

*Inria*

Activity Report 2019

## **Project-Team SPECFUN**

Symbolic Special Functions : Fast and  
Certified

RESEARCH CENTER  
Saclay - Île-de-France

THEME  
Algorithmics, Computer Algebra and  
Cryptology



## Table of contents

<b>1. Team, Visitors, External Collaborators</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Overall Objectives</b> .....	<b>1</b>
2.1. Scientific challenges, expected impact	1
2.1.1. Use computer algebra but convince users beyond reasonable doubt	3
2.1.2. Make computer algebra and formal proofs help one another	3
2.1.3. Experimental mathematics with special functions	4
2.2. Research axes	4
2.2.1. Computer algebra certified by the Coq system	4
2.2.1.1. Libraries of formalized mathematics	4
2.2.1.2. Manipulation of large algebraic data in a proof assistant	4
2.2.1.3. Formal-proof-producing normalization algorithms	5
2.2.2. Better symbolic computations with special functions	5
2.2.2.1. Special-function integration and summation	5
2.2.2.2. Applications to experimental mathematics	5
2.2.3. Interactive and certified mathematical web sites	6
<b>3. Research Program</b> .....	<b>6</b>
3.1. Studying special functions by computer algebra	6
3.1.1. Equations as a data structure	6
3.1.2. Algorithms combining functions	7
3.1.3. Solving functional equations	7
3.1.4. Multi-precision numerical evaluation	7
3.1.5. Guessing heuristics	7
3.1.6. Complexity-driven design of algorithms	7
3.2. Trusted computer-algebra calculations	8
3.2.1. Encyclopedias	8
3.2.2. Computer algebra and symbolic logic	8
3.2.3. Certifying systems for computer algebra	8
3.2.4. Semantics for computer algebra	8
3.2.5. Formal proofs for symbolic components of computer-algebra systems	8
3.2.6. Formal proofs for numerical components of computer-algebra systems	8
3.3. Machine-checked proofs of formalized mathematics	9
3.3.1. Logical foundations and proof assistants	9
3.3.2. Computations in formal proofs	9
3.3.3. Large-scale computations for proofs inside the Coq system	9
3.3.4. Relevant contributions from the Mathematical Component libraries	10
3.3.5. User interaction with the proof assistant	10
<b>4. Application Domains</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>5. New Software and Platforms</b> .....	<b>11</b>
5.1. DynaMoW	11
5.2. ECS	11
5.3. DDMF	11
5.4. Mgfund	11
5.5. Sreflect	12
5.6. Math-Components	12
<b>6. New Results</b> .....	<b>12</b>
6.1. Becker's conjecture on Mahler functions	12
6.2. Fast coefficient computation for algebraic power series in positive characteristic	13
6.3. Subresultants of $(x - \alpha)^m$ and $(x - \beta)^n$ , Jacobi polynomials and complexity	13
6.4. Least common multiple of random integers	13

6.5.	On sequences associated to the invariant theory of rank two simple Lie algebras	13
6.6.	Explicit degree bounds for right factors of linear differential operators	14
6.7.	Improved algorithms for left factorial residues	14
6.8.	A note on gamma triangles and local gamma vectors	14
6.9.	A closed-form formula for the Kullback-Leibler divergence between Cauchy distributions	14
6.10.	Big prime field FFT on multi-core processors	14
6.11.	Martin boundary of killed random walks on isoradial graphs	15
6.12.	Random walks in orthants and lattice path combinatorics	15
6.13.	Quasilinear Average Complexity for Solving Polynomial Systems	15
6.14.	Computing the Volume of Compact Semi-Algebraic Sets	15
6.15.	Densities of Stieltjes moment sequences for pattern-avoiding permutations	16
<b>7.</b>	<b>Partnerships and Cooperations</b> .....	<b>16</b>
7.1.	National Initiatives	16
7.1.1.	ANR	16
7.1.2.	Research in Pairs	16
7.2.	International Research Visitors	17
<b>8.</b>	<b>Dissemination</b> .....	<b>17</b>
8.1.	Promoting Scientific Activities	17
8.1.1.	Scientific Events: Organisation	17
8.1.1.1.	General Chair, Scientific Chair	17
8.1.1.2.	Member of the Organizing Committees	17
8.1.2.	Scientific Events: Selection	17
8.1.2.1.	Member of the Conference Program Committees	17
8.1.2.2.	Reviewer	17
8.1.3.	Journal	17
8.1.3.1.	Member of the Editorial Boards	17
8.1.3.2.	Reviewer - Reviewing Activities	18
8.1.4.	Invited Talks	18
8.1.5.	Leadership within the Scientific Community	18
8.1.5.1.	Regular Research Seminar	18
8.1.5.2.	Research Working Group	18
8.1.5.3.	International Conference	19
8.1.6.	Scientific Expertise	19
8.1.7.	Research Administration	19
8.2.	Teaching - Supervision - Juries	19
8.2.1.	Teaching	19
8.2.2.	Juries	19
8.3.	Popularization	20
<b>9.</b>	<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>20</b>

# Project-Team SPECFUN

*Creation of the Team: 2012 November 01, updated into Project-Team: 2014 July 01*

## Keywords:

### Computer Science and Digital Science:

- A2.1.11. - Proof languages
- A2.4.3. - Proofs
- A4.5. - Formal methods for security
- A7.2. - Logic in Computer Science
- A8.1. - Discrete mathematics, combinatorics
- A8.3. - Geometry, Topology
- A8.4. - Computer Algebra
- A8.5. - Number theory

### Other Research Topics and Application Domains:

- B9.5.2. - Mathematics
- B9.5.3. - Physics

## 1. Team, Visitors, External Collaborators

### Research Scientists

- Frédéric Chyzak [Team leader, Inria, Researcher, HDR]
- Alin Bostan [Inria, Researcher, HDR]
- Georges Gonthier [Inria, Senior Researcher]
- Pierre Lairez [Inria, Researcher]

### Post-Doctoral Fellow

- Svyatoslav Covanov [Inria, Post-Doctoral Fellow, until Oct 2019]

### Intern and Apprentice

- Abhijit Bhalachandra [Inria, from May 2019 until Jul 2019]

### Administrative Assistant

- Bahar Carabetta [Inria, from Feb 2019]

### Visiting Scientist

- Antonio Jimenez Pastor [RISC, from May 2019 until Jun 2019]

### External Collaborators

- Philippe Dumas [Ministère de l'Éducation Nationale (retired)]
- Guy Fayolle [Inria, Senior Researcher (emeritus), part time, also with Project-Team RITS]
- Marc Mezzarobba [CNRS]

## 2. Overall Objectives

### 2.1. Scientific challenges, expected impact

The general orientation of our team is described by the short name given to it: *Special Functions*, that is, particular mathematical functions that have established names due to their importance in mathematical analysis, physics, and other application domains. Indeed, we ambition to study special functions with the computer, by combined means of computer algebra and formal methods.

Computer-algebra systems have been advertised for decades as software for “doing mathematics by computer” [68]. For instance, computer-algebra libraries can uniformly generate a corpus of mathematical properties about special functions, so as to display them on an interactive website. This possibility was recently shown by the computer-algebra component of the team [23]. Such an automated generation significantly increases the reliability of the mathematical corpus, in comparison to the content of existing static authoritative handbooks. The importance of the validity of these contents can be measured by the very wide audience that such handbooks have had, to the point that a book like [18] remains one of the most cited mathematical publications ever and has motivated the 10-year-long project of writing its successor [20]. However, can the mathematics produced “by computer” be considered as *true* mathematics? More specifically, whereas it is nowadays well established that the computer helps in discovering and observing new mathematical phenomena, can the mathematical statements produced with the aid of the computer and the mathematical results computed by it be accepted as valid mathematics, that is, as having the status of mathematical *proofs*? Beyond the reported weaknesses or controversial design choices of mainstream computer-algebra systems, the issue is more of an epistemological nature. It will not find its solution even in the advent of the ultimate computer-algebra system: the social process of peer-reviewing just falls short of evaluating the results produced by computers, as reported by Th. Hales [47] after the publication of his proof of the Kepler Conjecture about sphere packing.

A natural answer to this deadlock is to move to an alternative kind of mathematical software and to use a proof assistant to check the correctness of the desired properties or formulas. The success of large-scale formalization projects, like the Four-Color Theorem of graph theory [42], the above-mentioned Kepler Conjecture [47], and the Odd Order Theorem of group theory <sup>1</sup>, have increased the understanding of the appropriate software-engineering methods for this peculiar kind of programming. For computer algebra, this legitimates a move to proof assistants now.

The Dynamic Dictionary of Mathematical Functions <sup>2</sup> (DDMF) [23] is an online computer-generated handbook of mathematical functions that ambitions to serve as a reference for a broad range of applications. This software was developed by the computer-algebra component of the team as a project <sup>3</sup> of the MSR–INRIA Joint Centre. It bases on a library for the computer-algebra system Maple, Algolib <sup>4</sup>, whose development started 20 years ago in project-team Algorithms <sup>5</sup>. As suggested by the constant questioning of certainty by new potential users, DDMF deserves a formal guarantee of correctness of its content, on a level that proof assistants can provide. Fortunately, the maturity of special-functions algorithms in Algolib makes DDMF a stepping stone for such a formalization: it provides a well-understood and unified algorithmic treatment, without which a formal certification would simply be unreachable.

The formal-proofs component of the team emanates from another project of the MSR–INRIA Joint Centre, namely the Mathematical Components project (MathComp) <sup>6</sup>. Since 2006, the MathComp group has endeavoured to develop computer-checked libraries of formalized mathematics, using the Coq proof assistant [64]. The methodological aim of the project was to understand the design methods leading to successful large-scale formalizations. The work culminated in 2012 with the completion of a formal proof of the Odd Order Theorem, resulting in the largest corpus of algebraic theories ever machine-checked with a proof assistant and a whole methodology to effectively combine these components in order to tackle complex formalizations. In particular, these libraries provide a good number of the many algebraic objects needed to reason about special functions and their properties, like rational numbers, iterated sums, polynomials, and a rich hierarchy of algebraic structures.

The present team takes benefit from these recent advances to explore the formal certification of the results collected in DDMF. The aim of this project is to concentrate the formalization effort on this delimited area, building on DDMF and the Algolib library, as well as on the Coq system [64] and on the libraries developed by the MathComp project.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.msr-inria.inria.fr/news/the-formalization-of-the-odd-order-theorem-has-been-completed-the-20-septembre-2012/>

<sup>2</sup> <http://ddmf.msr-inria.inria.fr/1.9.1/ddmf>

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.msr-inria.inria.fr/projects/dynamic-dictionary-of-mathematical-functions/>

<sup>4</sup> <http://algo.inria.fr/libraries/>

<sup>5</sup> <http://algo.inria.fr/>

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.msr-inria.inria.fr/projects/mathematical-components/>

### 2.1.1. Use computer algebra but convince users beyond reasonable doubt

The following few opinions on computer algebra are, we believe, typical of computer-algebra users' doubts and difficulties when using computer-algebra systems:

- Fredrik Johansson, expert in the multi-precision numerical evaluation of special functions and in fast computer-algebra algorithms, writes on his blog [53]: “Mathematica is great for cross-checking numerical values, but it’s not unusual to run into bugs, so *triple checking is a good habit*.” One answer in the discussion is: “We can claim that Mathematica has [...] *an impossible to understand semantics*: If Mathematica’s output is wrong then change the input. If you don’t like the answer, change the question. That seems to be the philosophy behind.”
- A professor’s advice to students [60] on using Maple: “You may wish to use Maple to check your homework answers. If you do then keep in mind that Maple sometimes gives the *wrong answer, usually because you asked incorrectly, or because of niceties of analytic continuation*. You may even be bitten by an occasional Maple bug, though that has become fairly unlikely. Even with as powerful a tool as Maple you will still *have to devise your own checks* and you will still have to think.”
- Jacques Carette, former head of the maths group at Maplesoft, about a bug [19] when asking Maple to take the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(n) * \exp(-n))$  for an undetermined function  $f$ : “The problem is that there is an *implicit assumption in the implementation* that unknown functions do not ‘grow too fast’.”

As explained by the expert views above, complaints by computer-algebra users are often due to their misunderstanding of what a computer-algebra systems is, namely a purely syntactic tool for calculations, that the user must complement with a semantics. Still, robustness and consistency of computer-algebra systems are not ensured as of today, and, whatever Zeilberger may provocatively say in his Opinion 94 [69], a firmer logical foundation is necessary. Indeed, the fact is that many “bugs” in a computer-algebra system cannot be fixed by just the usual debugging method of tracking down the faulty lines in the code. It is sort of “by design”: assumptions that too often remain implicit are really needed by the design of symbolic algorithms and cannot easily be expressed in the programming languages used in computer algebra. A similar certification initiative has already been undertaken in the domain of numerical computing, in a successful manner [51], [26]. It is natural to undertake a similar approach for computer algebra.

### 2.1.2. Make computer algebra and formal proofs help one another

Some of the mathematical objects that interest our team are still totally untouched by formalization. When implementing them and their theory inside a proof assistant, we have to deal with the pervasive discrepancy between the published literature and the actual implementation of computer-algebra algorithms. Interestingly, this forces us to clarify our computer-algebraic view on them, and possibly make us discover holes lurking in published (human) proofs. We are therefore convinced that the close interaction of researchers from both fields, which is what we strive to maintain in this team, is a strong asset.

For a concrete example, the core of Zeilberger’s creative telescoping manipulates rational functions up to simplifications. In summation applications, checking that these simplifications do not hide problematic divisions by 0 is most often left to the reader. In the same vein, in the case of integrals, the published algorithms do not check the convergence of all integrals, especially in intermediate calculations. Such checks are again left to the readers. In general, we expect to revisit the existing algorithms to ensure that they are meaningful for genuine mathematical sequences or functions, and not only for algebraic idealizations.

Another big challenge in this project originates in the scientific difference between computer algebra and formal proofs. Computer algebra seeks speed of calculation on *concrete instances* of algebraic data structures (polynomials, matrices, etc). For their part, formal proofs manipulate symbolic expressions in terms of *abstract variables* understood to represent generic elements of algebraic data structures. In view of this, a continuous challenge is to develop the right, hybrid thinking attitude that is able to effectively manage concrete and abstract values simultaneously, alternatively computing and proving with them.

### 2.1.3. Experimental mathematics with special functions

Applications in combinatorics and mathematical physics frequently involve equations of so high orders and so large sizes, that computing or even storing all their coefficients is impossible on existing computers. Making this tractable is an extraordinary challenge. The approach we believe in is to design algorithms of good—ideally quasi-optimal—complexity in order to extract precisely the required data from the equations, while avoiding the computationally intractable task of completely expanding them into an explicit representation.

Typical applications with expected high impact are the automatic discovery and algorithmic proof of results in combinatorics and mathematical physics for which human proofs are currently unattainable.

## 2.2. Research axes

The implementation of certified symbolic computations on special functions in the Coq proof assistant requires both investigating new formalization techniques and renewing the traditional computer-algebra viewpoint on these standard objects. Large mathematical objects typical of computer algebra occur during formalization, which also requires us to improve the efficiency and ergonomics of Coq. In order to feed this interdisciplinary activity with new motivating problems, we additionally pursue a research activity oriented towards experimental mathematics in application domains that involve special functions. We expect these applications to pose new algorithmic challenges to computer algebra, which in turn will deserve a formal-certification effort. Finally, DDMF is the motivation and the showcase of our progress on the certification of these computations. While striving to provide a formal guarantee of the correctness of the information it displays, we remain keen on enriching its mathematical content by developing new computer-algebra algorithms.

### 2.2.1. Computer algebra certified by the Coq system

Our formalization effort consists in organizing a cooperation between a computer-algebra system and a proof assistant. The computer-algebra system is used to produce efficiently algebraic data, which are later processed by the proof assistant. The success of this cooperation relies on the design of appropriate libraries of formalized mathematics, including certified implementations of certain computer-algebra algorithms. On the other side, we expect that scrutinizing the implementation and the output of computer-algebra algorithms will shed a new light on their semantics and on their correctness proofs, and help clarifying their documentation.

#### 2.2.1.1. Libraries of formalized mathematics

The appropriate framework for the study of efficient algorithms for special functions is *algebraic*. Representing algebraic theories as Coq formal libraries takes benefit from the methodology emerging from the success of ambitious projects like the formal proof of a major classification result in finite-group theory (the Odd Order Theorem) [40].

Yet, a number of the objects we need to formalize in the present context has never been investigated using any interactive proof assistant, despite being considered as commonplaces in computer algebra. For instance there is up to our knowledge no available formalization of the theory of non-commutative rings, of the algorithmic theory of special-functions closures, or of the asymptotic study of special functions. We expect our future formal libraries to prove broadly reusable in later formalizations of seemingly unrelated theories.

#### 2.2.1.2. Manipulation of large algebraic data in a proof assistant

Another peculiarity of the mathematical objects we are going to manipulate with the Coq system is their size. In order to provide a formal guarantee on the data displayed by DDMF, two related axes of research have to be pursued. First, efficient algorithms dealing with these large objects have to be programmed and run in Coq. Recent evolutions of the Coq system to improve the efficiency of its internal computations [21], [24] make this objective reachable. Still, how to combine the aforementioned formalization methodology with these cutting-edge evolutions of Coq remains one of the prospective aspects of our project. A second need is to help users *interactively* manipulate large expressions occurring in their conjectures, an objective for which little has been done so far. To address this need, we work on improving the ergonomics of the system in two ways:



first, ameliorating the reactivity of Coq in its interaction with the user; second, designing and implementing extensions of its interface to ease our formalization activity. We expect the outcome of these lines of research to be useful to a wider audience, interested in manipulating large formulas on topics possibly unrelated to special functions.

### 2.2.1.3. Formal-proof-producing normalization algorithms

Our algorithm certifications inside Coq intend to simulate well-identified components of our Maple packages, possibly by reproducing them in Coq. It would however not have been judicious to re-implement them inside Coq in a systematic way. Indeed for a number of its components, the output of the algorithm is more easily checked than found, like for instance the solving of a linear system. Rather, we delegate the discovery of the solutions to an external, untrusted oracle like Maple. Trusted computations inside Coq then formally validate the correctness of the a priori untrusted output. More often than not, this validation consists in implementing and executing normalization procedures *inside* Coq. A challenge of this automation is to make sure they go to scale while remaining efficient, which requires a Coq version of non-trivial computer-algebra algorithms. A first, archetypal example we expect to work on is a non-commutative generalization of the normalization procedure for elements of rings [46].

## 2.2.2. Better symbolic computations with special functions

Generally speaking, we design algorithms for manipulating special functions symbolically, whether univariate or with parameters, and for extracting algorithmically any kind of algebraic and analytic information from them, notably asymptotic properties. Beyond this, the heart of our research is concerned with parametrised definite summations and integrations. These very expressive operations have far-ranging applications, for instance, to the computation of integral transforms (Laplace, Fourier) or to the solution of combinatorial problems expressed via integrals (coefficient extractions, diagonals). The algorithms that we design for them need to really operate on the level of linear functional systems, differential and of recurrence. In all cases, we strive to design our algorithms with the constant goal of good theoretical complexity, and we observe that our algorithms are also fast in practice.

### 2.2.2.1. Special-function integration and summation

Our long-term goal is to design fast algorithms for a general method for special-function integration (*creative telescoping*), and make them applicable to general special-function inputs. Still, our strategy is to proceed with simpler, more specific classes first (rational functions, then algebraic functions, hyperexponential functions, D-finite functions, non-D-finite functions; two variables, then many variables); as well, we isolate analytic questions by first considering types of integration with a more purely algebraic flavor (constant terms, algebraic residues, diagonals of combinatorics). In particular, we expect to extend our recent approach [29] to more general classes (algebraic with nested radicals, for example): the idea is to speed up calculations by making use of an analogue of Hermite reduction that avoids considering certificates. Homologous problems for summation will be addressed as well.

### 2.2.2.2. Applications to experimental mathematics

As a consequence of our complexity-driven approach to algorithms design, the algorithms mentioned in the previous paragraph are of good complexity. Therefore, they naturally help us deal with applications that involve equations of high orders and large sizes.

With regard to combinatorics, we expect to advance the algorithmic classification of combinatorial classes like walks and urns. Here, the goal is to determine if enumerative generating functions are rational, algebraic, or D-finite, for example. Physical problems whose modelling involves special-function integrals comprise the study of models of statistical mechanics, like the Ising model for ferro-magnetism, or questions related to Hamiltonian systems.

Number theory is another promising domain of applications. Here, we attempt an experimental approach to the automated certification of integrality of the coefficients of mirror maps for Calabi–Yau manifolds. This could also involve the discovery of new Calabi–Yau operators and the certification of the existing ones. We also plan to algorithmically discover and certify new recurrences yielding good approximants needed in irrationality proofs.

It is to be noted that in all of these application domains, we would so far use general algorithms, as was done in earlier works of ours [28], [32], [31]. To push the scale of applications further, we plan to consider in each case the specifics of the application domain to tailor our algorithms.

### 2.2.3. *Interactive and certified mathematical web sites*

In continuation of our past project of an encyclopedia at <http://ddmf.msr-inria.inria.fr/1.9.1/ddmf>, we ambition to both enrich and certify the formulas about the special functions that we provide online. For each function, our website shows its essential properties and the mathematical objects attached to it, which are often infinite in nature (numerical evaluations, asymptotic expansions). An interactive presentation has the advantage of allowing for adaption to the user's needs. More advanced content will broaden the encyclopedia:

- the algorithmic discussion of equations with parameters, leading to certified automatic case analysis based on arithmetic properties of the parameters;
- lists of summation and integral formulas involving special functions, including validity conditions on the parameters;
- guaranteed large-precision numerical evaluations.

## 3. Research Program

### 3.1. Studying special functions by computer algebra

Computer algebra manipulates symbolic representations of exact mathematical objects in a computer, in order to perform computations and operations like simplifying expressions and solving equations for “closed-form expressions”. The manipulations are often fundamentally of algebraic nature, even when the ultimate goal is analytic. The issue of efficiency is a particular one in computer algebra, owing to the extreme swell of the intermediate values during calculations.

Our view on the domain is that research on the algorithmic manipulation of special functions is anchored between two paradigms:

- adopting linear differential equations as the right data structure for special functions,
- designing efficient algorithms in a complexity-driven way.

It aims at four kinds of algorithmic goals:

- algorithms combining functions,
- functional equations solving,
- multi-precision numerical evaluations,
- guessing heuristics.

This interacts with three domains of research:

- computer algebra, meant as the search for quasi-optimal algorithms for exact algebraic objects,
- symbolic analysis/algebraic analysis;
- experimental mathematics (combinatorics, mathematical physics, ...).

This view is made explicit in the present section.

#### 3.1.1. *Equations as a data structure*

Numerous special functions satisfy linear differential and/or recurrence equations. Under a mild technical condition, the existence of such equations induces a finiteness property that makes the main properties of the functions decidable. We thus speak of *D-finite functions*. For example, 60 % of the chapters in the handbook [18] describe D-finite functions. In addition, the class is closed under a rich set of algebraic operations. This makes linear functional equations just the right data structure to encode and manipulate special functions. The power of this representation was observed in the early 1990s [70], leading to the design of many algorithms in computer algebra. Both on the theoretical and algorithmic sides, the study of D-finite functions shares much with neighbouring mathematical domains: differential algebra, D-module theory, differential Galois theory, as well as their counterparts for recurrence equations.

### 3.1.2. Algorithms combining functions

Differential/recurrence equations that define special functions can be recombined [70] to define: additions and products of special functions; compositions of special functions; integrals and sums involving special functions. Zeilberger's fast algorithm for obtaining recurrences satisfied by parametrised binomial sums was developed in the early 1990s already [71]. It is the basis of all modern definite summation and integration algorithms. The theory was made fully rigorous and algorithmic in later works, mostly by a group in RISC (Linz, Austria) and by members of the team [59], [67], [35], [33], [34], [54]. The past ÉPI Algorithms contributed several implementations (*gfun* [62], *Mgfun* [35]).

### 3.1.3. Solving functional equations

Encoding special functions as defining linear functional equations postpones some of the difficulty of the problems to a delayed solving of equations. But at the same time, solving (for special classes of functions) is a sub-task of many algorithms on special functions, especially so when solving in terms of polynomial or rational functions. A lot of work has been done in this direction in the 1990s; more intensively since the 2000s, solving differential and recurrence equations in terms of special functions has also been investigated.

### 3.1.4. Multi-precision numerical evaluation

A major conceptual and algorithmic difference exists for numerical calculations between data structures that fit on a machine word and data structures of arbitrary length, that is, *multi-precision* arithmetic. When multi-precision floating-point numbers became available, early works on the evaluation of special functions were just promising that “most” digits in the output were correct, and performed by heuristically increasing precision during intermediate calculations, without intended rigour. The original theory has evolved in a twofold way since the 1990s: by making computable all constants hidden in asymptotic approximations, it became possible to guarantee a *prescribed* absolute precision; by employing state-of-the-art algorithms on polynomials, matrices, etc, it became possible to have evaluation algorithms in a time complexity that is linear in the output size, with a constant that is not more than a few units. On the implementation side, several original works exist, one of which (*NumGfun* [58]) is used in our DDMF.

### 3.1.5. Guessing heuristics

“Differential approximation”, or “Guessing”, is an operation to get an ODE likely to be satisfied by a given approximate series expansion of an unknown function. This has been used at least since the 1970s and is a key stone in spectacular applications in experimental mathematics [32]. All this is based on subtle algorithms for Hermite–Padé approximants [22]. Moreover, guessing can at times be complemented by proven quantitative results that turn the heuristics into an algorithm [30]. This is a promising algorithmic approach that deserves more attention than it has received so far.

### 3.1.6. Complexity-driven design of algorithms

The main concern of computer algebra has long been to prove the feasibility of a given problem, that is, to show the existence of an algorithmic solution for it. However, with the advent of faster and faster computers, complexity results have ceased to be of theoretical interest only. Nowadays, a large track of works in computer algebra is interested in developing fast algorithms, with time complexity as close as possible to linear in their output size. After most of the more pervasive objects like integers, polynomials, and matrices have been endowed with fast algorithms for the main operations on them [41], the community, including ourselves, started to turn its attention to differential and recurrence objects in the 2000s. The subject is still not as developed as in the commutative case, and a major challenge remains to understand the combinatorics behind summation and integration. On the methodological side, several paradigms occur repeatedly in fast algorithms: “divide and conquer” to balance calculations, “evaluation and interpolation” to avoid intermediate swell of data, etc. [27].

## 3.2. Trusted computer-algebra calculations

### 3.2.1. Encyclopedias

Handbooks collecting mathematical properties aim at serving as reference, therefore trusted, documents. The decision of several authors or maintainers of such knowledge bases to move from paper books [18], [20], [63] to websites and wikis <sup>7</sup> allows for a more collaborative effort in proof reading. Another step toward further confidence is to manage to generate the content of an encyclopedia by computer-algebra programs, as is the case with the Wolfram Functions Site <sup>8</sup> or DDMF <sup>9</sup>. Yet, due to the lingering doubts about computer-algebra systems, some encyclopedias propose both cross-checking by different systems and handwritten companion paper proofs of their content <sup>10</sup>. As of today, there is no encyclopedia certified with formal proofs.

### 3.2.2. Computer algebra and symbolic logic

Several attempts have been made in order to extend existing computer-algebra systems with symbolic manipulations of logical formulas. Yet, these works are more about extending the expressivity of computer-algebra systems than about improving the standards of correctness and semantics of the systems. Conversely, several projects have addressed the communication of a proof system with a computer-algebra system, resulting in an increased automation available in the proof system, to the price of the uncertainty of the computations performed by this oracle.

### 3.2.3. Certifying systems for computer algebra

More ambitious projects have tried to design a new computer-algebra system providing an environment where the user could both program efficiently and elaborate formal and machine-checked proofs of correctness, by calling a general-purpose proof assistant like the Coq system. This approach requires a huge manpower and a daunting effort in order to re-implement a complete computer-algebra system, as well as the libraries of formal mathematics required by such formal proofs.

### 3.2.4. Semantics for computer algebra

The move to machine-checked proofs of the mathematical correctness of the output of computer-algebra implementations demands a prior clarification about the often implicit assumptions on which the presumably correctly implemented algorithms rely. Interestingly, this preliminary work, which could be considered as independent from a formal certification project, is seldom precise or even available in the literature.

### 3.2.5. Formal proofs for symbolic components of computer-algebra systems

A number of authors have investigated ways to organize the communication of a chosen computer-algebra system with a chosen proof assistant in order to certify specific components of the computer-algebra systems, experimenting various combinations of systems and various formats for mathematical exchanges. Another line of research consists in the implementation and certification of computer-algebra algorithms inside the logic [66], [46], [55] or as a proof-automation strategy. Normalization algorithms are of special interest when they allow to check results possibly obtained by an external computer-algebra oracle [38]. A discussion about the systematic separation of the search for a solution and the checking of the solution is already clearly outlined in [52].

### 3.2.6. Formal proofs for numerical components of computer-algebra systems

Significant progress has been made in the certification of numerical applications by formal proofs. Libraries formalizing and implementing floating-point arithmetic as well as large numbers and arbitrary-precision arithmetic are available. These libraries are used to certify floating-point programs, implementations of mathematical functions and for applications like hybrid systems.

<sup>7</sup>for instance <http://dlmf.nist.gov/> for special functions or <http://oeis.org/> for integer sequences

<sup>8</sup><http://functions.wolfram.com/>

<sup>9</sup><http://ddmf.msr-inria.inria.fr/1.9.1/ddmf>

<sup>10</sup><http://129.81.170.14/~vhm/Table.html>

### 3.3. Machine-checked proofs of formalized mathematics

To be checked by a machine, a proof needs to be expressed in a constrained, relatively simple formal language. Proof assistants provide facilities to write proofs in such languages. But, as merely writing, even in a formal language, does not constitute a formal proof just per se, proof assistants also provide a proof checker: a small and well-understood piece of software in charge of verifying the correctness of arbitrarily large proofs. The gap between the low-level formal language a machine can check and the sophistication of an average page of mathematics is conspicuous and unavoidable. Proof assistants try to bridge this gap by offering facilities, like notations or automation, to support convenient formalization methodologies. Indeed, many aspects, from the logical foundation to the user interface, play an important role in the feasibility of formalized mathematics inside a proof assistant.

#### 3.3.1. Logical foundations and proof assistants

While many logical foundations for mathematics have been proposed, studied, and implemented, type theory is the one that has been more successfully employed to formalize mathematics, to the notable exception of the Mizar system [56], which is based on set theory. In particular, the calculus of construction (CoC) [36] and its extension with inductive types (CIC) [37], have been studied for more than 20 years and been implemented by several independent tools (like Lego, Matita, and Agda). Its reference implementation, Coq [64], has been used for several large-scale formalizations projects (formal certification of a compiler back-end; four-color theorem). Improving the type theory underlying the Coq system remains an active area of research. Other systems based on different type theories do exist and, whilst being more oriented toward software verification, have been also used to verify results of mainstream mathematics (prime-number theorem; Kepler conjecture).

#### 3.3.2. Computations in formal proofs

The most distinguishing feature of CoC is that computation is promoted to the status of rigorous logical argument. Moreover, in its extension CIC, we can recognize the key ingredients of a functional programming language like inductive types, pattern matching, and recursive functions. Indeed, one can program effectively inside tools based on CIC like Coq. This possibility has paved the way to many effective formalization techniques that were essential to the most impressive formalizations made in CIC.

Another milestone in the promotion of the computations-as-proofs feature of Coq has been the integration of compilation techniques in the system to speed up evaluation. Coq can now run realistic programs in the logic, and hence easily incorporates calculations into proofs that demand heavy computational steps.

Because of their different choice for the underlying logic, other proof assistants have to simulate computations outside the formal system, and indeed fewer attempts to formalize mathematical proofs involving heavy calculations have been made in these tools. The only notable exception, which was finished in 2014, the Kepler conjecture, required a significant work to optimize the rewriting engine that simulates evaluation in Isabelle/HOL.

#### 3.3.3. Large-scale computations for proofs inside the Coq system

Programs run and proved correct inside the logic are especially useful for the conception of automated decision procedures. To this end, inductive types are used as an internal language for the description of mathematical objects by their syntax, thus enabling programs to reason and compute by case analysis and recursion on symbolic expressions.

The output of complex and optimized programs external to the proof assistant can also be stamped with a formal proof of correctness when their result is easier to *check* than to *find*. In that case one can benefit from their efficiency without compromising the level of confidence on their output at the price of writing and certify a checker inside the logic. This approach, which has been successfully used in various contexts, is very relevant to the present research project.

### 3.3.4. *Relevant contributions from the Mathematical Component libraries*

Representing abstract algebra in a proof assistant has been studied for long. The libraries developed by the MathComp project for the proof of the Odd Order Theorem provide a rather comprehensive hierarchy of structures; however, they originally feature a large number of instances of structures that they need to organize. On the methodological side, this hierarchy is an incarnation of an original work [40] based on various mechanisms, primarily type inference, typically employed in the area of programming languages. A large amount of information that is implicit in handwritten proofs, and that must become explicit at formalization time, can be systematically recovered following this methodology.

Small-scale reflection [43] is another methodology promoted by the MathComp project. Its ultimate goal is to ease formal proofs by systematically dealing with as many bureaucratic steps as possible, by automated computation. For instance, as opposed to the style advocated by Coq's standard library, decidable predicates are systematically represented using computable boolean functions: comparison on integers is expressed as program, and to state that  $a \leq b$  one compares the output of this program run on  $a$  and  $b$  with *true*. In many cases, for example when  $a$  and  $b$  are values, one can prove or disprove the inequality by pure computation.

The MathComp library was consistently designed after uniform principles of software engineering. These principles range from simple ones, like naming conventions, to more advanced ones, like generic programming, resulting in a robust and reusable collection of formal mathematical components. This large body of formalized mathematics covers a broad panel of algebraic theories, including of course advanced topics of finite group theory, but also linear algebra, commutative algebra, Galois theory, and representation theory. We refer the interested reader to the online documentation of these libraries [65], which represent about 150,000 lines of code and include roughly 4,000 definitions and 13,000 theorems.

Topics not addressed by these libraries and that might be relevant to the present project include real analysis and differential equations. The most advanced work of formalization on these domains is available in the HOL-Light system [48], [49], [50], although some existing developments of interest [25], [57] are also available for Coq. Another aspect of the MathComp libraries that needs improvement, owing to the size of the data we manipulate, is the connection with efficient data structures and implementations, which only starts to be explored.

### 3.3.5. *User interaction with the proof assistant*

The user of a proof assistant describes the proof he wants to formalize in the system using a textual language. Depending on the peculiarities of the formal system and the applicative domain, different proof languages have been developed. Some proof assistants promote the use of a declarative language, when the Coq and Matita systems are more oriented toward a procedural style.

The development of the large, consistent body of MathComp libraries has prompted the need to design an alternative and coherent language extension for the Coq proof assistant [45], [44], enforcing the robustness of proof scripts to the numerous changes induced by code refactoring and enhancing the support for the methodology of small-scale reflection.

The development of large libraries is quite a novelty for the Coq system. In particular any long-term development process requires the iteration of many refactoring steps and very little support is provided by most proof assistants, with the notable exception of Mizar [61]. For the Coq system, this is an active area of research.

## 4. Application Domains

### 4.1. Computer Algebra in Mathematics

Our expertise in computer algebra and complexity-driven design of algebraic algorithms has applications in various domains, including:



- combinatorics, especially the study of combinatorial walks,
- theoretical computer science, like by the study of automatic sequences,
- number theory, by the analysis of the nature of so-called periods.

## 5. New Software and Platforms

### 5.1. DynaMoW

*Dynamic Mathematics on the Web*

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: Programming tool for controlling the generation of mathematical websites that embed dynamical mathematical contents generated by computer-algebra calculations. Implemented in OCaml.

- Participants: Alexis Darrasse, Frédéric Chyzak and Maxence Guesdon
- Contact: Frédéric Chyzak
- URL: <http://ddmf.msr-inria.inria.fr/DynaMoW/>

### 5.2. ECS

*Encyclopedia of Combinatorial Structures*

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: On-line mathematical encyclopedia with an emphasis on sequences that arise in the context of decomposable combinatorial structures, with the possibility to search by the first terms in the sequence, keyword, generating function, or closed form.

- Participants: Alexis Darrasse, Frédéric Chyzak, Maxence Guesdon and Stéphanie Petit
- Contact: Frédéric Chyzak
- URL: <http://ecs.inria.fr/>

### 5.3. DDMF

*Dynamic Dictionary of Mathematical Functions*

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: Web site consisting of interactive tables of mathematical formulas on elementary and special functions. The formulas are automatically generated by OCaml and computer-algebra routines. Users can ask for more terms of the expansions, more digits of the numerical values, proofs of some of the formulas, etc.

- Participants: Alexandre Benoit, Alexis Darrasse, Bruno Salvy, Christoph Koutschan, Frédéric Chyzak, Marc Mezzarobba, Maxence Guesdon, Stefan Gerhold and Thomas Gregoire
- Contact: Frédéric Chyzak
- URL: <http://ddmf.msr-inria.inria.fr/1.9.1/ddmf>

### 5.4. Mgfund

*multivariate generating functions package*

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: The Mgfund Project is a collection of packages for the computer algebra system Maple, and is intended for the symbolic manipulation of a large class of special functions and combinatorial sequences (in one or several variables and indices) that appear in many branches of mathematics, mathematical physics, and engineering sciences. Members of the class satisfy a crucial finiteness property which makes the class amenable to computer algebra methods and enjoy numerous algorithmic closure properties, including algorithmic closures under integration and summation.

- Contact: Frédéric Chyzak
- URL: <http://specfun.inria.fr/chyzak/mgfund.html>

## 5.5. Ssreflect

KEYWORD: Proof assistant

SCIENTIFIC DESCRIPTION: Ssreflect is tactic language that helps writing concise and uniform tactic based proof scripts for the Coq system. It was designed during the proofs of the 4 Color Theorem and the Feit-Thompson theorem.

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: Ssreflect is a tactic language extension to the Coq system, developed by the Mathematical Components team.

NEWS OF THE YEAR: In 2019, we extended the intro pattern functionality of SSreflect and added support for working under binders using the "under" tactical.

- Participants: Assia Mahboubi, Cyril Cohen, Enrico Tassi, Georges Gonthier, Laurence Rideau, Laurent Théry and Yves Bertot
- Contact: Yves Bertot
- URL: <http://math-comp.github.io/math-comp/>

## 5.6. Math-Components

*Mathematical Components library*

KEYWORD: Proof assistant

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: The Mathematical Components library is a set of Coq libraries that cover the prerequisite for the mechanization of the proof of the Odd Order Theorem.

RELEASE FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION: This releases is compatible with Coq 8.9 and Coq 8.10 it adds many theorems for finite function, prime numbers, sequences, finite types, bigo operations, natural numbers, cycles in graphs.

- Participants: Alexey Solovyev, Andrea Asperti, Assia Mahboubi, Cyril Cohen, Enrico Tassi, François Garillot, Georges Gonthier, Ioana Pasca, Jeremy Avigad, Laurence Rideau, Laurent Théry, Russell O'Connor, Sidi Ould Biha, Stéphane Le Roux and Yves Bertot
- Contact: Assia Mahboubi
- URL: <http://math-comp.github.io/math-comp/>

# 6. New Results

## 6.1. Becker's conjecture on Mahler functions

In 1994, Becker conjectured that if  $F(z)$  is a  $k$ -regular power series, then there exists a  $k$ -regular rational function  $R(z)$  such that  $F(z)/R(z)$  satisfies a Mahler-type functional equation with polynomial coefficients, whose trailing coefficient (i.e., of order 0) is 1. In [2], Frédéric Chyzak and Philippe Dumas, together with Jason P. Bell (University of Waterloo, Canada) and Michael Coons (University of Newcastle, Australia) have proved Becker's conjecture in the best-possible form: they have shown that the rational function  $R(z)$  can be taken to be a polynomial  $z^\gamma Q(z)$  for some explicit non-negative integer  $\gamma$  and such that  $1/Q(z)$  is  $k$ -regular. The article was published this year.



## 6.2. Fast coefficient computation for algebraic power series in positive characteristic

In [8], Alin Bostan and Philippe Dumas, together with Xavier Caruso (CNRS, Rennes) and Gilles Christol (IMJ, Paris) have studied the algorithmic question of coefficient computation of algebraic power series in positive characteristic. They revisited Christol's theorem on algebraic power series in positive characteristic and proposed another proof for it. Their new proof combines several ingredients and advantages of existing proofs, which make it very well-suited for algorithmic purposes. The construction used in the new proof was then applied to the design of a new efficient algorithm for computing the  $N$ th coefficient of a given algebraic power series over a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ . This algorithm has several nice features: it is more general, more natural and more efficient than previous algorithms. Not only the arithmetic complexity of the new algorithm is linear in  $\log N$  and quasi-linear in  $p$ , but its dependency with respect to the degree of the input is much smaller than in the previously best algorithm. Moreover, when the ground field is finite, the new approach yields an even faster algorithm, whose bit complexity is linear in  $\log N$  and quasi-linear in  $\sqrt{p}$ .

## 6.3. Subresultants of $(x - \alpha)^m$ and $(x - \beta)^n$ , Jacobi polynomials and complexity

A previous article described explicit expressions for the coefficients of the order- $d$  polynomial subresultant of  $(x - \alpha)^m$  and  $(x - \beta)^n$  with respect to Bernstein's set of polynomials  $\{(x - \alpha)^j(x - \beta)^{d-j}, 0 \leq j \leq d\}$ , for  $0 \leq d < \min\{m, n\}$ . In [3], Alin Bostan, together with T. Krick, M. Valdetaro (U. Buenos Aires, Argentina) and A. Szanto (U. North Carolina, Raleigh, USA) further developed the study of these structured polynomials and showed that the coefficients of the subresultants of  $(x - \alpha)^m$  and  $(x - \beta)^n$  with respect to the monomial basis can be computed in *linear* arithmetic complexity, which is faster than for arbitrary polynomials. The result is obtained as a consequence of the amazing though seemingly unnoticed fact that these subresultants are scalar multiples of Jacobi polynomials up to an affine change of variables.

## 6.4. Least common multiple of random integers

In [4], Alin Bostan together with Kilian Raschel (CNRS, Tours) and Alexander Marynych (U. Kyiv, Ukraine) have investigated the least common multiple of random integers. Using a purely probabilistic approach, they derived a criterion for the convergence in distribution as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of  $f(L_n)/n^{rk}$ , for a wide class of multiplicative arithmetic functions  $f$  with polynomial growth  $r$ , where  $L_n(k)$  denotes the least common multiple of  $k$  independent random integers with uniform distribution on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Furthermore, they identified the limit as an infinite product of independent random variables indexed by the prime numbers. Along the way of showing the main results, they computed the (rational) generating function of a trimmed sum of independent geometric laws, which appears in the above infinite product. The latter is directly related to the generating function of a certain max-type diophantine equation, of which they solved a generalized version. The results extend theorems by Erdős and Wintner (1939), Fernández and Fernández (2013) and Hilberdink and Tóth (2016).

## 6.5. On sequences associated to the invariant theory of rank two simple Lie algebras

In [14], Alin Bostan together with Jordan Tirrell (Washington College, USA) Philadelphia, USA), Bruce W. Westbury (University of Texas at Dallas, USA) and Yi Zhang (Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, China) studied two families of sequences, listed in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS), which are associated to invariant theory of Lie algebras. For the first family, they proved combinatorially that the sequences [A059710](#) and [A108307](#) are related by a binomial transform. Based on this, they presented two independent proofs of a recurrence equation for [A059710](#), which was conjectured by Mihailovs. Besides, they also gave a direct proof of Mihailovs' conjecture by the method of algebraic residues. As a consequence, closed formulae for the generating function of sequence [A059710](#) were obtained in terms of classical Gaussian hypergeometric functions.

## 6.6. Explicit degree bounds for right factors of linear differential operators

If a linear differential operator with rational function coefficients is reducible, its factors may have coefficients with numerators and denominators of very high degree. When the base field is  $\mathbb{C}$ , Alin Bostan together with Bruno Salvy (Inria and ENS Lyon) and Tanguy Rivoal (CNRS and U. Grenoble) gave in [13] a completely explicit bound for the degrees of the monic right factors in terms of the degree and the order of the original operator, as well as the largest modulus of the local exponents at all its singularities. As a consequence, if a differential operator  $L$  has rational function coefficients over a number field, they obtained degree bounds for its monic right factors in terms of the degree, the order and the height of  $L$ , and of the degree of the number field.

## 6.7. Improved algorithms for left factorial residues

In [11], Alin Bostan together with Vladica Andrejić (University of Belgrade, Serbia) and Milos Tatarevic (CoinList, Alameda, CA) presented improved algorithms for computing the left factorial residues  $!p = 0! + 1! + \dots + (p-1)! \pmod p$ . They used these algorithms for the calculation of the residues  $!p \pmod p$ , for all primes  $p$  up to  $2^{40}$ . Their results confirm that Kurepa's left factorial conjecture is still an open problem, as they show that there are no odd primes  $p < 2^{40}$  such that  $p$  divides  $!p$ . Additionally, they confirmed that there are no socialist primes  $p$  with  $5 < p < 2^{40}$ .

## 6.8. A note on gamma triangles and local gamma vectors

Alin Bostan contributed to F. Chapoton's article [5] by writing an appendix, which allowed the author to complete its article. The theme of [5] is the study of simplicial complexes in algebraic combinatorics. A basic invariant is the  $f$ -vector that counts faces according to their dimensions. A less understood invariant is the  $\gamma$ -vector, introduced by Gal in 2005. Also in 2005, Chapoton, motivated by the study of the combinatorics of simplicial complexes attached to cluster algebras, considered a refined version of the  $f$ -vector. The main aim of [5] is to introduce the analogue in this context of the  $\gamma$ -vector, and a further refinement called the  $\Gamma$ -triangle. The author computed explicitly the  $\Gamma$ -triangle for all the cluster simplicial complexes of irreducible Coxeter groups. Alin Bostan contributed to the proof of an unexpected relation between the  $\Gamma$ -triangles of cluster fans of type  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $\mathbb{D}$ .

## 6.9. A closed-form formula for the Kullback-Leibler divergence between Cauchy distributions

In the preliminary work [16], Frédéric Chyzak and Frank Nielsen (LIX, Palaiseau and Sony Computer Science Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan) have reported on a closed-form expression for the Kullback-Leibler divergence between Cauchy distributions which involves the calculation of a parametric definite integral with 6 parameters. The formula shows that the Kullback-Leibler divergence between Cauchy densities is always finite and symmetric. This work also serves as a show-case of several methods in computer algebra to the computation of parametrized integrals.

## 6.10. Big prime field FFT on multi-core processors

In [9], Svyatoslav Covanov, together with Davood Mohajerani, Marc Moreno Maza, and Linxiao Wang (all from ORCCA, Canada), have worked on a multi-threaded implementation of Fast Fourier Transforms over generalized Fermat prime fields. This work extends their previous study realized on graphics processing units to multi-core processors. In this new context, they overcome the less fine control of hardware resources by successively using FFT in support of the multiplication in those fields. They obtain favorable speedup factors (up to  $6.9\times$  on a 6-core, 12 threads node, and  $4.3\times$  on a 4-core, 8 threads node) of their parallel implementation compared to the serial implementation for the overall application thanks to the low memory footprint and the sharp control of arithmetic instructions of their implementation of generalized Fermat prime fields.

## 6.11. Martin boundary of killed random walks on isoradial graphs

Alin Bostan contributed to an article by Cédric Boutillier and Kilian Raschel [15], devoted to the study of random walks on isoradial graphs. Contrary to the lattice case, isoradial graphs are not translation invariant, do not admit any group structure and are spatially non-homogeneous. However, Boutillier and Raschel have been able to obtain analogues of a celebrated result by Ney and Spitzer (1966) on the so-called *Martin kernel* (ratio of Green functions started at different points). Alin Bostan provided in the Appendix two different proofs of the fact that some algebraic power series arising in this context have non-negative coefficients.

## 6.12. Random walks in orthants and lattice path combinatorics

In the second edition of the book [39], original methods were proposed to determine the invariant measure of random walks in the quarter plane with small jumps (size 1), the general solution being obtained via reduction to boundary value problems. Among other things, an important quantity, the so-called *group of the walk*, allows to deduce theoretical features about the nature of the solutions. In particular, when the order of the group is finite and the underlying algebraic curve is of genus 0 or 1, necessary and sufficient conditions have been given for the solution to be rational, algebraic or  $D$ -finite (i.e., solution of a linear differential equation). In this framework, a number of difficult open problems related to lattice-path combinatorics are currently being explored by Alin Bostan, Frédéric Chyzak, and Guy Fayolle, both from the theoretical and computer-algebra viewpoints: concrete computation of the criteria, utilization of differential Galois theory, genus greater than 1 (i.e., when some jumps are of size  $\geq 2$ ), etc. A recent topic of future research deals with the connections between simple product-form stochastic networks (so-called *Jackson networks*) and explicit solutions of functional equations for counting lattice walks, see [17].

## 6.13. Quasilinear Average Complexity for Solving Polynomial Systems

How many operations do we need on the average to compute an approximate root of a random Gaussian polynomial system? Beyond Smale's 17th problem that asked whether a polynomial bound is possible, Pierre Lairez has proved in [6] a quasi-optimal bound  $(inputsize)^{1+o(1)}$ , which improves upon the previously known  $(inputsize)^{3/2+o(1)}$  bound. His new algorithm relies on numerical continuation along *rigid continuation paths*. The central idea is to consider rigid motions of the equations rather than line segments in the linear space of all polynomial systems. This leads to a better average condition number and allows for bigger steps. He showed that on the average, one approximate root of a random Gaussian polynomial system of  $n$  equations of degree at most  $D$  in  $n + 1$  homogeneous variables can be computed with  $O(n^5 D^2)$  continuation steps. This is a decisive improvement over previous bounds, which prove no better than  $\sqrt{2}^{\min(n,D)}$  continuation steps on the average.

In 2019, the article has been accepted in the Journal of the AMS.

## 6.14. Computing the Volume of Compact Semi-Algebraic Sets

In [10], Pierre Lairez, Mohab Safey El Din and Marc Mezzarobba join a unique set of expertise in symbolic integration, real algebraic geometry and numerical integration to tackle a problem as old as Babylonian mathematics: the computation of volumes.

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a compact basic semi-algebraic set defined as the real solution set of multivariate polynomial inequalities with rational coefficients. They design an algorithm which takes as input a polynomial system defining  $S$  and an integer  $p \geq 0$  and returns the  $n$ -dimensional volume of  $S$  at absolute precision  $2^{-p}$ .

Their algorithm relies on the relationship between volumes of semi-algebraic sets and periods of rational integrals. It makes use of algorithms computing the Picard-Fuchs differential equation of appropriate periods, properties of critical points, and high-precision numerical integration of differential equations.

The algorithm runs in essentially linear time with respect to  $p$ . This improves upon the previous exponential bounds obtained by Monte-Carlo or moment-based methods.

## 6.15. Densities of Stieltjes moment sequences for pattern-avoiding permutations

A small subset of combinatorial sequences have coefficients that can be represented as moments of a nonnegative measure on  $[0, \infty)$ . Such sequences are known as *Stieltjes moment sequences*. They have a number of useful properties, such as log-convexity, which in turn enables one to rigorously bound their growth constant from below.

In [12], Alin Bostan together with Andrew Elvey Price, Anthony Guttmann and Jean-Marie Maillard, studied some classical sequences in enumerative combinatorics, denoted  $Av(\mathcal{P})$ , and counting permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  that avoid some given pattern  $\mathcal{P}$ . For increasing patterns  $\mathcal{P} = (12\dots k)$ , they showed that the corresponding sequences,  $Av(123\dots k)$ , are Stieltjes moment sequences, and explicitly determined the underlying density function, either exactly or numerically, by using the Stieltjes inversion formula as a fundamental tool.

They showed that the densities for  $Av(1234)$  and  $Av(12345)$ , correspond to an order-one linear differential operator acting on a classical modular form given as a pullback of a Gaussian  ${}_2F_1$  hypergeometric function, respectively to an order-two linear differential operator acting on the square of a classical modular form given as a pullback of a  ${}_2F_1$  hypergeometric function. Moreover, these density functions are closely, but non-trivially, related to the density attached to the distance traveled by a walk in the plane with  $k - 1$  unit steps in random directions.

As a bonus, they studied the challenging case of the  $Av(1324)$  sequence and gave compelling numerical evidence that this too is a Stieltjes moment sequence. Accepting this, they proved new lower bounds on the growth constant of this sequence, which are stronger than existing bounds. A further unproven assumption leads to even better bounds, which can be extrapolated to give a good estimate of the (unknown) growth constant.

## 7. Partnerships and Cooperations

### 7.1. National Initiatives

#### 7.1.1. ANR

- *De rerum natura*. This project, set up by the team, was accepted this year and will be funded until 2023. It gathers over 20 experts from four fields: computer algebra; the Galois theories of linear functional equations; number theory; combinatorics and probability. Our goal is to obtain classification algorithms for number theory and combinatorics, particularly so for deciding irrationality and transcendence.

#### 7.1.2. Research in Pairs

Alin Bostan together with Marc Mezzaroba (CNRS, Sorbonne Université) and Tanguy Rivoal (CNRS, Université Grenoble-Alpes) have done a “research in pairs” on the **Fast Computation of Values of D-Finite Functions**, from December 2 to 6, 2019, at CIRM (Luminy, France). The aim of the joint project was to investigate the implications of arithmetic properties of linear differential equations on the computational complexity of their numerical solutions. They focussed on E- and G-functions, which are power series solutions of differential equations that additionally satisfy strong arithmetic conditions and play a major role in Diophantine approximation. The main goal for this research session was to understand several remarks, given without proof by Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky in the late 1980s, and stating that number-theoretic properties could lead to slightly better complexity bounds for E- and G-functions than in the general case.

## 7.2. International Research Visitors

### 7.2.1. Visits of International Scientists

#### 7.2.1.1. Internships

- Pierre Lairez supervised during two months Abhijit Balachandra, M1-level student from the Indian Institute of Science (Bangalore). They studied some new aspects of the numerical computation of the topology of complex algebraic surfaces.

## 8. Dissemination

### 8.1. Promoting Scientific Activities

#### 8.1.1. Scientific Events: Organisation

##### 8.1.1.1. General Chair, Scientific Chair

- Alin Bostan is part of the Scientific advisory board of the conference series *Effective Methods in Algebraic Geometry* (MEGA).
- Alin Bostan is part of the scientific committee of the **GDR EFI** (“Functional Equations and Interactions”) dependent on the mathematical institute (INSMI) of the CNRS. The goal of this GDR is to bring together various research communities in France working on functional equations in fields of computer science and mathematics.
- Frédéric Chyzak is member of the steering committee of the *Journées Nationales de Calcul Formel* (JNCF), the annual meeting of the French computer algebra community.
- Frédéric Chyzak was until July 2019 elected member (and chair) of the steering committee of the *International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation* (ISSAC, 3-year term).
- Georges Gonthier is a member of the steering committee of the *Certified Programs and Proofs Conference* (CPP).

##### 8.1.1.2. Member of the Organizing Committees

- Alin Bostan co-organizes, with Lucia Di Vizio, the *Séminaire Différentiel* between U. Versailles and Inria Saclay, with a bi-annual frequency ( $\sim 30$  participants per event).
- Alin Bostan co-organizes, with Lucia Di Vizio, the working group *Marches dans le quart de plan*, at Institut Henri Poincaré (Paris), with a bi-monthly frequency ( $\sim 15$  participants per event).

#### 8.1.2. Scientific Events: Selection

##### 8.1.2.1. Member of the Conference Program Committees

- Alin Bostan and Frédéric Chyzak have served as conference program committee members for the first *Maple Conference*.
- Georges Gonthier has served as a conference program committee members for the first *Workshop on Formal Methods for Blockchains* (FMBC).

##### 8.1.2.2. Reviewer

- Frédéric Chyzak has served as reviewer for the selection of the international conferences CICM 2019, ISSAC 2019, and Maple Conference 2019.
- Alin Bostan has served as reviewer for the selection of the international conferences FPSAC 2019 and Maple Conference 2019.

#### 8.1.3. Journal

##### 8.1.3.1. Member of the Editorial Boards

- Alin Bostan is on the editorial board of the *Journal of Symbolic Computation*.
- Alin Bostan is on the editorial board of the *Annals of Combinatorics*.
- Guy Fayolle is associate editor of the journal *Markov Processes and Related Fields*.
- Georges Gonthier is on the editorial board of the *Journal of Formalized Reasoning*.

#### 8.1.3.2. Reviewer - Reviewing Activities

- Alin Bostan has served as a reviewer for the journals: *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, *Applicable Algebra in Engineering Communications and Computing*, *Journal of Combinatorial Algebra*, *Annales Henri Lebesgue*, *Annali dell Università di Ferrara*, *Mathematics of Computation*, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*.
- Guy Fayolle has been a reviewer for *Advances in Applied Probability*, *Markov Processes and Related Fields*, *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, *Queueing Systems: Theory and Applications*, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, *Journal of Statistical Physics*, *Physica A*, *Springer Science*.

#### 8.1.4. Invited Talks

- Frédéric Chyzak has been invited to give a talk on his joint work with Alin Bostan about the enumeration of walks with small steps in the quarter plane at the international conference **Transient Transcendence in Transylvania** (Braov, Romania).
- Frédéric Chyzak has been invited to give talks on his joint work with Philippe Dumas about Becker's conjecture on Mahler functions: at the conference **Équations Fonctionnelles et Interactions** (Anglet), during a Seminar on Symbolic Computation at the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Beijing, China), and at the conference **Differential Galois Theory in Strasbourg** (Strasbourg).
- Frédéric Chyzak has been invited to give a talk on his joint work with Alin Bostan, Pierre Lairez, and Bruno Salvy (AriC) at the **6th Summer School in Symbolic Computation** (Chongqing, China).
- Alin Bostan has been invited to give a talk at the **Algebraic Marvels in Differential Equations**, Universidade Lisboa, Lisbonne, Portugal, February 2019.
- Alin Bostan has been invited to give a talk at the **Combinatorics Seminar**, LaBRI, Bordeaux, March 2019.
- Alin Bostan has been a plenary speaker at the international conference **AofA 2019**, Luminy (France), June 2019.
- Alin Bostan has been a plenary speaker at the international conference **FPSAC 2019**, Ljubljana (Slovenia), July 2019.
- Alin Bostan has been invited to give a series of five lectures at the **Vienna Summer School of Mathematics**, Weissensee, Austria, Sept. 2019.

#### 8.1.5. Leadership within the Scientific Community

##### 8.1.5.1. Regular Research Seminar

The team organizes a **regular seminar**, with roughly 10 talks a year. The topics reflect the team's interests: computer algebra, combinatorics, number theory, formal proofs, and related domains.

##### 8.1.5.2. Research Working Group

In 2018, we have set up a working group **Marches dans le quart de plan** around the study of walks in the quarter plan, a very active research topic in probability theory and enumerative combinatorics in recent years. The working group is organized at Institut Henri Poincaré, with a regularity of two sessions per month. The original purpose was to read the article "On the Nature of the Generating Series of Walks in the Quarter Plane" by T. Dreyfus, C. Hardouin, J. Roques, M. Singer, published in *Invent. Math.* this year. But the reality exceeded expectations: the working group attracted a dozen of people, working either in computer science or pure mathematics, who began to interact and a very good dynamic was created. Altogether, 15 sessions have taken place in 2019.



### 8.1.5.3. International Conference

Together with Kilian Raschel (CNRS, U. Tours), Alin Bostan co-organized an international conference, **Transient Transcendence in Transylvania**, held in Romania from May 13 to 17, 2019. They took care together of all the infrastructure for this conference: program, invitations, web page, etc. This conference was a unique event in Romania, with a truly exceptional list of speakers, from several continents and countries: South Africa, Germany, Austria, Canada, United States, France, Netherlands, Poland, and of course, Romania. As a natural continuation of the conference, a volume will be published in the Springer collection **PROMS** (Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics), with Bostan and Raschel as editors.

### 8.1.6. Scientific Expertise

- Guy Fayolle is scientific advisor and associate researcher at the *Robotics Laboratory of Mines ParisTech*.
- Georges Gonthier is taking part in an interministerial survey on the technological roadblocks for blockchains, which has been jointly commissioned to Inria, CEA and IMT by the Ministère de l'Economie, the Ministère de l'Education supérieure et de la Recherche, and the Secrétariat d'Etat au Numérique. He also participates to the Blockchain Taskforce set up by the French government.

### 8.1.7. Research Administration

- Frédéric Chyzak is project coordinator of the ANR project *De rerum natura*.
- Guy Fayolle is a member of the working group for *Computer System Modeling* of the *International Federation for Information Processing* (IFIP WG 7.3).
- Georges Gonthier serves on the Conseil de l'École Doctorale de Mathématiques Hadamard.

## 8.2. Teaching - Supervision - Juries

### 8.2.1. Teaching

#### Master:

Alin Bostan, *Algorithmes efficaces en calcul formel*, 36h, M2, MPRI, France.

Alin Bostan, *Modern Algorithms for Symbolic Summation and Integration*, 21h, M2, Master d'Informatique Fondamentale de l'ENS de Lyon, France.

Frédéric Chyzak, *Algorithmes efficaces en calcul formel*, 22.5h, M2, MPRI, France.

Pierre Lairez, *Algorithmique avancée (INF550)*, TD, 18h, M2, École polytechnique, France.

Pierre Lairez, *Les bases de la programmation et de l'algorithmique (INF411)*, TD, 40h, M1, École polytechnique, France.

### 8.2.2. Juries

- Alin Bostan has served as an examiner in the PhD jury of Robin Larrieu, *Arithmétique rapide pour des corps finis*, Ecole polytechnique, December 10, 2019.
- Alin Bostan has served as a member of the monitoring PhD committee of Youssef Abdelaziz, Univ. Paris 6.
- Alin Bostan has served as a member of the monitoring PhD committee of Manon Bertin, Univ. Rouen.
- Frédéric Chyzak has served as a reviewer in the PhD jury of Joelle Saade, *Méthodes symboliques pour les systèmes différentiels linéaires à singularité irrégulière*, Université de Limoges, November 5, 2019.
- Frédéric Chyzak has served as a reviewer in the PhD jury of Amélie Trotignon, *Marches sur des réseaux dans des cônes : aspects combinatoires et probabilistes*, Université de Tours, December 6, 2019.

- Pierre Lairez has served as a reviewer in the PhD jury of Josué Tonelli-Cueto, *Condition and Homology in Semialgebraic Geometry*, TU Berlin, November 28, 2019.
- Georges Gonthier has served in the PhD jury of Armaël Guénaud, *Mechanized Verification of the Correctness and Asymptotic Complexity of Programs*, Université de Paris, December 16, 2019.

## 8.3. Popularization

### 8.3.1. Articles and contents

- Georges Gonthier published an interview article *Blockchain: ce que c'est, comment ça marche* in *La Recherche*, **545**, March 2019.
- Georges Gonthier co-wrote with Ivan Odonnat (Banque de France) *L'avenir du bitcoin et de la blockchain*, in *Les Carnets de l'Institut Diderot* (2019).

## 9. Bibliography

### Publications of the year

#### Articles in International Peer-Reviewed Journals

- [1] M. BARKATOU, S. MADDAH. *Formal solutions of singularly-perturbed linear differential systems*, in "Journal of Symbolic Computation", September 2019, vol. 94, pp. 183-209 [DOI : 10.1016/J.JSC.2018.08.003], <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02393887>
- [2] J. P. BELL, F. CHYZAK, M. COONS, P. DUMAS. *Becker's conjecture on Mahler functions*, in "Transactions of the American Mathematical Society", 2019, vol. 372, pp. 3405–3423, In press, forthcoming [DOI : 10.1090/TRAN/7762], <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01885598>
- [3] A. BOSTAN, T. KRICK, A. SZANTO, M. VALDETTARO. *Subresultants of  $(x - \alpha)^m$  and  $(x - \beta)^n$ , Jacobi polynomials and complexity*, in "Journal of Symbolic Computation", 2019, forthcoming [DOI : 10.1016/J.JSC.2019.10.003], <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01966640>
- [4] A. BOSTAN, A. MARYNYCH, K. RASCHEL. *On the least common multiple of several random integers*, in "Journal of Number Theory", November 2019, vol. 204, pp. 113–133, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.03002> [DOI : 10.1016/J.JNT.2019.03.017], <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01984389>
- [5] F. CHAPOTON, A. BOSTAN. *A note on gamma triangles and local gamma vectors*, in "Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse. Mathématiques.", 2019, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.00575>, forthcoming, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01866199>
- [6] P. LAIREZ. *Rigid continuation paths I. Quasilinear average complexity for solving polynomial systems*, in "Journal of the American Mathematical Society", 2019, forthcoming, <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01631778>
- [7] A. MAHBOUBI, G. MELQUIOND, T. SIBUT-PINOTE. *Formally Verified Approximations of Definite Integrals*, in "Journal of Automated Reasoning", February 2019, vol. 62, n<sup>o</sup> 2, pp. 281-300 [DOI : 10.1007/s10817-018-9463-7], <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01630143>



## International Conferences with Proceedings

- [8] A. BOSTAN, X. CARUSO, G. CHRISTOL, P. DUMAS. *Fast Coefficient Computation for Algebraic Power Series in Positive Characteristic*, in "ANTS-XIII - Thirteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium", Madison, United States, R. SCHEIDLER, J. SORENSON (editors), Proceedings of the Thirteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium (ANTS–XIII), Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 2019, vol. 2, n<sup>o</sup> 1, pp. 119-135, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.06543> [DOI : 10.2140/OBS.2019.2-1], <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01816375>
- [9] S. COVANOV, D. MOHAJERANI, M. MORENO MAZA, L. WANG. *Big Prime Field FFT on Multi-core Processors*, in "ISSAC 2019 - International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation", Pékin, China, July 2019, <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02191652>
- [10] P. LAIREZ, M. MEZZAROBBA, M. SAFEY EL DIN. *Computing the volume of compact semi-algebraic sets*, in "ISSAC 2019 - International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation", Beijing, China, ACM, July 2019, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.11705> , <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02110556>

## Other Publications

- [11] V. ANDREJIĆ, A. BOSTAN, M. TATAREVIC. *Improved algorithms for left factorial residues*, December 2019, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.09196> - working paper or preprint, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02411741>
- [12] A. BOSTAN, A. ELVEY-PRICE, A. J. GUTTMANN, J.-M. MAILLARD. *Stieltjes moment sequences for pattern-avoiding permutations*, December 2019, working paper or preprint, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02425917>
- [13] A. BOSTAN, T. RIVOAL, B. SALVY. *Explicit degree bounds for right factors of linear differential operators*, July 2019, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.05529> - working paper or preprint, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02154679>
- [14] A. BOSTAN, J. TIRRELL, B. W. WESTBURY, Y. ZHANG. *On sequences associated to the invariant theory of rank two simple Lie algebras*, December 2019, working paper or preprint, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02411755>
- [15] C. BOUTILLIER, K. RASCHEL, A. BOSTAN. *Martin boundary of killed random walks on isoradial graphs*, December 2019, Avec un appendice d'Alin Bostan, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02422417>
- [16] F. CHYZAK, F. NIELSEN. *A closed-form formula for the Kullback-Leibler divergence between Cauchy distributions*, December 2019, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.10965> - 8 pages, <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02420591>
- [17] G. FAYOLLE. *A note on the connection between product-form Jackson networks and counting lattice walks in the quarter plane*, January 2020, working paper or preprint, <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02415746>

## References in notes

- [18] M. ABRAMOWITZ, I. A. STEGUN (editors). *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, Dover, New York, 1992, xiv+1046 p. , Reprint of the 1972 edition
- [19] *Computer Algebra Errors*, Article in mathematics blog MathOverflow, <http://mathoverflow.net/questions/11517/computer-algebra-errors>

- [20] F. W. J. OLVER, D. W. LOZIER, R. F. BOISVERT, C. W. CLARK (editors). *NIST Handbook of mathematical functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2010
- [21] M. ARMAND, B. GRÉGOIRE, A. SPIWACK, L. THÉRY. *Extending Coq with Imperative Features and its Application to SAT Verification*, in "Interactive Theorem Proving, international Conference, ITP 2010, Edinburgh, Scotland, July 11–14, 2010, Proceedings", Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, 2010
- [22] B. BECKERMANN, G. LABAHN. *A uniform approach for the fast computation of matrix-type Padé approximants*, in "SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.", 1994, vol. 15, n<sup>o</sup> 3, pp. 804–823
- [23] A. BENOIT, F. CHYZAK, A. DARRASSE, S. GERHOLD, M. MEZZAROBBA, B. SALVY. *The Dynamic Dictionary of Mathematical Functions (DDMF)*, in "The Third International Congress on Mathematical Software (ICMS 2010)", K. FUKUDA, J. VAN DER HOEVEN, M. JOSWIG, N. TAKAYAMA (editors), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2010, vol. 6327, pp. 35–41, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-15582-6\\_7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-15582-6_7)
- [24] M. BOESPFLUG, M. DÉNÈS, B. GRÉGOIRE. *Full reduction at full throttle*, in "First International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs, Taiwan, December 7–9", Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, 2011
- [25] S. BOLDO, C. LELAY, G. MELQUIOND. *Improving Real Analysis in Coq: A User-Friendly Approach to Integrals and Derivatives*, in "Certified Programs and Proofs", C. HAWBLITZEL, D. MILLER (editors), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, vol. 7679, pp. 289–304, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35308-6\\_22](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35308-6_22)
- [26] S. BOLDO, G. MELQUIOND. *Flocq: A Unified Library for Proving Floating-point Algorithms in Coq*, in "Proceedings of the 20th IEEE Symposium on Computer Arithmetic", Tübingen, Germany, July 2011, pp. 243–252
- [27] A. BOSTAN. *Algorithmes rapides pour les polynômes, séries formelles et matrices*, in "Actes des Journées Nationales de Calcul Formel", Luminy, France, 2010, pp. 75–262, Les cours du CIRM, tome 1, numéro 2, [http://ccirm.cedram.org:80/ccirm-bin/fitem?id=CCIRM\\_2010\\_\\_1\\_2\\_75\\_0](http://ccirm.cedram.org:80/ccirm-bin/fitem?id=CCIRM_2010__1_2_75_0)
- [28] A. BOSTAN, S. BOUKRAA, S. HASSANI, J.-M. MAILLARD, J.-A. WEIL, N. ZENINE. *Globally nilpotent differential operators and the square Ising model*, in "J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.", 2009, vol. 42, n<sup>o</sup> 12, 50 p. , <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/12/125206>
- [29] A. BOSTAN, S. CHEN, F. CHYZAK, Z. LI. *Complexity of creative telescoping for bivariate rational functions*, in "ISSAC'10: Proceedings of the 2010 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation", New York, NY, USA, ACM, 2010, pp. 203–210, <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1837934.1837975>
- [30] A. BOSTAN, F. CHYZAK, G. LECERF, B. SALVY, É. SCHOST. *Differential equations for algebraic functions*, in "ISSAC'07: Proceedings of the 2007 international symposium on Symbolic and algebraic computation", C. W. BROWN (editor), ACM Press, 2007, pp. 25–32, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1277548.1277553>
- [31] A. BOSTAN, F. CHYZAK, M. VAN HOEIJ, L. PECH. *Explicit formula for the generating series of diagonal 3D rook paths*, in "Sém. Loth. Comb.", 2011, vol. B66a, 27 p. , <http://www.emis.de/journals/SLC/wpapers/s66bochhope.html>

- [32] A. BOSTAN, M. KAUIERS. *The complete generating function for Gessel walks is algebraic*, in "Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society", September 2010, vol. 138, n<sup>o</sup> 9, pp. 3063–3078, With an appendix by Mark van Hoeij
- [33] F. CHYZAK. *An extension of Zeilberger's fast algorithm to general holonomic functions*, in "Discrete Math.", 2000, vol. 217, n<sup>o</sup> 1-3, pp. 115–134, Formal power series and algebraic combinatorics (Vienna, 1997)
- [34] F. CHYZAK, M. KAUIERS, B. SALVY. *A Non-Holonomic Systems Approach to Special Function Identities*, in "ISSAC'09: Proceedings of the Twenty-Second International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation", J. MAY (editor), 2009, pp. 111–118, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1576702.1576720>
- [35] F. CHYZAK, B. SALVY. *Non-commutative elimination in Ore algebras proves multivariate identities*, in "J. Symbolic Comput.", 1998, vol. 26, n<sup>o</sup> 2, pp. 187–227
- [36] T. COQUAND, G. P. HUET. *The Calculus of Constructions*, in "Inf. Comput.", 1988, vol. 76, n<sup>o</sup> 2/3, pp. 95-120, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0890-5401\(88\)90005-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0890-5401(88)90005-3)
- [37] T. COQUAND, C. PAULIN-MOHRING. *Inductively defined types*, in "Proceedings of Colog'88", P. MARTIN-LÖF, G. MINTS (editors), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, 1990, vol. 417
- [38] D. DELAHAYE, M. MAYERO. *Dealing with algebraic expressions over a field in Coq using Maple*, in "J. Symbolic Comput.", 2005, vol. 39, n<sup>o</sup> 5, pp. 569–592, Special issue on the integration of automated reasoning and computer algebra systems, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2004.12.004>
- [39] G. FAYOLLE, R. IASNOGORODSKI, V. A. MALYSHEV. , S. ASMUSSEN, P. W. GLYNN, Y. LE JAN (editors) *Random Walks in the Quarter Plane: Algebraic Methods, Boundary Value Problems, Applications to Queueing Systems and Analytic Combinatorics*, Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling, Springer International Publishing, February 2017, vol. 40, 255 p. , The first edition was published in 1999 [DOI : 10.1007/978-3-319-50930-3], <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01651919>
- [40] F. GARILLOT, G. GONTHIER, A. MAHBOUBI, L. RIDEAU. *Packaging Mathematical Structures*, in "Theorem Proving in Higher-Order Logics", S. BERGHOFER, T. NIPKOW, C. URBAN, M. WENZEL (editors), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, 2009, vol. 5674, pp. 327–342
- [41] J. VON ZUR. GATHEN, J. GERHARD. *Modern computer algebra*, 2nd, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2003, xiv+785 p.
- [42] G. GONTHIER. *Formal proofs—the four-colour theorem*, in "Notices of the AMS", 2008, vol. 55, n<sup>o</sup> 11, pp. 1382-1393
- [43] G. GONTHIER, A. MAHBOUBI. *An introduction to small scale reflection in Coq*, in "Journal of Formalized Reasoning", 2010, vol. 3, n<sup>o</sup> 2, pp. 95–152
- [44] G. GONTHIER, A. MAHBOUBI, E. TASSI. *A Small Scale Reflection Extension for the Coq system*, Inria, 2008, n<sup>o</sup> RR-6455, <http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00258384>
- [45] G. GONTHIER, E. TASSI. *A language of patterns for subterm selection*, in "ITP", LNCS, 2012, vol. 7406, pp. 361–376

- [46] B. GRÉGOIRE, A. MAHBOUBI. *Proving Equalities in a Commutative Ring Done Right in Coq*, in "Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics, 18th International Conference, TPHOLs 2005, Oxford, UK, August 22-25, 2005, Proceedings", Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, 2005, vol. 3603, pp. 98–113
- [47] T. HALES. *Formal proof*, in "Notices of the AMS", 2008, vol. 55, n<sup>o</sup> 11, pp. 1370-1380
- [48] J. HARRISON. *A HOL Theory of Euclidean space*, in "Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics, 18th International Conference, TPHOLs 2005", Oxford, UK, J. HURD, T. MELHAM (editors), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, 2005, vol. 3603
- [49] J. HARRISON. *Formalizing an analytic proof of the prime number theorem*, in "Journal of Automated Reasoning", 2009, vol. 43, pp. 243–261, Dedicated to Mike Gordon on the occasion of his 60th birthday
- [50] J. HARRISON. *Theorem proving with the real numbers*, CPHC/BCS distinguished dissertations, Springer, 1998
- [51] J. HARRISON. *A Machine-Checked Theory of Floating Point Arithmetic*, in "Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics: 12th International Conference, TPHOLs'99", Nice, France, Y. BERTOT, G. DOWEK, A. HIRSCHOWITZ, C. PAULIN, L. THÉRY (editors), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, 1999, vol. 1690, pp. 113–130
- [52] J. HARRISON, L. THÉRY. *A Skeptic's Approach to Combining HOL and Maple*, in "J. Autom. Reason.", December 1998, vol. 21, n<sup>o</sup> 3, pp. 279–294, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1006023127567>
- [53] F. JOHANSSON. *Another Mathematica bug*, 2009, Article on personal blog, <http://fredrik-j.blogspot.fr/2009/07/another-mathematica-bug.html>
- [54] C. KOUTSCHAN. *A fast approach to creative telescoping*, in "Math. Comput. Sci.", 2010, vol. 4, n<sup>o</sup> 2-3, pp. 259–266, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11786-010-0055-0>
- [55] A. MAHBOUBI. *Implementing the cylindrical algebraic decomposition within the Coq system*, in "Mathematical Structures in Computer Science", 2007, vol. 17, n<sup>o</sup> 1, pp. 99–127
- [56] R. MATUSZEWSKI, P. RUDNICKI. *Mizar: the first 30 years*, in "Mechanized Mathematics and Its Applications", 2005, vol. 4
- [57] M. MAYERO. *Problèmes critiques et preuves formelles*, Université Paris 13, novembre 2012, Habilitation à Diriger des Recherches
- [58] M. MEZZAROBBA. *NumGfun: a package for numerical and analytic computation and D-finite functions*, in "ISSAC 2010—Proceedings of the 2010 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation", New York, ACM, 2010, pp. 139–146, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1837934.1837965>
- [59] P. PAULE, M. SCHORN. *A Mathematica version of Zeilberger's algorithm for proving binomial coefficient identities*, in "J. Symbolic Comput.", 1995, vol. 20, n<sup>o</sup> 5-6, pp. 673–698, Symbolic computation in combinatorics  $\Delta_1$  (Ithaca, NY, 1993), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jsco.1995.1071>
- [60] B. PETERSEN. *Maple*, Personal web site

- 
- [61] P. RUDNICKI, A. TRYBULEC. *On the Integrity of a Repository of Formalized Mathematics*, in "Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Mathematical Knowledge Management", London, UK, MKM '03, Springer-Verlag, 2003, pp. 162–174, <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=648071.748518>
- [62] B. SALVY, P. ZIMMERMANN. *Gfun: a Maple package for the manipulation of generating and holonomic functions in one variable*, in "ACM Trans. Math. Software", 1994, vol. 20, n<sup>o</sup> 2, pp. 163–177
- [63] N. J. A. SLOANE, S. PLOUFFE. *The Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1995
- [64] THE COQ DEVELOPMENT TEAM. *The Coq Proof Assistant: Reference Manual*, <http://coq.inria.fr/doc/>
- [65] THE MATHEMATICAL COMPONENT TEAM. *A Formalization of the Odd Order Theorem using the Coq proof assistant*, September 2012, <http://www.msr-inria.fr/projects/mathematical-components/>
- [66] L. THÉRY. *A Machine-Checked Implementation of Buchberger's Algorithm*, in "J. Autom. Reasoning", 2001, vol. 26, n<sup>o</sup> 2, pp. 107-137, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1026518331905>
- [67] K. WEGSCHAIDER. *Computer generated proofs of binomial multi-sum identities*, RISC, J. Kepler University, May 1997, 99 p.
- [68] S. WOLFRAM. *Mathematica: A system for doing mathematics by computer (2nd ed.)*, Addison-Wesley, 1992
- [69] D. ZEILBERGER. *Opinion 94: The Human Obsession With "Formal Proofs" is a Waste of the Computer's Time, and, Even More Regretfully, of Humans' Time*, 2009, <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/Opinion94.html>
- [70] D. ZEILBERGER. *A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities*, in "J. Comput. Appl. Math.", 1990, vol. 32, n<sup>o</sup> 3, pp. 321–368
- [71] D. ZEILBERGER. *The method of creative telescoping*, in "J. Symbolic Comput.", 1991, vol. 11, n<sup>o</sup> 3, pp. 195–204