Activity Report 2019

Project-Team REALOPT

Reformulations based algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization

Table of contents

<div align="center">

Project-Team REALOPT

</div>

Creation of the Project-Team: 2009 January 01

Keywords:

Computer Science and Digital Science:
  A1.1.3. - Memory models
  A1.1.4. - High performance computing
  A1.1.5. - Exascale
  A1.3.5. - Cloud
  A6.1.3. - Discrete Modeling (multi-agent, people centered)
  A6.2.5. - Numerical Linear Algebra
  A6.2.6. - Optimization
  A6.2.7. - High performance computing
  A7.1.2. - Parallel algorithms
  A8.1. - Discrete mathematics, combinatorics
  A8.2. - Optimization
  A8.2.1. - Operations research
  A8.7. - Graph theory
  A9.7. - AI algorithmics

Other Research Topics and Application Domains:
  B3.1. - Sustainable development
  B3.1.1. - Resource management
  B4.2. - Nuclear Energy Production
  B4.4. - Energy delivery
  B6.5. - Information systems
  B7. - Transport and logistics
  B9.5.2. - Mathematics

1. Team, Visitors, External Collaborators

Research Scientists
 Olivier Beaumont [Inria, Senior Researcher, until Mar 2019, HDR]
 Lionel Eyraud-Dubois [Inria, Researcher, until Mar 2019]
 Ruslan Sadykov [Inria, Researcher, HDR]

Faculty Members
 François Clautiaux [Team leader, Univ de Bordeaux, Professor, HDR]
 Francois Vanderbeck [Univ de Bordeaux, Professor, until Feb 2019]
 Boris Detienne [Univ de Bordeaux, Associate Professor]
 Aurelien Froger [Univ de Bordeaux, Associate Professor, from Sep 2019]
 Arnaud Pecher [Univ de Bordeaux, Professor, HDR]
 Pierre Pesneau [Univ de Bordeaux, Associate Professor]

Post-Doctoral Fellows
 Aurelien Froger [Inria, Post-Doctoral Fellow, until Aug 2019]

Siao Phouratsamay [Inria, Post-Doctoral Fellow, from Oct 2019]

PhD Students

Imen Ben Mohamed [Ecole de Commerce KEDGE Business School, PhD Student, until Sep 2019]

Mohamed Benkirane [SNCF, PhD Student, until Nov 2019, granted by CIFRE]

Xavier Blanchot [RTE, PhD Student, from Oct 2019, granted by CIFRE]

Gael Guillot [Univ de Bordeaux, PhD Student]

Johan Leveque [La Poste, PhD Student, from May 2019, granted by CIFRE]

Guillaume Marques [Univ de Bordeaux, PhD Student]

Orlando Rivera Letelier [Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, PhD Student]

Alena Shilova [Inria, PhD Student, until Mar 2019]

Technical staff

Xavier Blanchot [Inria, Engineer, until Sep 2019]

Interns and Apprentices

Henri Lefebvre [Inria, from Feb 2019 until Jul 2019]

Nina Vesseron [Inria, from Jun 2019 until Jul 2019]

Administrative Assistant

Joelle Rodrigues [Inria, Administrative Assistant]

Visiting Scientist

Eduardo Uchoa Barboza [Universidade Federal Fluminense, Apr 2019]

External Collaborators

Artur Alves Pessoa [Universidade Federal Fluminense]

Imen Ben Mohamed [Ecole de Commerce KEDGE Business School, from Oct 2019]

Philippe Depouilly [CNRS]

Laurent Facq [CNRS]

Cédric Joncour [Univ du Havre]

Walid Klibi [Ecole de Commerce KEDGE Business School]

Philippe Meurdesoif [Univ de Bordeaux]

Vitor Nesello [Association pour le développement de l'enseignement et des recherches d'Aquitaine, until Apr 2019]

Gautier Stauffer [Ecole de Commerce KEDGE Business School, HDR]

Issam Tahiri [Association pour le développement de l'enseignement et des recherches d'Aquitaine, until Apr 2019]

2. Overall Objectives

2.1. Overall Objectives

Keywords: Reformulation techniques in Mixed Integer Programming (MIP), Polyhedral approaches (cut generation), Robust Optimization, Approximation Algorithms, Extended formulations, Lagrangian Relaxation (Column Generation) based algorithms, Dantzig and Benders Decomposition, Primal Heuristics, Graph Theory, Constraint Programming.

Quantitative modeling is routinely used in both industry and administration to design and operate transportation, distribution, or production systems. Optimization concerns every stage of the decision-making process: long term investment budgeting and activity planning, tactical management of scarce resources, or the control of day-to-day operations. In many optimization problems that arise in decision support applications the most important decisions (control variables) are discrete in nature: such as on/off decision to buy, to invest, to hire, to send a vehicle, to allocate resources, to decide on precedence in operation planning, or to install a connection in network design. Such *combinatorial optimization* problems can be modeled as linear or nonlinear programs with integer decision variables and extra variables to deal with continuous adjustments. The most widely used modeling tool consists in defining the feasible decision set using linear inequalities with a mix of integer and continuous variables, so-called Mixed Integer Programs (MIP), which already allow a fair description of reality and are also well-suited for global optimization. The solution of such models is essentially based on enumeration techniques and is notoriously difficult given the huge size of the solution space.

Commercial solvers have made significant progress but remain quickly overwhelmed beyond a certain problem size. A key to further progress is the development of better problem formulations that provide strong continuous approximations and hence help to prune the enumerative solution scheme. Effective solution schemes are a complex blend of techniques: cutting planes to better approximate the convex hull of feasible (integer) solutions, extended reformulations (combinatorial relations can be formulated better with extra variables), constraint programming to actively reduce the solution domain through logical implications along variable fixing based on reduced cost, Lagrangian decomposition methods to produce powerful relaxations, and Bender's decomposition to project the formulation, reducing the problem to the important decision variables, and to implement multi-level programming that models a hierarchy of decision levels or recourse decision in the case of data adjustment, primal heuristics and meta-heuristics (greedy, local improvement, or randomized partial search procedures) to produce good candidates at all stage of the solution process, and branch-and-bound or dynamic programming enumeration schemes to find a global optimum, with specific strong strategies for the selection on the sequence of fixings. The real challenge is to integrate the most efficient methods in one global system so as to prune what is essentially an enumeration based solution technique. The progress are measured in terms of the large scale of input data that can now be solved, the integration of many decision levels into planning models, and not least, the account taken for random (or dynamically adjusted) data by way of modeling expectation (stochastic approaches) or worst-case behavior (robust approaches).

Building on complementary expertise, our team's overall goals are threefold:

(i) Methodologies: To design tight formulations for specific combinatorial optimization problems and generic models, relying on delayed cut and column generation, decomposition, extended formulations and projection tools for linear and nonlinear mixed integer programming models. To develop generic methods based on such strong formulations by handling their large scale dynamically. To generalize algorithmic features that have proven efficient in enhancing performance of exact optimization approaches. To develop approximation schemes with proven optimality gap and low computational complexity. More broadly, to contribute to theoretical and methodological developments of exact and approximate approaches in combinatorial optimization, while extending the scope of applications and their scale.

(ii) Problem solving: To demonstrate the strength of cooperation between complementary exact mathematical optimization techniques, dynamic programming, robust and stochastic optimization, constraint programming, combinatorial algorithms and graph theory, by developing "efficient" algorithms for specific mathematical models. To tackle large-scale real-life applications, providing provably good approximate solutions by combining exact, approximate, and heuristic methods.

(iii) Software platform & Transfer: To provide prototypes of modelers and solvers based on generic software tools that build on our research developments, writing code that serves as the proof-of-concept of the genericity and efficiency of our approaches, while transferring our research findings to internal and external users.

3. Research Program

3.1. Introduction

integer programming, graph theory, decomposition approaches, polyhedral approaches, quadratic programming approaches, constraint programming.

Combinatorial optimization is the field of discrete optimization problems. In many applications, the most important decisions (control variables) are binary (on/off decisions) or integer (indivisible quantities). Extra variables can represent continuous adjustments or amounts. This results in models known as *mixed integer programs* (MIP), where the relationships between variables and input parameters are expressed as linear constraints and the goal is defined as a linear objective function. MIPs are notoriously difficult to solve: good quality estimations of the optimal value (bounds) are required to prune enumeration-based global-optimization algorithms whose complexity is exponential. In the standard approach to solving an MIP is so-called *branch-and-bound algorithm* : (i) one solves the linear programming (LP) relaxation using the simplex method; (ii) if the LP solution is not integer, one adds a disjunctive constraint on a factional component (rounding it up or down) that defines two sub-problems; (iii) one applies this procedure recursively, thus defining a binary enumeration tree that can be pruned by comparing the local LP bound to the best known integer solution. Commercial MIP solvers are essentially based on branch-and-bound (such IBM-CPLEX, FICO-Xpress-mp, or GUROBI). They have made tremendous progress over the last decade (with a speedup by a factor of 60). But extending their capabilities remains a continuous challenge; given the combinatorial explosion inherent to enumerative solution techniques, they remain quickly overwhelmed beyond a certain problem size or complexity.

Progress can be expected from the development of tighter formulations. Central to our field is the characterization of polyhedra defining or approximating the solution set and combinatorial algorithms to identify "efficiently" a minimum cost solution or separate an unfeasible point. With properly chosen formulations, exact optimization tools can be competitive with other methods (such as meta-heuristics) in constructing good approximate solutions within limited computational time, and of course has the important advantage of being able to provide a performance guarantee through the relaxation bounds. Decomposition techniques are implicitly leading to better problem formulation as well, while constraint propagation are tools from artificial intelligence to further improve formulation through intensive preprocessing. A new trend is robust optimization where recent progress have been made: the aim is to produce optimized solutions that remain of good quality even if the problem data has stochastic variations. In all cases, the study of specific models and challenging industrial applications is quite relevant because developments made into a specific context can become generic tools over time and see their way into commercial software.

Our project brings together researchers with expertise in mathematical programming (polyhedral approaches, decomposition and reformulation techniques in mixed integer programing, robust and stochastic programming, and dynamic programming), graph theory (characterization of graph properties, combinatorial algorithms) and constraint programming in the aim of producing better quality formulations and developing new methods to exploit these formulations. These new results are then applied to find high quality solutions for practical combinatorial problems such as routing, network design, planning, scheduling, cutting and packing problems, High Performance and Cloud Computing.

3.2. Polyhedral approaches for MIP

Adding valid inequalities to the polyhedral description of an MIP allows one to improve the resulting LP bound and hence to better prune the enumeration tree. In a cutting plane procedure, one attempt to identify valid inequalities that are violated by the LP solution of the current formulation and adds them to the formulation. This can be done at each node of the branch-and-bound tree giving rise to a so-called *branch-and-cut algorithm* [65]. The goal is to reduce the resolution of an integer program to that of a linear program by deriving a linear description of the convex hull of the feasible solutions. Polyhedral theory tells us that if X is a mixed integer program: $X = P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p$ where $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p} : Ax \leq b\}$ with matrix

$(A, b) \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times (n+p+1)}$, then $conv(X)$ is a polyhedron that can be described in terms of linear constraints, i.e. it writes as $conv(X) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p} : C\, x \leq d\}$ for some matrix $(C, d) \in \mathbb{Q}^{m' \times (n+p+1)}$ although the dimension m' is typically quite large. A fundamental result in this field is the equivalence of complexity between solving the combinatorial optimization problem $\min\{cx : x \in X\}$ and solving the *separation problem* over the associated polyhedron $conv(X)$: if $\tilde{x} \notin conv(X)$, find a linear inequality $\pi\, x \geq \pi_0$ satisfied by all points in $conv(X)$ but violated by $\tilde{x}$. Hence, for NP-hard problems, one can not hope to get a compact description of $conv(X)$ nor a polynomial time exact separation routine. Polyhedral studies focus on identifying some of the inequalities that are involved in the polyhedral description of $conv(X)$ and derive efficient *separation procedures* (cutting plane generation). Only a subset of the inequalities $C\, x \leq d$ can offer a good approximation, that combined with a branch-and-bound enumeration techniques permits to solve the problem. Using *cutting plane algorithm* at each node of the branch-and-bound tree, gives rise to the algorithm called *branch-and-cut*.

3.3. Decomposition-and-reformulation-approaches

An hierarchical approach to tackle complex combinatorial problems consists in considering separately different substructures (subproblems). If one is able to implement relatively efficient optimization on the substructures, this can be exploited to reformulate the global problem as a selection of specific subproblem solutions that together form a global solution. If the subproblems correspond to subset of constraints in the MIP formulation, this leads to Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition. If it corresponds to isolating a subset of decision variables, this leads to Bender's decomposition. Both lead to extended formulations of the problem with either a huge number of variables or constraints. Dantzig-Wolfe approach requires specific algorithmic approaches to generate subproblem solutions and associated global decision variables dynamically in the course of the optimization. This procedure is known as *column generation*, while its combination with branch-and-bound enumeration is called *branch-and-price*. Alternatively, in Bender's approach, when dealing with exponentially many constraints in the reformulation, the *cutting plane procedures* that we defined in the previous section are well-suited tools. When optimization on a substructure is (relatively) easy, there often exists a tight reformulation of this substructure typically in an extended variable space. This gives rise powerful reformulation of the global problem, although it might be impractical given its size (typically pseudo-polynomial). It can be possible to project (part of) the extended formulation in a smaller dimensional space if not the original variable space to bring polyhedral insight (cuts derived through polyhedral studies can often be recovered through such projections).

3.4. Integration of Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Integer Programming

When one deals with combinatorial problems with a large number of integer variables, or tightly constrained problems, mixed integer programming (MIP) alone may not be able to find solutions in a reasonable amount of time. In this case, techniques from artificial intelligence can be used to improve these methods. In particular, we use variable fixing techniques, primal heuristics and constraint programming.

Primal heuristics are useful to find feasible solutions in a small amount of time. We focus on heuristics that are either based on integer programming (rounding, diving, relaxation induced neighborhood search, feasibility pump), or that are used inside our exact methods (heuristics for separation or pricing subproblem, heuristic constraint propagation, ...). Such methods are likely to produce good quality solutions only if the integer programming formulation is of top quality, i.e., if its LP relaxation provides a good approximation of the IP solution.

In the same line, variable fixing techniques, that are essential in reducing the size of large scale problems, rely on good quality approximations: either tight formulations or tight relaxation solvers (as a dynamic program combined with state space relaxation). Then if the dual bound derives when the variable is fixed to one exceeds the incubent solution value, the variable can be fixed to zero and hence removed from the problem. The process can be apply sequentially by refining the degree of relaxation.

Constraint Programming (CP) focuses on iteratively reducing the variable domains (sets of feasible values) by applying logical and problem-specific operators. The latter propagates on selected variables the restrictions that are implied by the other variable domains through the relations between variables that are defined by the constraints of the problem. Combined with enumeration, it gives rise to exact optimization algorithms. A CP approach is particularly effective for tightly constrained problems, feasibility problems and min-max problems. Mixed Integer Programming (MIP), on the other hand, is known to be effective for loosely constrained problems and for problems with an objective function defined as the weighted sum of variables. Many problems belong to the intersection of these two classes. For such problems, it is reasonable to use algorithms that exploit complementary strengths of Constraint Programming and Mixed Integer Programming.

3.5. Robust Optimization

Decision makers are usually facing several sources of uncertainty, such as the variability in time or estimation errors. A simplistic way to handle these uncertainties is to overestimate the unknown parameters. However, this results in over-conservatism and a significant waste in resource consumption. A better approach is to account for the uncertainty directly into the decision aid model by considering mixed integer programs that involve uncertain parameters. Stochastic optimization account for the expected realization of random data and optimize an expected value representing the average situation. Robust optimization on the other hand entails protecting against the worst-case behavior of unknown data. There is an analogy to game theory where one considers an oblivious adversary choosing the realization that harms the solution the most. A full worst case protection against uncertainty is too conservative and induces very high over-cost. Instead, the realization of random data are bound to belong to a restricted feasibility set, the so-called uncertainty set. Stochastic and robust optimization rely on very large scale programs where probabilistic scenarios are enumerated. There is hope of a tractable solution for realistic size problems, provided one develops very efficient ad-hoc algorithms. The techniques for dynamically handling variables and constraints (column-and-row generation and Bender's projection tools) that are at the core of our team methodological work are specially well-suited to this context.

3.6. Approximation Algorithms

In some contexts, obtaining an exact solution to an optimization problem is not feasible: when instances are too large, or when decisions need to be taken rapidly. Since most of the combinatorial optimization problems are NP-hard, another direction to obtain good quality solutions in reasonable time is to focus on **approximation algorithms**. The definition of approximation algorithms is based on the notion of input set $\mathcal{I}$ and each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ defines a solution space $\mathcal{S}_I$. For a minimization problem $\min_{x \in \mathcal{S}_I} f(x)$, an algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ is an α-approximation algorithm if it provides a solution within α of the optimal solution for all instances in the input set:

$$\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, \quad f(\mathcal{A}(I)) \leq \alpha \min_{x \in \mathcal{S}_I} f(x) = f^*(I)$$

The objective is to search for polynomial algorithms, with approximation ratios as close to 1 as possible. Such algorithms are called *worst-case* approximation algorithms, because the performance guarantee is expressed over all possible inputs of the problem. The design of these algorithms have strong links with the enumeration techniques described above: since computing $f^*(I)$ is an NP-hard problem, it is often required to derive **strong a priori bounds** on the optimal solution value which can afterward be compared to estimations of the value of the solution produced. In many cases, it is also possible to build α-approximate solutions by a careful rounding of a solution obtained from the linear relaxation of an integer formulation of the problem. Members of the team have expertise in designing and evaluating approximation algorithms for resource allocation in computer systems, using a variety of techniques, such as dual approximation (where a guess of the optimal value f^* is provided, and $\mathcal{A}$ either provides a solution within αf^*, or guarantees that no solution of value f^* or less exists), or resource augmentation (where an approximation is obtained by relaxing some of the constraints of the problem).

3.7. Polyhedral Combinatorics and Graph Theory

Many fundamental combinatorial optimization problems can be modeled as the search for a specific structure in a graph. For example, ensuring connectivity in a network amounts to building a *tree* that spans all the nodes. Inquiring about its resistance to failure amounts to searching for a minimum cardinality *cut* that partitions the graph. Selecting disjoint pairs of objects is represented by a so-called *matching*. Disjunctive choices can be modeled by edges in a so-called *conflict graph* where one searches for *stable sets* – a set of nodes that are not incident to one another. Polyhedral combinatorics is the study of combinatorial algorithms involving polyhedral considerations. Not only it leads to efficient algorithms, but also, conversely, efficient algorithms often imply polyhedral characterizations and related min-max relations. Developments of polyhedral properties of a fundamental problem will typically provide us with more interesting inequalities well suited for a branch-and-cut algorithm to more general problems. Furthermore, one can use the fundamental problems as new building bricks to decompose the more general problem at hand. For problem that let themselves easily be formulated in a graph setting, the graph theory and in particular graph decomposition theorem might help.

4. Application Domains

4.1. Network Design and Routing Problems

We are actively working on problems arising in network topology design, implementing a survivability condition of the form "at least two paths link each pair of terminals". We have extended polyhedral approaches to problem variants with bounded length requirements and re-routing restrictions [54]. Associated to network design is the question of traffic routing in the network: one needs to check that the network capacity suffices to carry the demand for traffic. The assignment of traffic also implies the installation of specific hardware at transient or terminal nodes.

To accommodate the increase of traffic in telecommunication networks, today's optical networks use grooming and wavelength division multiplexing technologies. Packing multiple requests together in the same optical stream requires to convert the signal in the electrical domain at each aggregation of disaggregation of traffic at an origin, a destination or a bifurcation node. Traffic grooming and routing decisions along with wavelength assignments must be optimized to reduce opto-electronics system installation cost. We developed and compared several decomposition approaches [79], [78], [77] to deal with backbone optical network with relatively few nodes (around 20) but thousands of requests for which traditional multi-commodity network flow approaches are completely overwhelmed. We also studied the impact of imposing a restriction on the number of optical hops in any request route [76]. We also developed a branch-and-cut approach to a problem that consists in placing sensors on the links of a network for a minimum cost [59], [60].

The Dial-a-Ride Problem is a variant of the pickup and delivery problem with time windows, where the user inconvenience must be taken into account. In [69], ride time and customer waiting time are modeled through both constraints and an associated penalty in the objective function. We develop a column generation approach, dynamically generating feasible vehicle routes. Handling ride time constraints explicitly in the pricing problem solver requires specific developments. Our dynamic programming approach for pricing problem makes use of a heuristic dominance rule and a heuristic enumeration procedure, which in turns implies that our overall branch-and-price procedure is a heuristic. However, in practice our heuristic solutions are experimentally very close to exact solutions and our approach is numerically competitive in terms of computation times.

In [67], [66], we consider the problem of covering an urban area with sectors under additional constraints. We adapt the aggregation method to our column generation algorithm and focus on the problem of disaggregating the dual solution returned by the aggregated master problem.

We studied several time dependent formulations for the unit demand vehicle routing problem [44], [43]. We gave new bounding flow inequalities for a single commodity flow formulation of the problem. We described their impact by projecting them on some other sets of variables, such as variables issued of the Picard and Queyranne formulation or the natural set of design variables. Some inequalities obtained by projection are facet defining for the polytope associated with the problem. We are now running more numerical experiments in order to validate in practice the efficiency of our theoretical results.

We also worked on the p-median problem, applying the matching theory to develop an efficient algorithm in Y-free graphs and to provide a simple polyhedral characterization of the problem and therefore a simple linear formulation [75] simplifying results from Baiou and Barahona.

We considered the multi-commodity transportation problem. Applications of this problem arise in, for example, rail freight service design, "less than truckload" trucking, where goods should be delivered between different locations in a transportation network using various kinds of vehicles of large capacity. A particularity here is that, to be profitable, transportation of goods should be consolidated. This means that goods are not delivered directly from the origin to the destination, but transferred from one vehicle to another in intermediate locations. We proposed an original Mixed Integer Programming formulation for this problem which is suitable for resolution by a Branch-and-Price algorithm and intelligent primal heuristics based on it.

For the problem of routing freight railcars, we proposed two algorithmes based on the column generation approach. These algorithmes have been tested on a set of real-life instances coming from a real Russian freight transportation company. Our algorithms have been faster on these instances than the current solution approach being used by the company.

4.2. Packing and Covering Problems

Realopt team has a strong experience on exact methods for cutting and packing problems. These problems occur in logistics (loading trucks), industry (wood or steel cutting), computer science (parallel processor scheduling).

We developed a branch-and-price algorithm for the Bin Packing Problem with Conflicts which improves on other approaches available in the literature [74]. The algorithm uses our methodological advances like the generic branching rule for the branch-and-price and the column based heuristic. One of the ingredients which contributes to the success of our method are fast algorithms we developed for solving the subproblem which is the Knapsack Problem with Conflicts. Two variants of the subproblem have been considered: with interval and arbitrary conflict graphs.

We also developed a branch-and-price algorithm for a variant of the bin-packing problem where the items are fragile. In [33] we studied empirically different branching schemes and different algorithms for solving the subproblems.

We studied a variant of the knapsack problem encountered in inventory routing problem [62]: we faced a multiple-class integer knapsack problem with setups [61] (items are partitioned into classes whose use implies a setup cost and associated capacity consumption). We showed the extent to which classical results for the knapsack problem can be generalized to this variant with setups and we developed a specialized branch-and-bound algorithm.

We studied the orthogonal knapsack problem, with the help of graph theory [56], [55], [58], [57]. Fekete and Schepers proposed to model multi-dimensional orthogonal placement problems by using an efficient representation of all geometrically symmetric solutions by a so called *packing class* involving one *interval graph* for each dimension. Though Fekete & Schepers' framework is very efficient, we have however identified several weaknesses in their algorithms: the most obvious one is that they do not take advantage of the different possibilities to represent interval graphs. We propose to represent these graphs by matrices with consecutive ones on each row. We proposed a branch-and-bound algorithm for the 2D knapsack problem that uses our 2D packing feasibility check. We are currently developing exact optimization tools for glass-cutting problems in a collaboration with Saint-Gobain [38]. This 2D-3stage-Guillotine cut problems are very hard to solve given the scale of the instance we have to deal with. Moreover one has to issue cutting patterns that avoid the defaults that

are present in the glass sheet that are used as raw material. There are extra sequencing constraints regarding the production that make the problem even more complex.

We have also organized a European challenge on packing with society Renault. This challenge was about loading trucks under practical constraints.

4.3. Planning, Scheduling, and Logistic Problems

Inventory routing problems combine the optimization of product deliveries (or pickups) with inventory control at customer sites. We considered an industrial application where one must construct the planning of single product pickups over time; each site accumulates stock at a deterministic rate; the stock is emptied on each visit. We have developed a branch-and-price algorithm where periodic plans are generated for vehicles by solving a multiple choice knapsack subproblem, and the global planning of customer visits is coordinated by the master program [63]. We previously developed approximate solutions to a related problem combining vehicle routing and planning over a fixed time horizon (solving instances involving up to 6000 pick-ups and deliveries to plan over a twenty day time horizon with specific requirements on the frequency of visits to customers [64].

Together with our partner company GAPSO from the associate team SAMBA, we worked on the equipment routing task scheduling problem [68] arising during port operations. In this problem, a set of tasks needs to be performed using equipments of different types with the objective to maximize the weighted sum of performed tasks.

We participated to the project on an airborne radar scheduling. For this problem, we developed fast heuristics [53] and exact algorithms [35]. A substantial research has been done on machine scheduling problems. A new compact MIP formulation was proposed for a large class of these problems [34]. An exact decomposition algorithm was developed for the NP-hard maximizing the weighted number of late jobs problem on a single machine [70]. A dominant class of schedules for malleable parallel jobs was discovered in the NP-hard problem to minimize the total weighted completion time [72]. We proved that a special case of the scheduling problem at cross docking terminals to minimize the storage cost is polynomially solvable [73], [71].

Another application area in which we have successfully developed MIP approaches is in the area of tactical production and supply chain planning. In [32], we proposed a simple heuristic for challenging multi-echelon problems that makes effective use of a standard MIP solver. [31] contains a detailed investigation of what makes solving the MIP formulations of such problems challenging; it provides a survey of the known methods for strengthening formulations for these applications, and it also pinpoints the specific substructure that seems to cause the bottleneck in solving these models. Finally, the results of [39] provide demonstrably stronger formulations for some problem classes than any previously proposed. We are now working on planning phytosanitary treatments in vineries.

We have been developing robust optimization models and methods to deal with a number of applications like the above in which uncertainty is involved. In [49], [48], we analyzed fundamental MIP models that incorporate uncertainty and we have exploited the structure of the stochastic formulation of the problems in order to derive algorithms and strong formulations for these and related problems. These results appear to be the first of their kind for structured stochastic MIP models. In addition, we have engaged in successful research to apply concepts such as these to health care logistics [40]. We considered train timetabling problems and their re-optimization after a perturbation in the network [51], [50]. The question of formulation is central. Models of the literature are not satisfactory: continuous time formulations have poor quality due to the presence of discrete decision (re-sequencing or re-routing); arc flow in time-space graph blow-up in size (they can only handle a single line timetabling problem). We have developed a discrete time formulation that strikes a compromise between these two previous models. Based on various time and network aggregation strategies, we develop a 2-stage approach, solving the contiguous time model having fixed the precedence based on a solution to the discrete time model.

Currently, we are conducting investigations on a real-world planning problem in the domain of energy production, in the context of a collaboration with EDF [45], [46], [47]. The problem consists in scheduling maintenance periods of nuclear power plants as well as production levels of both nuclear and conventional power plants in order to meet a power demand, so as to minimize the total production cost. For this application, we used a Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation which allows us to solve realistic instances of the deterministic version of the problem [52]. In practice, the input data comprises a number of uncertain parameters. We deal with a scenario-based stochastic demand with help of a Benders decomposition method. We are working on Multistage Robust Optimization approaches to take into account other uncertain parameters like the duration of each maintenance period, in a dynamic optimization framework. The main challenge addressed in this work is the joint management of different reformulations and solving techniques coming from the deterministic (Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition, due to the large scale nature of the problem), stochastic (Benders decomposition, due to the number of demand scenarios) and robust (reformulations based on duality and/or column and/or row generation due to maintenance extension scenarios) components of the problem [41].

4.4. Resource Allocation for High Performance and Cloud Computing

In the context of numerical simulations on high performance machines, optimizing data locality and resource usage is very important for faster execution times and lower energy consumption. This optimization can be seen as a special case of scheduling problem on parallel resource, with several challenges. First, instances are typically large: a large matrix factorization (with 50×50 blocks) involves about $30 \cdot 10^3$ tasks. Then, HPC platforms consist of heterogeneous and unrelated resources, what is known to make scheduling problems hard to approximate. Finally, due to co-scheduling effects and shared communication resources, it is not realistic to accurately model the exact duration of tasks. All these observations make it impossible to rely on static optimal solutions, and HPC applications have gone from simple generic static allocations to runtime dynamic scheduling strategies that make their decisions based on the current state of the platform (the location of input data), the expected transfer and running times for the tasks, and some affinity and priority information that have possibly been computed offline. In this context, we are strongly involved in the design of scheduling strategies for the StarPU runtime, with two goals: proving that it is possible to design approximation algorithms whose complexity is extremely small (typically sub-linear in the number of ready tasks), and show that they can be used in practice with good performance results. We are pursuing collaborations both with teams developing the StarPU system (Storm) by designing algorithms for the generic scheduling problems [37], and with teams developing linear algebra algorithms over the runtime (Hiepacs), by proposing specialized algorithms for specific cases. For example, in the case of linear algebra applications on heterogeneous platforms, we have considered the combinatorial optimization problem associated to matrix multiplication, that is amenable to partitioning the unit square into zones of prescribed areas while minimizing the overall size of the boundaries. We have improved the best known approximation ratio to 1.15 in [36] and we have shown that the resulting distribution schemes can indeed be used to design efficient implementations using StarPU in [42].

5. Highlights of the Year

5.1. Highlights of the Year

The team has recruited Aurélien Froger as assistant professor.

Ruslan Sadykov has defended his habilitation (HDR) [2].

A paper [8] was accepted in conference IPCO, which is the most prestigious conference in the field.

6. New Software and Platforms

6.1. BaPCod

A generic Branch-And-Price-And-Cut Code

KEYWORDS: Column Generation - Branch-and-Price - Branch-and-Cut - Mixed Integer Programming - Mathematical Optimization - Benders Decomposition - Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition - Extended Formulation

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: BaPCod is a prototype code that solves Mixed Integer Programs (MIP) by application of reformulation and decomposition techniques. The reformulated problem is solved using a branch-and-price-and-cut (column generation) algorithms, Benders approaches, network flow and dynamic programming algorithms. These methods can be combined in several hybrid algorithms to produce exact or approximate solutions (primal solutions with a bound on the deviation to the optimum).

RELEASE FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: An important update to make BaPCod compatible with VRPSolver. Correction of numerous bugs.

- Participants: Artur Alves Pessoa, Boris Detienne, Eduardo Uchoa Barboza, Franck Labat, François Clautiaux, Francois Vanderbeck, Halil Sen, Issam Tahiri, Michael Poss, Pierre Pesneau, Romain Leguay and Ruslan Sadykov
- Partners: Université de Bordeaux - CNRS - IPB - Universidade Federal Fluminense
- Contact: Ruslan Sadykov
- URL: https://wiki.bordeaux.inria.fr/realopt/pmwiki.php/Project/BaPCod

6.2. ORTOJ

Operation Research Tools Under Julia

KEYWORDS: Modeling - Processing - Dashboard

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: This set of tools currently includes : 1) BlockJuMP.jl: extension of JuMP to model decomposable mathematical programs (using either Benders or Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition paradign) 2) Scanner.jl: a default data parser to ease the reading of the input data in the form that they are often encountered in operational research. 3) BenchmarkUtils.jl: Tools to ease the setup of numerical experiments to benchmark algorithmic feature performances. The test automation permits to quickly calibrate the parameters of an arbitrary algorithm control function.

- Participants: Francois Vanderbeck, Guillaume Marques, Issam Tahiri and Ruslan Sadykov
- Contact: Issam Tahiri

6.3. pmtool

KEYWORDS: Scheduling - Task scheduling - StarPU - Heterogeneity - GPGPU - Performance analysis

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: Analyse post-mortem the behavior of StarPU applications. Provide lower bounds on makespan. Study the performance of different schedulers in a simple context. Provide implementations of many scheduling algorithms from the literature

NEWS OF THE YEAR: Included many new algorithms, in particular online algorithms Better integration with StarPU by accepting .rec files as input

- Participant: Lionel Eyraud-Dubois
- Contact: Lionel Eyraud-Dubois
- Publications: Approximation Proofs of a Fast and Efficient List Scheduling Algorithm for Task-Based Runtime Systems on Multicores and GPUs - Fast Approximation Algorithms for Task-Based Runtime Systems
- URL: https://gitlab.inria.fr/eyrauddu/pmtool

7. New Results

7.1. An iterative dynamic programming approach for the temporal knapsack problem

We have developed an approach to solve the temporal knapsack problem (TKP) based on a very large size dynamic programming formulation [28]. In this generalization of the classical knapsack problem, selected items enter and leave the knapsack at fixed dates. We solve the TKP with a dynamic program of exponential size, which is solved using a method called Successive Sublimation Dynamic Programming (SSDP). This method starts by relaxing a set of constraints from the initial problem, and iteratively reintroduces them when needed. We show that a direct application of SSDP to the temporal knapsack problem does not lead to an effective method, and that several improvements are needed to compete with the best results from the literature.

7.2. A Hypergraph Model for the Rolling Stock Rotation Planning and Train Selection Problem

We investigated an integrated optimization approach for timetabling and rolling stock rotation planning in the context of passenger railway traffic [27]. Given a set of possible passenger trips, service requirement constraints, and a fleet of multiple heterogeneous self-powered railcars, our method aims at producing a timetable and solving the rolling stock problem in such a way that the use of railcars and the operational costs are minimized. To solve this hard optimization problem, we design a mixed-integer linear programming model based on network-flow in an hypergraph. We use this models to handle effectively constraints related to coupling and decoupling railcars. To reduce the size of the model, we use an aggregation and disaggregation technique combined with reduced-cost filtering. Computational experiments based on several French regional railway traffic case studies show that our method scales successfully to real-life problems.

7.3. Decomposition-based approaches for a class of two-stage robust binary optimization problems

We have studied a class of two-stage robust binary optimization problems with objective uncertainty where recourse decisions are restricted to be mixed-binary [25]. For these problems, we present a deterministic equivalent formulation through the convexification of the recourse feasible region. We then explore this formulation under the lens of a relaxation, showing that the specific relaxation we propose can be solved using the branch-and-price algorithm. We present conditions under which this relaxation is exact, and describe alternative exact solution methods when this is not the case. Despite the two-stage nature of the problem, we provide NP-completeness results based on our reformulations. Finally, we present various applications in which the methodology we propose can be applied. We compare our exact methodology to those approximate methods recently proposed in the literature under the name K-adaptability. Our computational results show that our methodology is able to produce better solutions in less computational time compared to the K-adaptability approach, as well as to solve bigger instances than those previously managed in the literature.

7.4. Primal heuristic for Branch-and-Price

Primal heuristics have become an essential component in mixed integer programming (MIP) solvers. Extending MIP based heuristics, our study outlines generic procedures to build primal solutions in the context of a branch-and-price approach and reports on their performance. Our heuristic decisions carry on variables of the Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation, the motivation being to take advantage of a tighter linear programming relaxation than that of the original compact formulation and to benefit from the combinatorial structure embedded in these variables. In [9], we focus on the so-called diving methods that use re-optimization after each LP rounding. We explore combinations with diversification-intensification paradigms such as limited discrepancy search, sub-MIPing, local branching, and strong branching. The dynamic generation of variables inherent to

a column generation approach requires specific adaptation of heuristic paradigms. We manage to use simple strategies to get around these technical issues. Our numerical results on generalized assignment, cutting stock, and vertex coloring problems sets new benchmarks, highlighting the performance of diving heuristics as generic procedures in a column generation context and producing better solutions than state-of-the-art specialized heuristics in some cases.

7.5. Generic solver for vehicle routing problems

Major advances were recently obtained in the exact solution of Vehicle Routing Problems (VRPs). Sophisticated Branch-Cut-and-Price (BCP) algorithms for some of the most classical VRP variants now solve many instances with up to a few hundreds of customers. However, adapting and re-implementing those successful algorithms for other variants can be a very demanding task. In [8], [12], [11], we propose a BCP solver for a generic model that encompasses a wide class of VRPs. It incorporates the key elements found in the best existing VRP algorithms: ng-path relaxation, rank-1 cuts with limited memory, path enumeration, and rounded capacity cuts; all generalized through the new concepts of "packing set" and "elementarity set". The concepts are also used to derive a branching rule based on accumulated resource consumption and to generalize the Ryan and Foster branching rule. Extensive experiments on several variants show that the generic solver has an excellent overall performance, in many problems being better than the best specific algorithms. Even some non-VRPs, like bin packing, vector packing and generalized assignment, can be modeled and effectively solved.

The solver is available for download and free academic use in http://vrpsolver.math.u-bordeaux.fr.

7.6. New algorithms for different vehicle routing problems

In [7], we propose a branch-cut-and-price algorithm for the two-echelon capacitated vehicle routing problem in which delivery of products from a depot to customers is performed using intermediate depots called satellites. We introduce a new route based formulation for the problem which does not use variables to determine product flows in satellites. Second, we introduce a new branching strategy which significantly decreases the size of the branch-and-bound tree. Third, we introduce a new family of satellite supply inequalities, and we empirically show that it improves the quality of the dual bound at the root node of the branch-and-bound tree. Finally, extensive numerical experiments reveal that our algorithm can solve to optimality all literature instances with up to 200 customers and 10 satellites for the first time and thus double the size of instances which could be solved to optimality.

In [22], [21], we are interested in the exact solution of the vehicle routing problem with backhauls (VRPB), a classical vehicle routing variant with two types of customers: linehaul (delivery) and backhaul (pickup) ones. We propose two branch-cut-and-price (BCP) algorithms for the VRPB. The first of them follows the traditional approach with one pricing subproblem, whereas the second one exploits the linehaul/backhaul customer partitioning and defines two pricing subproblems. The methods incorporate elements of state-of-the-art BCP algorithms, such as rounded capacity cuts, limited-memory rank-1 cuts, strong branching, route enumeration, arc elimination using reduced costs and dual stabilization. Computational experiments show that the proposed algorithms are capable of obtaining optimal solutions for all existing benchmark instances with up to 200 customers, many of them for the first time. It is observed that the approach involving two pricing subproblems is more efficient computationally than the traditional one. Moreover, new instances are also proposed for which we provide tight bounds. Also, we provide results for benchmark instances of the heterogeneous fixed fleet VRPB and the VRPB with time windows.

In [20], we consider the standard Capacitated Location-Routing Problem (LRP), which is the combination of two canonical combinatorial optimization problems : Facility Location Problem (FLP), and Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP). We have extended the Branch-and-Cut-and-Price Algorithm from [11] to solve a Mixed Integer Programming (MIP) formulation with and exponential number of varialbes. A new family of Route Load Knapsack valid inequalites is proposed to strengthen the formulation. Preliminary results showed that our algorithm could solve to optimality, for the first time, 12 open instances of the most difficult classes of LRP instances.

In the first echelon of the two-echelon stochastic multi-period capacitated location-routing problem (2E-SM-CLRP), one has to decide the number and location of warehouse platforms as well as the intermediate distribution platforms for each period; while fixing the capacity of the links between them. The system must be dimensioned to enable an efficient distribution of goods to customers under a stochastic and time-varying demand. In the second echelon of the 2E-SM-CLRP, the goal is to construct vehicle routes that visit customers from operating distribution platforms. The objective is to minimize the total expected cost. We model this hierarchical decision problem as a two-stage stochastic program with integer recourse. The first-stage includes location and capacity decisions to be fixed at each period over the planning horizon, while routing decisions of the second echelon are determined in the recourse problem. In [16], [26], we propose a Benders decomposition approach to solve this model. In the proposed approach, the location and capacity decisions are taken by solving the Benders master problem. After these first-stage decisions are fixed, the resulting subproblem is a capacitated vehicle-routing problem with capacitated multi-depot (CVRP-CMD) that is solved by a branch-cut-and-price algorithm. Computational experiments show that instances of realistic size can be solved optimally within reasonable time, and that relevant managerial insights are derived on the behavior of the design decisions under the stochastic multi-period characterization of the planning horizon.

Much of the existing research on electric vehicle routing problems (E-VRPs) assumes that the charging stations (CSs) can simultaneously charge an unlimited number of electric vehicles, but this is not the case. In [29], we investigate how to model and solve E-VRPs taking into account these capacity restrictions. In particular, we study an E-VRP with non-linear charging functions, multiple charging technologies, en route charging, and variable charging quantities, while explicitly accounting for the capacity of CSs expressed in the number of chargers. We refer to this problem as the E-VRP with non-linear charging functions and capacitated stations (E-VRP-NL-C). This problem advances the E-VRP literature by considering the scheduling of charging operations at each CS. We first introduce two mixed integer linear programming formulations showing how CS capacity constraints can be incorporated into E-VRP models. We then introduce an algorithmic framework to the E-VRP-NL-C, that iterates between two main components: a route generator and a solution assembler. The route generator uses an iterated local search algorithm to build a pool of high-quality routes. The solution assembler applies a branch-and-cut algorithm to select a subset of routes from the pool. We report on computational experiments comparing four different assembly strategies on a large and diverse set of instances. Our results show that our algorithm deals with the CS capacity constraints effectively. Furthermore, considering the well-known uncapacitated version of the E-VRP-NL-C, our solution method identifies new best-known solutions for 80 out of 120 instances.

7.7. Packing problems

In the two-dimensional guillotine cutting-stock problem, the objective is to minimize the number of large plates used to cut a list of small rectangles. We consider a variant of this problem, which arises in glass industry when different bills of order (or batches) are considered consecutively. For practical organisation reasons, leftovers are not reused, except the large one obtained in the last cutting pattern of a batch, which can be reused for the next batch. The problem can be decomposed into an independent problem for each batch. In [6] we focus on the one-batch problem, the objective of which is to minimize the total width of the cutting patterns used. We propose a diving heuristic based on column generation, in which the pricing problem is solved using dynamic programming (DP). This DP generates so-called non-proper columns, i.e. cutting patterns that cannot participate in a feasible integer solution of the problem. We show how to adapt the standard diving heuristic to this "non-proper" case while keeping its effectiveness. We also introduce the partial enumeration technique, which is designed to reduce the number of non-proper patterns in the solution space of the dynamic program. This technique strengthens the lower bounds obtained by column generation and improves the quality of the solutions found by the diving heuristic. Computational results are reported and compared on classical benchmarks from the literature as well as on new instances inspired from glass industry data. According to these results, variants of the proposed diving heuristic outperform constructive and evolutionary heuristics.instances than those previously managed in the literature.

The bin packing problem with generalized time lags (BPGL) consists of a set of items, each having a positive weight, and a set of precedence constraints with lags between pairs of items, allowing negative and non-negative lags. The items must be packed into the minimum possible number of bins with identical capacity, and the bins must be assigned to time periods satisfying the precedence constraints with lags on the items. In [18] we show a solution strategy using a generic branch-and-price algorithm (implemented in the software platform BaPCod) and applying some problem specific cuts. Our approach outperformed the compact Mixed Integer Programming (MIP) formulation solved by the MIP solver Cplex.

7.8. Covering problems

In [5], we study a covering problem where vertices of a graph have to be covered by rooted sub-trees. We present three mixed-integer linear programming models, two of which are compact while the other is based on Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition. In the latter case, we focus on the column generation sub-problem, a knapsack problem with precedence constraints on trees, for which we propose several algorithms among them a branch and bound algorithm and various dynamic programs. Numerical results are obtained using instances from the literature and instances based on a real-life districting application. Experiments show that the branch-and-price algorithm is able to solve much bigger instances than the compact model, which is limited to very small instance sizes.

7.9. Software Defined Networking

ISP networks are taking a leap forward thanks to emerging technologies such as Software Defined Networking (SDN) and Network Function Virtualization (NFV). Efficient algorithms considered too hard to be put in practice on legacy networks now have a second chance to be considered again. In this context, we rethink the ISP network dimensioning problem with protection against Shared Risk Link Group (SLRG) failures. In [30], [15], [23], we consider a path-based protection scheme with a global rerouting strategy, in which, for each failure situation, we may have a new routing of all the demands. Our optimization task is to minimize the needed amount of bandwidth. After discussing the hardness of the problem, we develop two scalable mathematical models that we handle using both Column Generation and Benders Decomposition techniques. Through extensive simulations on real-world IP network topologies and on random generated instances, we show the effectiveness of our methods. Finally, our implementation in OpenDaylight demonstrates the feasibility of the approach and its evaluation with Mininet shows that technical implementation choices may have a dramatic impact on the time needed to reestablish the flows after a failure takes place.

8. Bilateral Contracts and Grants with Industry

8.1. Bilateral Contracts with Industry

We have an on-going contract with SNCF on scheduling of rolling-stock. The PhD thesis of Mohamed Benkirane is part of this contract.

Following the PhD thesis of Rodolphe Griset, our collaboration with EDF has continued through a new contract within Inria Tech. Its goal is to investigate the possibility of developing an operational prototype (called Fenix) for strategic planning of nuclear plant outages. Two scientific questions are raised. The first one concerns the new mechanisms of management of the power capacity market on the French power grid. The second one is about a new model of the stock variation during a refueling operation, which requires information of several previous production campaigns.

We also have a contract with RTE to develop strategies inspired from stochastic gradient methods to speed-up Benders' decomposition. The PhD thesis of Xavier Blanchot is part of this contract.

We have a contract with Thales Avionique to study a robust scheduling problem.

9. Partnerships and Cooperations

9.1. Regional Initiatives

- **SysNum Cluster** SysNum is a Cluster of Excellence of Bordeaux Idex that aims at bringing Bordeaux academic players in the digital sciences closer to each other around large-scale distributed digital systems. The cluster is organized around 4 methodological axes (Interconnected object systems; Reliability and safety; Modeling and numerical systems; Massive and heterogeneous data) and 3 application platforms around major societal issues (ecology, mobile systems, interconnected objects and data analysis).

    François Clautiaux is leading the methodological WP on Interconnected object systems. Understanding and controlling the complexity of systems of interconnected objects is a major challenge for both industrial and everyday life applications. We think, in particular, to fields like robotics, car industry, energy distribution or smart buildings, where it is essential to tackle autonomous heterogeneous objects and to develop robust control tools to optimize their interconnections. Our research in this direction will be developed within three interconnected tasks.

9.2. International Initiatives

9.2.1. Inria International Partners

9.2.1.1. Informal International Partners

Orlando Rivera Letelier is pursuing a co-tutelle thesis (with Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Peñalolén, Santiago, Chile)

We continue close collaboration with the LOGIS laboratory (Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niteroi, Brazil) after the end of the Inria Associate Team SAMBA.

9.3. International Research Visitors

9.3.1. Visits of International Scientists

Eduardo Uchoa visited the team in April 2019 for one week.

Emir Démirovic (University of Melbourne, Australia) visited the team in July for one week

Isaac Cleland (University of Auckland, New-Zealand) visited the team in July for one week

9.3.2. Visits to International Teams

9.3.2.1. Research Stays Abroad

Guillaume Marques spent 3 months in Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niteroi, Brazil (August-November 2019), financed by mobility grant of IdEx Bordeaux

10. Dissemination

10.1. Promoting Scientific Activities

10.1.1. Scientific Events: Organisation

10.1.1.1. General Chair, Scientific Chair

- François Clautiaux: Conférence Dataquitaine (around 300 participants) in Bordeaux, February 2019
- François Clautiaux: Workshop on Integer Programming and Algorithms in Marne-La-Vallée, November 2019

10.1.1.2. Member of the Organizing Committees

- François Clautiaux: Conférence Dataquitaine (around 300 participants) in Bordeaux, February 2019
- François Clautiaux: Workshop on Integer Programming and Algorithms in Marne-La-Vallée, November 2019

10.1.2. Scientific Events: Selection

10.1.2.1. Member of the Conference Program Committees

- François Clautiaux: Conference ROADEF 2019, Le Havre
- Pierre Pesneau: INOC 2019, in Avignon

10.1.3. Journal

10.1.3.1. Member of the Editorial Boards

- François Clautiaux : editor for Open Journal on Mathematical Optimization (OJMO)

10.1.3.2. Reviewer - Reviewing Activities

- François Clautiaux : European Journal of Operational Research, Discrete Applied Mathematics, Discrete Optimization, International Transactions on Operations Research,
- Aurélien Froger: European Journal of Operational Research, Transportation Science, Computers & Operations Research, Journal of Heuristics
- Ruslan Sadykov: Mathematical Programming, Transportation Science, International Transactions of Operations Research, ACM Transactions on Parallel Computing, Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization conference

10.1.4. Invited Talks

- François Clautiaux: Invited talk at the ESICUP Workshop, in Mexico (April 10th, 2019)
- Aurélien Froger: Invited talk [10] at the 2nd International Workshop on Synchronisation in Transport, SynchroTrans 2019, in Nantes (September 10th, 2019)
- Ruslan Sadykov: Invited talk [12] at the 9th International Network Optimization conference, invited talk [11] at the POC Autumn School on Advanced BCP Tools

10.1.5. Leadership within the Scientific Community

François Clautiaux has been elected president of the French O.R. association, ROADEF.

10.1.6. Scientific Expertise

François Clautiaux has been expert for HCERES

François Clautiaux has been expert for the Flander's Innovation and Entrepreneurship Agency.

10.2. Teaching - Supervision - Juries

10.2.1. Teaching

Licence : François Clautiaux, Projet d'optimisation, L3, Université de Bordeaux, France

Licence : François Clautiaux, Grands domaines de l'optimisation, L1, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : François Clautiaux, Introduction à la programmation en variables entières, M1, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : François Clautiaux, Integer Programming, M2, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : François Clautiaux, Algorithmes pour l'optimisation en nombres entiers, M1, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : François Clautiaux, Programmation linéaire, M1, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master: Boris Detienne, Combinatoire et routage, ENSEIRB INPB

Licence : Boris Detienne, Optimisation, L2, Université de Bordeaux

Licence : Boris Detienne, Groupe de travail applicatif, L3, Université de Bordeaux

Master : Boris Detienne, Optimisation continue, M1, Université de Bordeaux

Master : Boris Detienne, Integer Programming, M2, Université de Bordeaux

Master : Boris Detienne, Optimisation dans l'incertain, M2, Université de Bordeaux

Licence : Aurélien Froger, Groupe de travail applicatif, L3, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : Aurélien Froger, Optimisation dans les graphes, M1, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : Aurélien Froger, Gestion des opérations et planification de la production, M2, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : Ruslan Sadykov, Introduction to Constraint Programming, M2, Université de Bordeaux, France

Licence : Pierre Pesneau, Grands domaines de l'optimisation, L1, Université de Bordeaux, France

Licence : Pierre Pesneau, Programmation pour le calcul scientifique, L2, Université de Bordeaux, France

Licence : Pierre Pesneau, Optimisation, L2, Université de Bordeaux, France

DUT : Pierre Pesneau, Recherche Opérationnelle, DUT Informatique 2ème année, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : Pierre Pesneau, Algorithmique et Programmation 1, M1, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : Pierre Pesneau, Algorithmique et Programmation 2, M1, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : Pierre Pesneau, Programmation linéaire, M1, Université de Bordeaux, France

Master : Pierre Pesneau, Integer Programming, M2, Université de Bordeaux, France

10.2.2. Supervision

HdR : Ruslan Sadykov, Modern Branch-Cut-and-Price, Université de Bordeaux, 4/12/2019.

PhD : Imen Ben Mohamed, Designing Two-Echelon Distribution Networks under Uncertainty [1], Université de Bordeaux, 27/05/2019, Walid Klibi (dir), Ruslan Sadykov (dir), François Vanderbeck (co-dir).

PhD in progress : Alena Shilova, Scheduling for Deep Learning Frameworks from October 2018, Olivier Beaumont (dir) and Alexis Joly (dir)

PhD in progress: Tobias Castanet, Use of Replication in Distributed Games from September 2018, Olivier Beaumont (dir), Nicolas Hanusse (dir) and Corentin Travers (dir).

PhD in progress : Guillaume Marques, Planification de tournées de véhicules avec transbordement en logistique urbaine : approches basées sur les méthodes exactes de l'optimisation mathématique, from September 2017, Ruslan Sadykov (dir)

PhD in progress : Gaël Guillot, Aggregation and disaggregation methods for hard combinatorial problems, from November 2017, François Clautiaux (dir) and Boris Detienne (dir).

PhD in progress : Orlando Rivera Letelier, Bin Packing Problem with Generalized Time Lags, from May 2018, François Clautiaux (dir) and Ruslan Sadykov (co-dir), a co-tutelle with Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Peñalolén, Santiago, Chile.

PhD in progress: Mohamed Benkirane, "Optimisation des moyens dans la recomposition commerciale de dessertes TER" from November 2016, François Clautiaux (dir), Boris Detienne (dir)

PhD in progress: Xavier Blanchot, "Accélération de la Décomposition de Benders à l'aide du Machine Learning : Application à de grands problèmes d'optimisation stochastique two-stage pour les réseaux d'électricité" from September 2019, François Clautiaux (dir), Aurélien Froger (co-dir)

PhD in progress: Johan Levêque, "Conception de réseaux de distributions urbains mutualisées en mode doux", from September 2018, François Clautiaux (dir), Gautier Stauffer (co-dir)

10.2.3. Juries

- François Clautiaux: external referee for the thesis of Arthur Kramer (Bologna), referee for the thesis of Arnaud Lazare (Université Paris Saclay) jury member for Simon Bélières (Université de Toulouse), Imen Ben Mohamed (Université de Bordeaux) jury member for the habilitation of Ruslan Sadykov (Université de Bordeaux)
- Boris Detienne: jury member for Ikram Bouras (Université de Montpellier) and Imen Ben Mohamed (Université de Bordeaux)
- Ruslan Sadykov: member of of the selection committee of the Maitre de Conference position (Université de Bordeaux)

10.3. Popularization

- Local events: Participation to "Journée emploi maths et interaction 2019". This day aims to bring together students, researchers and practitioners in mathematics in the Bordeaux area. https://uf-mi.u-bordeaux.fr/sites/jemi/

10.3.1. Interventions

- Participation to Circuit Scientifique Bordelais (Fête de la Science)
- Participation to the 80th anniversary of CNRS

11. Bibliography

Publications of the year

Doctoral Dissertations and Habilitation Theses

[1] I. BEN MOHAMED. *Designing two-echelon distribution networks under uncertainty*, Université de Bordeaux, May 2019, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-02163466

[2] R. SADYKOV. *Modern Branch-Cut-and-Price*, Université de Bordeaux, December 2019, Habilitation à diriger des recherches, https://hal.inria.fr/tel-02410101

Articles in International Peer-Reviewed Journals

[3] O. BEAUMONT, B. A. BECKER, A. DEFLUMERE, L. EYRAUD-DUBOIS, T. LAMBERT, A. LASTOVETSKY. *Recent Advances in Matrix Partitioning for Parallel Computing on Heterogeneous Platforms*, in "IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems", January 2019, vol. 30, n⁰ 1, 11 p. [*DOI :* 10.1109/TPDS.2018.2853151], https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01670672

[4] I. BEN MOHAMED, W. KLIBI, F. VANDERBECK. *Designing a Two-Echelon Distribution Network under Demand Uncertainty*, in "European Journal of Operational Research", 2019, vol. 280, n⁰ 1, pp. 102-123, forthcoming [*DOI :* 10.1016/J.EJOR.2019.06.047], https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02167587

[5] F. CLAUTIAUX, J. GUILLOT, P. PESNEAU. *Exact approaches for solving a covering problem with capacitated subtrees*, in "Computers and Operations Research", May 2019, vol. 105, pp. 85-101, Experiments presented in this paper were carried out using the PLAFRIM experimental testbed, being developed under the Inria PlaFRIM development action with support from Bordeaux INP, LABRI and IMB and other entities: Conseil Régional d'Aquitaine, Université de Bordeaux, CNRS and ANR in accordance to the programmed'investissements d'Avenir (seehttps://www.plafrim.fr/) [*DOI :* 10.1016/J.COR.2019.01.008], https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02053563

[6] F. CLAUTIAUX, R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK, Q. VIAUD. *Pattern based diving heuristics for a two-dimensional guillotine cutting-stock problem with leftovers*, in "EURO Journal on Computational Optimization", September 2019, vol. 7, n⁰ 3, pp. 265–297 [*DOI :* 10.1007/S13675-019-00113-9], https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01656179

[7] G. MARQUES, R. SADYKOV, J.-C. DESCHAMPS, R. DUPAS. *An improved branch-cut-and-price algorithm for the two-echelon capacitated vehicle routing problem*, in "Computers and Operations Research", 2019, vol. 114 [*DOI :* 10.1016/J.COR.2019.104833], https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02112287

[8] A. A. PESSOA, R. SADYKOV, E. UCHOA, F. VANDERBECK. *A Generic Exact Solver for Vehicle Routing and Related Problems*, in "Lecture Notes in Computer Science", 2019, vol. 11480, pp. 354-369 [*DOI :* 10.1007/978-3-030-17953-3_27], https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02178171

[9] R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK, A. A. PESSOA, I. TAHIRI, E. UCHOA. *Primal Heuristics for Branch-and-Price: the assets of diving methods*, in "INFORMS Journal on Computing", 2019, vol. 31, n⁰ 2, pp. 251-267 [*DOI :* 10.1287/IJOC.2018.0822], https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01237204

Invited Conferences

[10] A. FROGER, O. JABALI, J. E. MENDOZA, G. LAPORTE. *A matheuristic algorithm to solve the electric vehicle routing problem with capacitated charging stations*, in "SynchroTrans 2019 - 2nd International Workshop on Synchronisation in Transport", Nantes, France, September 2019, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02373191

[11] R. SADYKOV, A. A. PESSOA, E. UCHOA. *A Bucket Graph Based Labelling Algorithm for Vehicle Routing Pricing*, in "POC Autumn School on Advanced BCP Tools", Paris, France, November 2019, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02378624

[12] R. SADYKOV. *Tutorial: Modern Branch-and-Cut-and-Price for Vehicle Routing Problems Plan of the talk*, in "INOC 2019 - 9th International Network Optimization Conference", Avignon, France, June 2019, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02378638

International Conferences with Proceedings

[13] G. AUPY, O. BEAUMONT, L. EYRAUD-DUBOIS. *Sizing and Partitioning Strategies for Burst-Buffers to Reduce IO Contention*, in "IPDPS 2019 - 33rd IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium", Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, May 2019, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02141616

[14] N. KUKREJA, A. SHILOVA, O. BEAUMONT, J. HÜCKELHEIM, N. FERRIER, P. HOVLAND, G. GORMAN. *Training on the Edge: The why and the how*, in "PAISE2019 - 1st Workshop on Parallel AI and Systems for the Edge", Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, May 2019, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02069728

[15] A. TOMASSILLI, G. DI LENA, F. GIROIRE, I. TAHIRI, D. SAUCEZ, S. PÉRENNES, T. TURLETTI, R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK, C. LAC. *Bandwidth-optimal Failure Recovery Scheme for Robust Programmable Networks*, in "CloudNet 2019 - 8th IEEE International Conference on Cloud Networking", Coimbra, Portugal, November 2019, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02292971

Conferences without Proceedings

[16] I. BEN MOHAMED, W. KLIBI, R. SADYKOV, H. SEN, F. VANDERBECK. *A Benders Approach for the Two-echelon Stochastic Multi-period Capacitated Location-Routing Problem*, in "Roadef 2019 - 20ème congrès

annuel de la Société Française de Recherche Opérationnelle et d'Aide à la Décision", Le Havre, France, February 2019, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02163464

[17] M. BENKIRANE, F. CLAUTIAUX, J. DAMAY, B. DETIENNE. *Un modèle hypergraphe pour le problème de roulement matériel et sélection d'horaires de trains*, in "Roadef 2019 - 20ème Congrès Annuel de la Société Française de Recherche Opérationnelle et d'Aide à la Décision", Le Havre, France, February 2019, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02407686

[18] F. CLAUTIAUX, R. SADYKOV, O. RIVERA-LETELIER. *Bin Packing Problem with Generalized Time Lags: A Branch-Cut-and-Price Approach*, in "Roadef 2019 - 20ème Congrès Annuel de la Société Française de Recherche Opérationnelle et d'Aide à la Décision", Le Havre, France, February 2019, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02378993

[19] B. DETIENNE, A. N. ARSLAN. *A Decomposition-Based Approach For A Class Of Two-Stage Robust Binary Optimization Problems*, in "ICSP2019 - XV International Conference on Stochastic Programming", Trondheim, Norway, July 2019, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02407421

[20] P. LIGUORI, R. MAHJOUB, R. SADYKOV, E. UCHOA. *A Branch-Cut-and-Price Algorithm for the Location-Routing Problem*, in "TRISTAN X - The Tenth Triennial Symposium on Transportation Analysis", Hamilton Island, Australia, June 2019, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02378977

[21] E. QUEIROGA, Y. FROTA, R. SADYKOV, A. SUBRAMANIAN, E. UCHOA, T. VIDAL. *Branch-cut-and-price algorithms for the vehicle routing problem with backhauls*, in "VeRoLog 2019 - 7th Workshop of the EURO Working Group on Vehicle Routing and Logistics optimization", Seville, Spain, June 2019, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02378983

Research Reports

[22] E. QUEIROGA, Y. FROTA, R. SADYKOV, A. SUBRAMANIAN, E. UCHOA, T. VIDAL. *On the exact solution of vehicle routing problems with backhauls*, Universidade Federal Fluminense, August 2019, n^o Cadernos do LOGIS 2019/3, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02379008

[23] A. TOMASSILLI, G. DI LENA, F. GIROIRE, I. TAHIRI, D. SAUCEZ, S. PERENNES, T. TURLETTI, R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK, C. LAC. *Bandwidth-optimal Failure Recovery Scheme for Robust Programmable Networks*, Inria Sophia Antipolis - I3S, April 2019, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02112282

Software

[24] S. ARCHIPOFF, C. AUGONNET, O. AUMAGE, G. BEAUCHAMP, B. BRAMAS, A. BUTTARI, A. CASSAGNE, J. CLET-ORTEGA, T. COJEAN, N. COLLIN, V. DANJEAN, A. DENIS, L. EYRAUD-DUBOIS, N. FURMENTO, S. HENRY, A. HUGO, M. JUHOOR, A. JUVEN, M. KERYELL-EVEN, Y. KHORSI, T. LAMBERT, E. LERIA, B. LIZÉ, M. MAKNI, S. NAKOV, R. NAMYST, L. NESI LUCAS, J. PABLO, D. PASQUALINOTTO, S. PITOISET, N. QUÔC-DINH, C. ROELANDT, C. SAKKA, C. SALINGUE, M. SCHNORR LUCAS, M. SERGENT, A. SIMONET, L. STANISIC, S. BÉRANGÈRE, F. TESSIER, S. THIBAULT, V. BRICE, L. VILLEVEYGOUX, P.-A. WACRENIER. *StarPU*, January 2020, Version : 1.3.3
[SWH-ID : swh:1:dir:b6e19d99449a78805e7a55a341fbaba2bc431973], Software, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02443512

Other Publications

[25] A. N. ARSLAN, B. DETIENNE. *Decomposition-based approaches for a class of two-stage robust binary optimization problems*, July 2019, working paper or preprint, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02190059

[26] I. BEN MOHAMED, W. KLIBI, R. SADYKOV, H. ŞEN, F. VANDERBECK. *A Benders Decomposition Approach for the Two-Echelon Stochastic Multi-period Capacitated Location-Routing Problem*, July 2019, working paper or preprint, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02178459

[27] M. BENKIRANE, F. CLAUTIAUX, J. DAMAY, B. DETIENNE. *A Hypergraph Model for the Rolling Stock Rotation Planning and Train Selection*, December 2019, working paper or preprint, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02402447

[28] F. CLAUTIAUX, B. DETIENNE, G. GUILLOT. *An iterative dynamic programming approach for the temporal knapsack problem*, November 2019, working paper or preprint, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02044832

[29] A. FROGER, J. E. MENDOZA, O. JABALI, G. LAPORTE. *The electric vehicle routing problem with capacitated charging stations*, November 2019, working paper or preprint, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02386167

[30] A. TOMASSILLI, G. DI LENA, F. GIROIRE, I. TAHIRI, S. PÉRENNES, D. SAUCEZ, T. TURLETTI, R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK, C. LAC. *Poster: Design of Survivable SDN/NFV-enabled Networks with Bandwidth-optimal Failure Recovery*, May 2019, NETWORKING 2019 - IFIP Networking conference, Poster, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02364488

References in notes

[31] K. AKARTUNALI, A. J. MILLER. *A Computational Analysis of Lower Bounds for Big Bucket Production Planning Problems*, 2009, http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00387105/en/

[32] K. AKARTUNALI, A. J. MILLER. *A heuristic approach for big bucket multi-level production planning problems*, in "European Journal of Operational Research", 2009, pp. 396-411, http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00387052/en/

[33] M. ALBA MARTÍNEZ, F. CLAUTIAUX, M. DELL'AMICO, M. IORI. *Exact algorithms for the bin packing problem with fragile objects*, in "Discrete Optimization", August 2013, vol. 10, n° 3, pp. 210-223 [*DOI :* 10.1016/J.DISOPT.2013.06.001], http://hal.inria.fr/hal-00909480

[34] P. BAPTISTE, R. SADYKOV. *On Scheduling a Single Machine to Minimize a Piecewise Linear Objective Function : A Compact MIP Formulation*, in "Naval Research Logistics / Naval Research Logistics An International Journal", 2009, vol. 56, n° 6, pp. 487–502, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00387012/en/

[35] P. BAPTISTE, R. SADYKOV. *Time Indexed Formulations for Scheduling Chains on a Single Machine: An Application to Airborne Radars*, in "European Journal of Operational Research", 2009, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00339639/en/

[36] O. BEAUMONT, B. A. BECKER, A. DEFLUMERE, L. EYRAUD-DUBOIS, T. LAMBERT, A. LASTOVETSKY. *Recent Advances in Matrix Partitioning for Parallel Computing on Heterogeneous Platforms*, December 2017, working paper or preprint, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01670672

[37] O. BEAUMONT, L. EYRAUD-DUBOIS, S. KUMAR. *Approximation Proofs of a Fast and Efficient List Scheduling Algorithm for Task-Based Runtime Systems on Multicores and GPUs*, October 2016, working paper or preprint, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01386174

[38] F. CLAUTIAUX, R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK, Q. VIAUD. *Pattern based diving heuristics for a two-dimensional guillotine cutting-stock problem with leftovers*, Université de Bordeaux, December 2017, pp. 1-30, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01656179

[39] M. CONSTANTINO, A. J. MILLER, M. VAN VYVE. *Mixing MIR Inequalities with Two Divisible Coefficients*, in "Mathematical Programming, Series A", 2009, pp. 1–1, http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00387098/en/

[40] B. DENTON, A. J. MILLER, H. BALASUBRAMANIAN, T. HUSCHKA. *Optimal Allocation of Surgery Blocks to Operating Rooms Under Uncertainty*, in "Operations Research", 2009, pp. 1–1, http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00386469/en/

[41] B. DETIENNE. *Extended formulations for robust maintenance planning at power plants*, in "Gaspard Monge Program for Optimization : Conference on Optimization and Practices in Industry PGMO-COPI14", Saclay, France, October 2014, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01104728

[42] L. EYRAUD-DUBOIS, T. LAMBERT. *Using Static Allocation Algorithms for Matrix Matrix Multiplication on Multicores and GPUs*, December 2017, working paper or preprint, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01670678

[43] M. T. GODINHO, L. GOUVEIA, T. L. MAGNANTI, P. PESNEAU, J. PIRES. *On a Time-Dependent Model for the Unit Demand Vehicle Routing Problem*, Centro de Investigacao Operacional da Universidade de Lisboa, 2007, n$^{\rm o}$ 11-2007

[44] M. T. GODINHO, L. GOUVEIA, T. L. MAGNANTI, P. PESNEAU, J. PIRES. *On Time-Dependent Model for Unit Demand Vehicle Routing Problems*, in "International Conference on Network Optimization, INOC", International Network Optimization Conference (INOC), 2007

[45] R. GRISET, P. BENDOTTI, B. DETIENNE, H. GREVET, M. PORCHERON, F. VANDERBECK. *Scheduling nuclear outage with cut and price (Snowcap)*, in "Mathematical Optimization in the Decision Support Systems for Efficient and Robust Energy Networks Final Conference", Modena, Italy, March 2017, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01670278

[46] R. GRISET, P. BENDOTTI, B. DETIENNE, H. GREVET, M. PORCHERON, H. ŞEN, F. VANDERBECK. *Efficient formulations for nuclear outages using price and cut, Snowcap project.*, in "PGMO Days 2017", Saclay, France, November 2017, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01670252

[47] R. GRISET. *Optimisation des arrêts nucléaires : une amélioration des solutions développées par EDF suite au challenge ROADEF 2010*, in "18ème conférence de la société française de recherche opérationnelle et d'aide à la décision ROADEF 2017", Metz, France, February 2017, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01670237

[48] Y. GUAN, S. AHMED, A. J. MILLER, G. NEMHAUSER. *On formulations of the stochastic uncapacitated lot-sizing problem*, in "Operations Research Letters", 2006, vol. 34, pp. 241-250

[49] Y. GUAN, S. AHMED, G. NEMHAUSER, A. J. MILLER. *A branch-and-cut algorithm for the stochastic uncapacitated lot-sizing problem*, in "Mathematical Programming", 2006, vol. 105, pp. 55-84

[50] L. GÉLY, G. DESSAGNE, P. PESNEAU, F. VANDERBECK. *A multi scalable model based on a connexity graph representation*, in "11th International Conference on Computer Design and Operation in the Railway and Other Transit Systems COMPRAIL'08", Toledo, Spain, September 2008

[51] L. GÉLY. *Real-time train scheduling at SNCF*, in "1st Workshop on Robust Planning and Rescheduling in Railways", ARRIVAL meeting on Robust planning and Rescheduling in Railways, April 2007

[52] J. HAN, P. BENDOTTI, B. DETIENNE, G. PETROU, M. PORCHERON, R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK. *Extended Formulation for Maintenance Planning at Power Plants*, in "ROADEF - 15ème congrès annuel de la Société française de recherche opérationnelle et d'aide à la décision", Bordeaux, France, Société française de recherche opérationnelle et d'aide à la décision, February 2014, https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00946294

[53] Y. HENDEL, R. SADYKOV. *Timing problem for scheduling an airborne radar*, in "Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop on Project Management and Scheduling", Istanbul, Turkey, April 2008, pp. 132-135

[54] D. HUYGENS, M. LABBÉ, A. R. MAHJOUB, P. PESNEAU. *The two-edge connected hop-constrained network design problem: Valid inequalities and branch-and-cut*, in "Networks", 2007, vol. 49, n° 1, pp. 116-133

[55] C. JONCOUR. *Problèmes de placement 2D et application à l'ordonnancement : modélisation par la théorie des graphes et approches de programmation mathématique*, University Bordeaux I, December 2010

[56] C. JONCOUR, A. PÊCHER, P. PESNEAU, F. VANDERBECK. *Mathematical programming formulations for the orthogonal 2d knapsack problem*, in "Livre des résumé du 9ème Congrès de la Société Française de Recherche Opérationnelle et d'Aide à la Décision", February 2008, pp. 255–256, http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00307152/en/

[57] C. JONCOUR, A. PÊCHER. *Consecutive ones matrices for multi-dimensional orthogonal packing problems*, in "Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Algorithms", 2012, vol. 11, n° 1, pp. 23-44 [*DOI :* 10.1007/S10852-011-9167-Z], http://hal.inria.fr/hal-00652574

[58] C. JONCOUR, A. PÊCHER, P. VALICOV. *MPQ-trees for the orthogonal packing problem*, in "Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Algorithms", March 2012, vol. 11, n° 1, pp. 3-22 [*DOI :* 10.1007/S10852-011-9159-Z], http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00611528

[59] P. MEURDESOIF, P. PESNEAU, F. VANDERBECK. *Meter installation for monitoring network traffic*, in "International Conference on Network Optimization, INOC", International Network Optimization Conference (INOC), 2007

[60] P. MEURDESOIF, P. PESNEAU, F. VANDERBECK. *A Branch-and-Cut algorithm to optimize sensor installation in a network*, in "Graph and Optimization Meeting GOM2008", France Saint-Maximin, 2008

[61] S. MICHEL, N. PERROT, F. VANDERBECK. *Knapsack Problems with Setups*, in "European Journal of Operational Research", 2009, vol. 196, pp. 909-918, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00232782/en/

[62] S. MICHEL, F. VANDERBECK. *A Column Generation based Tactical Planning Method for Inventory Routing*, Inria, 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00169311/en/

[63] S. MICHEL, F. VANDERBECK. *A Column Generation based Tactical Planning Method for Inventory Routing*, in "Operations Research", 2012, vol. 60, n° 2, pp. 382-397, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00169311

[64] M. MOURGAYA, F. VANDERBECK. *Column generation based heuristic for tactical planning in multi period vehicle routing*, in "European Journal of Operational Research", 2007, vol. 183, n° 3, pp. 1028-1041

[65] M. PADBERG, G. RINALDI. *A branch-and-cut algorithm for the resolution of large-scale symmetric traveling salesman problems*, in "SIAM Review", 1991, vol. 33, n° 1, pp. 60–100

[66] P. PESNEAU, F. CLAUTIAUX, J. GUILLOT. *Aggregation technique applied to a clustering problem for waste collection*, in "ROADEF 2016", Compiègne, France, February 2016, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01418346

[67] P. PESNEAU, F. CLAUTIAUX, J. GUILLOT. *Aggregation technique applied to a clustering problem*, in "4th International Symposium on Combinatorial Optimization (ISCO 2016)", Vietri sul Mare, Italy, May 2016, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01418337

[68] M. POGGI, D. PECIN, M. REIS, C. FERREIRA, K. NEVES, R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK. *Equipment/Operator task scheduling with BAPCOD*, in "Column Generation 2012", Bromont, Canada, June 2012, http://hal.inria.fr/hal-00750575

[69] N. RAHMANI, B. DETIENNE, R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK. *A Column Generation Based Heuristic for the Dial-A-Ride Problem*, in "International Conference on Information Systems, Logistics and Supply Chain (ILS)", Bordeaux, France, June 2016, https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01425755

[70] R. SADYKOV. *A branch-and-check algorithm for minimizing the sum of the weights of the late jobs on a single machine with release dates*, in "European Journal of Operations Research", 2008, vol. 189, n° 3, pp. 1284–1304, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2006.06.078

[71] R. SADYKOV. *A polynomial algorithm for a simple scheduling problem at cross docking terminals*, Inria, 2009, RR-7054, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00412519/en/

[72] R. SADYKOV. *On scheduling malleable jobs to minimise the total weighted completion time*, in "13th IFAC Symposium on Information Control Problems in Manufacturing", Russie Moscow, 2009, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00339646/en/

[73] R. SADYKOV. *Scheduling incoming and outgoing trucks at cross docking terminals to minimize the storage cost*, in "Annals of Operations Research", 2012, vol. 201, n° 1, pp. 423-440 [*DOI :* 10.1007/s10479-012-1232-0], http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00539849

[74] R. SADYKOV, F. VANDERBECK. *Bin Packing with conflicts: a generic branch-and-price algorithm*, in "INFORMS Journal on Computing", 2013, vol. 25, n° 2, pp. 244-255 [*DOI :* 10.1287/IJOC.1120.0499], http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00539869

[75] G. STAUFFER. *The p-median Polytope of Y-free Graphs: An Application of the Matching Theory*, in "Operations Research Letters", 2008, http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00442282

[76] B. VIGNAC, B. JAUMARD, F. VANDERBECK. *Hierarchical Heuristic for the GRWA Problem in WDM Networks with Delay Constraints*, Inria, 2009, 18 p. , http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00415513/en/

[77] B. VIGNAC, F. VANDERBECK, B. JAUMARD. *Nested Decomposition Approach to an Optical Network Design Problem*, Inria, 2009, 18 p. , http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00415500/en/

[78] B. VIGNAC, F. VANDERBECK, B. JAUMARD. *Reformulation and Decomposition Approaches for Traffic Routing in Optical Networks*, Inria, 2009, 36 p. , http://www.math.u-bordeaux.fr/~fv/papers/grwaWP.pdf

[79] B. VIGNAC. *Résolution d'un problème de groupage dans le réseaux optiques maillés*, Université de Montréal, January 2010