINAYAKA, Z. Hu, R. MAZUMDER, S. KUMAR, P. KOURTESIS, ETAL. *PIRSF: family classification system at the Protein Information Resource*, in "Nucleic Acids Res.", vol. 32, 2004, p. D315–D318.