

RESEARCH CENTRE
Saclay - Île-de-France

2020
ACTIVITY REPORT

Project-Team
COMMANDS

**Control, Optimization, Models, Methods
and Applications for Nonlinear Dynamical
Systems**

IN COLLABORATION WITH: Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées
(CMAP)

DOMAIN

**Applied Mathematics, Computation and
Simulation**

THEME

**Optimization and control of dynamic
systems**

Contents

Project-Team COMMANDS	1
1 Team members, visitors, external collaborators	2
2 Overall objectives	2
2.1 Scientific directions	2
2.2 Industrial impact	2
3 Research program	3
3.1 Historical aspects	3
3.2 Trajectory optimization	3
3.3 Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman approach	3
4 Application domains	4
4.1 Electric energy production	4
4.2 Energy management for hybrid vehicles	4
4.3 Biological cells culture	4
5 Social and environmental responsibility	4
5.1 Impact of research results	4
6 Highlights of the year	4
7 New results	4
7.1 Optimal control of partial differential equations	4
7.2 Stochastic control and HJB equations	5
7.3 Numerical analysis of variational problems	5
7.4 Mean-field games	6
7.5 Biology, medicine	6
7.6 Other problems	7
8 Partnerships and cooperations	7
8.1 National initiatives	7
8.1.1 IPL	7
9 Dissemination	7
9.1 Promoting scientific activities	7
9.1.1 Journal	7
9.1.2 Leadership within the scientific community	8
9.2 Teaching - Supervision - Juries	8
9.2.1 Teaching	8
9.2.2 Supervision	8
9.3 Popularization	8
9.3.1 Internal or external Inria responsibilities	8
10 Scientific production	8
10.1 Major publications	8
10.2 Publications of the year	9
10.3 Cited publications	10

Project-Team COMMANDS

Creation of the Project-Team: 2009 January 01

Keywords

Computer sciences and digital sciences

A6.2.1. – Numerical analysis of PDE and ODE

A6.2.6. – Optimization

A6.2.7. – High performance computing

A6.3.2. – Data assimilation

A6.4.1. – Deterministic control

A6.4.2. – Stochastic control

Other research topics and application domains

B4.4. – Energy delivery

B4.4.1. – Smart grids

B7.1.2. – Road traffic

B7.1.3. – Air traffic

B7.2.1. – Smart vehicles

1 Team members, visitors, external collaborators

Research Scientists

- Joseph Frédéric Bonnans [Team leader, Inria, Senior Researcher, HDR]
- Laurent Pfeiffer [Inria, Researcher]

Post-Doctoral Fellow

- Davin Glen Lunz [Inria]

PhD Students

- Guillaume Bonnet [Univ. Paris-Saclay]
- Pierre Lavigne [École polytechnique]
- Kang Liu [École polytechnique]

Administrative Assistant

- Hanadi Dib [Inria]

2 Overall objectives

2.1 Scientific directions

Commands is a team devoted to dynamic optimization, both for deterministic and stochastic systems. This includes the following approaches: trajectory optimization, deterministic and stochastic optimal control, stochastic programming, dynamic programming and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation.

Our aim is to derive new and powerful algorithms for solving numerically these problems, with applications in several industrial fields. While the numerical aspects are the core of our approach it happens that the study of convergence of these algorithms and the verification of their well-posedness and accuracy raises interesting and difficult theoretical questions, such as, for trajectory optimization: qualification conditions and second-order optimality condition, well-posedness of the shooting algorithm, estimates for discretization errors; for the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman approach: accuracy estimates, strong uniqueness principles when state constraints are present, for stochastic programming problems: sensitivity analysis.

2.2 Industrial impact

For many years the team members have been deeply involved in various industrial applications, often in the framework of PhD theses. The Commands team itself has dealt since its foundation in 2009 with several types of applications:

- Space vehicle trajectories, in collaboration with CNES, the French space agency.
- Aeronautics, in collaboration with the startup Safety Line.
- Production, management, storage and trading of energy resources, in collaboration with Edf, ex-Gdf and Total.
- Energy management for hybrid vehicles, in collaboration with Renault and Ifpen.

We give more details in the Bilateral contracts section.

3 Research program

3.1 Historical aspects

The roots of deterministic optimal control are the “classical” theory of the calculus of variations, illustrated by the work of Newton, Bernoulli, Euler, and Lagrange (whose famous multipliers were introduced in [38]), with improvements due to the “Chicago school”, Bliss [30] during the first part of the 20th century, and by the notion of relaxed problem and generalized solution (Young [43]).

Trajectory optimization really started with the spectacular achievement done by Pontryagin’s group [42] during the fifties, by stating, for general optimal control problems, nonlocal optimality conditions generalizing those of Weierstrass. This motivated the application to many industrial problems (see the classical books by Bryson and Ho [34], Leitmann [41], Lee and Markus [40], Ioffe and Tihomirov [37]).

Dynamic programming was introduced and systematically studied by R. Bellman during the fifties. The HJB equation, whose solution is the value function of the (parameterized) optimal control problem, is a variant of the classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation of mechanics for the case of dynamics parameterized by a control variable. It may be viewed as a differential form of the dynamic programming principle. This nonlinear first-order PDE appears to be well-posed in the framework of *viscosity solutions* introduced by Crandall and Lions [35]. The theoretical contributions in this direction did not cease growing, see the books by Barles [29] and Bardi and Capuzzo-Dolcetta [28].

3.2 Trajectory optimization

The so-called *direct methods* consist in an optimization of the trajectory, after having discretized time, by a nonlinear programming solver that possibly takes into account the dynamic structure. So the two main problems are the choice of the discretization and the nonlinear programming algorithm. A third problem is the possibility of refinement of the discretization once after solving on a coarser grid.

In the *full discretization approach*, general Runge-Kutta schemes with different values of control for each inner step are used. This allows to obtain and control high orders of precision, see Hager [36], Bonnans [31]. In the *indirect* approach, the control is eliminated thanks to Pontryagin’s maximum principle. One has then to solve the two-points boundary value problem (with differential variables state and costate) by a single or multiple shooting method. The questions are here the choice of a discretization scheme for the integration of the boundary value problem, of a (possibly globalized) Newton type algorithm for solving the resulting finite dimensional problem in \mathbb{R}^n (n is the number of state variables), and a methodology for finding an initial point.

3.3 Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman approach

This approach consists in calculating the value function associated with the optimal control problem, and then synthesizing the feedback control and the optimal trajectory using Pontryagin’s principle. The method has the great particular advantage of reaching directly the global optimum, which can be very interesting when the problem is not convex.

Optimal stochastic control problems occur when the dynamical system is uncertain. A decision typically has to be taken at each time, while realizations of future events are unknown (but some information is given on their distribution of probabilities). In particular, problems of economic nature deal with large uncertainties (on prices, production and demand). Specific examples are the portfolio selection problems in a market with risky and non-risky assets, super-replication with uncertain volatility, management of power resources (dams, gas). Air traffic control is another example of such problems.

For solving stochastic control problems, we studied the so-called Generalized Finite Differences (GFD), that allow to choose at any node, the stencil approximating the diffusion matrix up to a certain threshold [33]. Determining the stencil and the associated coefficients boils down to a quadratic program to be solved at each point of the grid, and for each control. This is definitely expensive, with the exception of special structures where the coefficients can be computed at low cost. For two dimensional systems, we designed a (very) fast algorithm for computing the coefficients of the GFD scheme, based on the Stern-Brocot tree [32].

4 Application domains

4.1 Electric energy production

Our current research on aggregative optimization and mean-field games has a natural application to the problem of distributed production of electric energy. We are looking forward on developments in that direction.

4.2 Energy management for hybrid vehicles

In collaboration with Ifpen and in the framework of A. Le Rhun's thesis, we have developed a methodology for the optimal energy management for hybrid vehicles, based on a statistical analysis of the traffic. See [17], [17], [39].

4.3 Biological cells culture

In collaboration with the Inbio team (Inst. Pasteur and Inria) we started to study the optimization of protein production based on cell culture.

5 Social and environmental responsibility

5.1 Impact of research results

Our research on large scale aggregative optimization and mean field games have natural applications in the domain of energy production under environmental constraints. So we hope to develop in the next years numerical tools for the reduction of the impact of energy production.

6 Highlights of the year

Significant progress has been achieved in the three following research areas:

- Mean-field games and control. Submission of two research articles. Recrutement of Kang Liu as a PhD student, who works on mean-field control and applications in flexibility management.
- Biology. We continued our collaboration with the Inbio team (Inst. Pasteur and Inria-Paris) on the modelization of mRNA-based protein production.
- Numerical schemes. We submitted several papers on the application of the Selling decomposition (a monotone decomposition of diffusion matrices) for the resolution of variational problems.

7 New results

7.1 Optimal control of partial differential equations

State constraints In [7] we consider an optimal control problem governed by a semilinear heat equation with bilinear control-state terms and subject to control and state constraints. The state constraints are of integral type, the integral being with respect to the space variable. The control is multidimensional. The cost functional is of a tracking type and contains a linear term in the control variables. We derive second order necessary conditions relying on the concept of alternative costates and quasi-radial critical directions. The appendix provides an example illustrating the applicability of our results.

In [8] we continue the previous work by deriving second order sufficient conditions relying on the Goh transform. The appendix provides an example illustrating the applicability of our results.

Receding Horizon control In the articles [13] and [12], the efficiency of the Receding Horizon method is investigated for two classes of optimal control problems involving PDEs. An explicit rate of convergence is obtained. In the first article, nonlinear stabilization problems are considered. The method takes advantage of computable Taylor expansions of the value function (around some equilibrium point). Linear-quadratic problems are investigated in the second reference. The method takes advantage of the so-called *turnpike property*, satisfied under a stabilizability and an observability condition. The two works have been written by L. Pfeiffer during his former position at the University of Graz.

7.2 Stochastic control and HJB equations

In [27], we consider the framework of high dimensional stochastic control problem, in which the controls are aggregated in the cost function. As first contribution we introduce a modified problem, whose optimal control is under some reasonable assumptions an ε -optimal solution of the original problem. As second contribution, we present a decentralized algorithm whose convergence to the solution of the modified problem is established. Finally, we study the application to a problem of coordination of energy consumption and production of domestic appliances.

In [16], optimality conditions in the form of a variational inequality are proved for a class of constrained optimal control problems of stochastic differential equations. The cost function and the inequality constraints are functions of the probability distribution of the state variable at the final time. The analysis uses in an essential manner a convexity property of the set of reachable probability distributions. An augmented Lagrangian method based on the obtained optimality conditions is proposed and analyzed for solving iteratively the problem. At each iteration of the method, a standard stochastic optimal control problem is solved by dynamic programming. Two academical examples are investigated.

In [26], we consider a continuous time stochastic optimal control problem under both equality and inequality constraints on the expectation of some functionals of the controlled process. Under a qualification condition, we show that the problem is in duality with an optimization problem involving the Lagrange multiplier associated with the constraints. Then by convex analysis techniques, we provide a general existence result and some a priori estimation of the dual optimizers. We further provide a necessary and sufficient optimality condition for the initial constrained control problem. The same results are also obtained for a discrete time constrained control problem. Moreover, under additional regularity conditions, it is proved that the discrete time control problem converges to the continuous time problem, possibly with a convergence rate. This convergence result can be used to obtain numerical algorithms to approximate the continuous time control problem, which we illustrate by two simple numerical examples.

7.3 Numerical analysis of variational problems

In [20] we introduce a new strategy for the design of second-order accurate discretizations of non-linear second order operators of Bellman type, which preserves degenerate ellipticity. The approach relies on Selling's formula, a tool from lattice geometry, and is applied to the Pucci and Monge-Ampere equations, discretized on a two dimensional cartesian grid. In the case of the Monge-Ampere equation, our work is related to both the stable formulation and the second order accurate scheme. Numerical experiments illustrate the robustness and the accuracy of the method.

In [19], we design adaptive finite differences discretizations, which are degenerate elliptic and second order consistent, of linear and quasi-linear partial differential operators featuring both a first order term and an anisotropic second order term. Our approach requires the domain to be discretized on a Cartesian grid, and takes advantage of techniques from the field of low-dimensional lattice geometry. We prove that the stencil of our numerical scheme is optimally compact, in dimension two, and that our approach is quasi-optimal in terms of the compatibility condition required of the first and second order operators, in dimension two and three. Numerical experiments illustrate the efficiency of our method in several contexts.

In [18], using an extension of Varadhan's formula to Randers manifolds, we notice that Randers distances may be approximated by a logarithmic transformation of a linear second-order partial differential equation. Following an idea introduced by Crane, Weischedel, and Wardetzky in the case of Riemannian

distances, we study a numerical method for approximating Randers distances which involves a discretization of this linear equation. We propose to use Selling's formula, which originates from the theory of low-dimensional lattice geometry, to build a monotone and linear finite-difference scheme. By injecting the logarithmic transformation in this linear scheme, we are able to prove convergence of this numerical method to the Randers distance, as well as consistency to the order two thirds far from the boundary of the considered domain. We explain how this method may be used to approximate optimal transport distances, how has been previously done in the Riemannian case.

7.4 Mean-field games

In [21], we propose and investigate a discrete-time mean field game model involving risk-averse agents. The model under study is a coupled system of dynamic programming equations with a Kolmogorov equation. The agents' risk aversion is modeled by composite risk measures. The existence of a solution to the coupled system is obtained with a fixed point approach. The corresponding feedback control allows to construct an approximate Nash equilibrium for a related dynamic game with finitely many players.

In [22], we analyze a system of partial differential equations that model a potential mean field game of controls, briefly MFGC. Such a game describes the interaction of infinitely many negligible players competing to optimize a personal value function that depends in aggregate on the state and, most notably, control choice of all other players. A solution of the system corresponds to a Nash Equilibrium, a group optimal strategy for which no one player can improve by altering only their own action. We investigate the second order, possibly degenerate, case with non-strictly elliptic diffusion operator and local coupling function. The main result exploits potentiality to employ variational techniques to provide a unique weak solution to the system, with additional space and time regularity results under additional assumptions. New analytical subtleties occur in obtaining a priori estimates with the introduction of an additional coupling that depends on the state distribution as well as feedback.

7.5 Biology, medicine

In [14] we discuss discrete-state continuous-time Markov processes, an important class of models employed broadly across the sciences. When the system size becomes large, standard approaches can become intractable to exact solution and numerical simulation. Approximations posed on a continuous state space are often more tractable and are presumed to converge in the limit as the system size tends to infinity. For example, an expansion of the master equation truncated at second order yields the Fokker–Planck equation, a widely used continuum approximation equipped with an underlying process of continuous state. Surprisingly, in [Doering *et. al.* Multiscale Model. Sim. 2005 3:2, p.283–299] it is shown that the Fokker–Planck approximation may exhibit exponentially large errors, even in the infinite system-size limit. Crucially, the source of this inaccuracy has not been addressed. In this paper, we focus on the family of continuous-state approximations obtained by arbitrary-order truncations. We uncover how the exponentially large error stems from the truncation by quantifying the rapid error decay with increasing truncation order. Furthermore, we explain why this discrepancy only comes to light in a subset of problems. The approximations produced by finite truncation beyond second order lack underlying stochastic processes. Nevertheless, they retain valuable information that explains the previously observed discrepancy by bridging the gap between the continuous and discrete processes. The insight conferred by this broader notion of “continuum approximation”, where we do not require an underlying stochastic process, prompts us to revisit previously expressed doubts regarding continuum approximations. In establishing the utility of higher-order truncations, this approach also contributes to the extensive discussion in the literature regarding the second-order truncation: while recognising the appealing features of an associated stochastic process, in certain cases it may be advantageous to dispense of the process in exchange for the increased approximation accuracy guaranteed by higher-order truncations.

In [25], we discuss the chemical master equation and its continuum approximations, are indispensable tools in the modeling of chemical reaction networks. These are routinely used to capture complex nonlinear phenomena such as multimodality as well as transient events such as first-passage times, that accurately characterise a plethora of biological and chemical processes. However, some mechanisms,

such as heterogeneous cellular growth or phenotypic selection at the population level, cannot be represented by the master equation and thus have been tackled separately. In this work, we propose a unifying framework that augments the chemical master equation to capture such auxiliary dynamics, and we develop and analyse a numerical solver that accurately simulates the system dynamics. We showcase these contributions by casting a diverse array of examples from the literature within this framework, and apply the solver to both match and extend previous studies. Analytical calculations performed for each example validate our numerical results and benchmark the solver implementation.

Optimal Control of an Age-Structured System with State Constraints In [10] we study an optimal control problem with state constraints where the state is given by an age-structured, abstract parabolic differential equation. We prove the existence and uniqueness of solution for the state equation and provide first and second parabolic estimates. We analyze the differentiability of the cost function and, based on the general theory of Lagrange multipliers, we give a first order optimality condition. We also define and analyze the regularity of the costate. Finally, we present a pregnancy model, where two coupled age-structured equations are involved, and we apply the obtained results to this case.

In [11], we propose a model for the COVID-19 epidemic where the population is partitioned into classes corresponding to ages (that remain constant during the epidemic). The main feature is to take into account the infection age of the infected population. This allows to better simulate the infection propagation that crucially depend on the infection age. We discuss how to compute the coefficients from data available in the future, and introduce a confinement variable as control. The cost function is a compromise between confinement cost, hospitalization peak and the death toll. Our numerical experiments allow to evaluate the interest of confinement varying with age classes.

7.6 Other problems

In [9], we prove second-order necessary optimality conditions for the so-called time crisis problem that comes up within the context of viability theory. It consists in minimizing the time spent by solutions of a controlled dynamics outside a given subset K of the state space. One essential feature is the discontinuity of the characteristic function involved in the cost functional. Thanks to a change of time and an augmentation of the dynamics, we relate the time crisis problem to an auxiliary Mayer control problem. This allows us to use the classical tools of optimal control for obtaining optimality conditions. Going back to the original problem, we deduce that way second order optimality conditions for the time crisis problem.

8 Partnerships and cooperations

8.1 National initiatives

8.1.1 IPL

Cosy Inria Project Lab COSY (started in 2017) aims at exploiting the potential of state-of-art biological modelling, control techniques, synthetic biology and experimental equipment to achieve a paradigm shift in control of microbial communities. More precisely, we plan to determine and implement control strategies to make heterogeneous communities diversify and interact in the most profitable manner. Study of yeast cells has started in collaboration with team Lifeware (G. Batt) in the framework of the PhD of V. Andreani, and is pursued in the Postdoc of D. Lunz (started Nov. 2019).

9 Dissemination

9.1 Promoting scientific activities

9.1.1 Journal

Member of the editorial boards F. Bonnans is cofounder and Associate Editor of Math. and Applications, Annals of AOSR (Academy of Science of Romania).

Reviewer - reviewing activities Reviews for major journals in the field.

9.1.2 Leadership within the scientific community

F Bonnans is member of the PGM0 (Program Gaspard Monge in Optimization) board.

9.2 Teaching - Supervision - Juries

9.2.1 Teaching

2nd year of master / 3rd year of engineering school:

- F Bonnans: *Optimal control of partial differential equations*, 20h, M2, Optimization Master, IPP and U. Paris-Saclay, France.
- F Bonnans: *Optimal control of ordinary differential equations*, 15h, M2, Optimization master (IPP and U. Paris-Saclay) and Ensta-Paris.
- L. Pfeiffer: *Optimal control of ordinary differential equations*, 18h, M2, Optimization master (U. Paris-Saclay) and Ensta-Paris.
- L. Pfeiffer: *Optimisation continue*, 17h, Ensta-Paris.
- L. Pfeiffer: *Résolution des problèmes d'optimisation discrète ou continue dans le domaine de l'énergie*, 17h, Ensta-Paris.

9.2.2 Supervision

- PhD in progress: Guillaume Bonnet, Efficient schemes for the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation. Started Oct. 2018. F Bonnans and J.-M. Mirebeau, LMO, U. Orsay.
- PhD in progress: Pierre Lavigne, Mathematical study of economic equilibria for renewable energy sources. Started Oct. 2018. F Bonnans and L. Pfeiffer.
- PhD in progress: Kang Liu, Mean-field optimal control and applications to flexibilities management. Started Oct. 2020. L. Pfeiffer and F Bonnans.

9.3 Popularization

9.3.1 Internal or external Inria responsibilities

L. Pfeiffer is correspondent for the hiring mission (*mission recrutement*).

10 Scientific production

10.1 Major publications

- [1] J. F. Bonnans and J. Gianatti. 'Optimal control techniques based on infection age for the study of the COVID-19 epidemic'. In: *Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena* (2021). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02558980>.
- [2] J. F. Bonnans, S. Hadikhanloo and L. Pfeiffer. 'Schauder Estimates for a Class of Potential Mean Field Games of Controls'. In: *Applied Mathematics and Optimization* (July 2019), p. 34. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02048437>.
- [3] A. Le Rhun, F. Bonnans, G. De Nunzio, T. Leroy and P. Martinon. 'A stochastic data-based traffic model applied to vehicles energy consumption estimation'. In: *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* (2019). DOI: [10.1109/TITS.2019.2923292](https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2019.2923292). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01774621>.

- [4] L. Pfeiffer. ‘Optimality conditions in variational form for non-linear constrained stochastic control problems’. In: *Mathematical Control & Related Fields* 10.3 (2020), pp. 493–526. DOI: [10.3934/mcrf.2020008](https://doi.org/10.3934/mcrf.2020008). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03113195>.
- [5] C. Rommel, F. Bonnans, P. Martinon and B. Gregorutti. ‘Gaussian Mixture Penalty for Trajectory Optimization Problems’. In: *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics* 42.8 (Aug. 2019), pp. 1857–1862. DOI: [10.2514/1.G003996](https://doi.org/10.2514/1.G003996). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01819749>.
- [6] E. Weill, V. Andreani, C. Aditya, P. Martinon, J. Ruess, G. Batt and F. Bonnans. ‘Optimal control of an artificial microbial differentiation system for protein bioproduction’. In: *ECC 2019 - European Control Conference*. Naples, Italy, June 2019. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02429963>.

10.2 Publications of the year

International journals

- [7] M. S. Aronna, J. F. Bonnans and A. Kröner. ‘State-constrained control-affine parabolic problems I: First and Second order necessary optimality conditions’. In: *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis* (2020). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03011004>.
- [8] M. S. Aronna, J. Frédéric Bonnans and A. Kröner. ‘State-constrained control-affine parabolic problems II: second order sufficient optimality conditions’. In: *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* (2021). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03011027>.
- [9] T. Bayen and L. Pfeiffer. ‘Second-order analysis for the time crisis problem’. In: *Journal of Convex Analysis* 27.1 (2020), pp. 139–163. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02020146>.
- [10] J. F. Bonnans and J. Gianatti. ‘Optimal control of state constrained age-structured problems’. In: *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 58.4 (29th July 2020), pp. 2206–2235. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02164310>.
- [11] J. F. Bonnans and J. Gianatti. ‘Optimal control techniques based on infection age for the study of the COVID-19 epidemic’. In: *Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena* (2021). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02558980>.
- [12] T. Breiten and L. Pfeiffer. ‘On the Turnpike Property and the Receding-Horizon Method for Linear-Quadratic Optimal Control Problems’. In: *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 58.2 (Jan. 2020), p. 26. DOI: [10.1137/18M1225811](https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1225811). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03113182>.
- [13] K. Kunisch and L. Pfeiffer. ‘The effect of the terminal penalty in receding horizon control for a class of stabilization problems’. In: *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*. 26th ser. 58 (2020). DOI: [10.1051/cocv/2019037](https://doi.org/10.1051/cocv/2019037). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02372077>.
- [14] D. Lunz. ‘On continuum approximations of discrete-state Markov processes of large system size’. In: *Multiscale Modeling and Simulation: A SIAM Interdisciplinary Journal* (2021). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02560743>.
- [15] D. Lunz, G. Batt and J. Ruess. ‘To quarantine, or not to quarantine: A theoretical framework for disease control via contact tracing’. In: *Epidemics* 34 (15th Dec. 2020). DOI: [10.1016/j.epidem.2020.100428](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2020.100428). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03129879>.
- [16] L. Pfeiffer. ‘Optimality conditions in variational form for non-linear constrained stochastic control problems’. In: *Mathematical Control & Related Fields* 10.3 (2020), pp. 493–526. DOI: [10.3934/mcrf.2020008](https://doi.org/10.3934/mcrf.2020008). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03113195>.

International peer-reviewed conferences

- [17] A. Le Rhun, F. Bonnans, G. De Nunzio, T. Leroy and P. Martinon. ‘An Eco-routing algorithm for HEVs under traffic conditions’. In: *IFAC 2020 - 21st IFAC World Congress*. Proceedings of the 21st IFAC World Congress. Berlin / Virtual, Germany, 12th July 2020. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02356277>.

Reports & preprints

- [18] F. Bonnans, G. Bonnet and J.-M. Mirebeau. *A linear finite-difference scheme for approximating Randers distances on Cartesian grids*. 29th Jan. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03125879>.
- [19] F. Bonnans, G. Bonnet and J.-M. Mirebeau. *Second order monotone finite differences discretization of linear anisotropic differential operators*. 20th Dec. 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03084046>.
- [20] J. F. Bonnans, G. Bonnet and J.-M. Mirebeau. *Monotone and second order consistent scheme for the two dimensional Pucci equation*. 31st May 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02383521>.
- [21] J. Frédéric Bonnans, P. Lavigne and L. Pfeiffer. *Discrete-time mean field games with risk-averse agents*. 5th May 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02563949>.
- [22] J. Graber, A. Mullenix and L. Pfeiffer. *Weak solutions for potential mean field games of controls*. 11th Dec. 2020. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03058249>.
- [23] A. Le Rhun, F. Bonnans, G. De Nunzio, T. Leroy and P. Martinon. *A bi-level energy management strategy for HEVs under probabilistic traffic conditions*. 10th Sept. 2020. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02278359>.
- [24] K. Liu, N. Oudjane and C. Wan. *Approximate Nash equilibria in large nonconvex aggregative games*. 25th Nov. 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03023122>.
- [25] D. Lunz, G. Batt, J. Ruess and J. Frédéric Bonnans. *Beyond the chemical master equation: stochastic chemical kinetics coupled with auxiliary processes*. 5th Nov. 2020. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02991103>.
- [26] L. Pfeiffer, X. Tan and Y. Zhou. *Duality and approximation of stochastic optimal control problems under expectation constraints*. 1st July 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02886824>.
- [27] A. Seguret, C. Alasseur, J. Frédéric Bonnans, A. De Paola, N. Oudjane and V. Trovato. *Decomposition of High Dimensional Aggregative Stochastic Control Problems*. 17th Sept. 2020. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02917014>.

10.3 Cited publications

- [28] M. Bardi and I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta. *Optimal control and viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations*. Systems and Control: Foundations and Applications. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [29] G. Barles. *Solutions de viscosité des équations de Hamilton-Jacobi*. Vol. 17. Mathématiques et Applications. Springer, Paris, 1994.
- [30] G. Bliss. *Lectures on the Calculus of Variations*. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press, 1946.
- [31] J. F. Bonnans and J. Laurent-Varin. ‘Computation of order conditions for symplectic partitioned Runge-Kutta schemes with application to optimal control’. In: *Numerische Mathematik* 103.1 (2006), pp. 1–10.
- [32] J. F. Bonnans, E. Ottenwaelter and H. Zidani. ‘Numerical schemes for the two dimensional second-order HJB equation’. In: *ESAIM: M2AN* 38 (2004), pp. 723–735.
- [33] J. F. Bonnans and H. Zidani. ‘Consistency of generalized finite difference schemes for the stochastic HJB equation’. In: *SIAM J. Numerical Analysis* 41 (2003), pp. 1008–1021.
- [34] A. E. Bryson and Y.-C. Ho. *Applied optimal control*. New-York: Hemisphere Publishing, 1975.
- [35] M. Crandall and P. Lions. ‘Viscosity solutions of Hamilton Jacobi equations’. In: *Bull. American Mathematical Society* 277 (1983), pp. 1–42.
- [36] W. Hager. ‘Runge-Kutta methods in optimal control and the transformed adjoint system’. In: *Numerische Mathematik* 87.2 (2000), pp. 247–282.

-
- [37] A. Ioffe and V. Tihomirov. *Theory of Extremal Problems*. Russian Edition: Nauka, Moscow, 1974. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1979.
 - [38] J.-L. Lagrange. *Mécanique analytique*. reprinted by J. Gabay, 1989. Paris, 1788.
 - [39] A. Le Rhun, F. Bonnans, G. De Nunzio, T. Leroy and P. Martinon. 'A stochastic data-based traffic model applied to vehicles energy consumption estimation'. In: *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 21.7 (2019), pp. 3025–3034.
 - [40] E. Lee and L. Markus. *Foundations of optimal control theory*. John Wiley, New York, 1967.
 - [41] G. Leitmann. *An introduction to optimal control*. Mc Graw Hill, New York, 1966.
 - [42] L. Pontryagin, V. Boltyanski, R. Gamkrelidze and E. Michtchenko. *The Mathematical Theory of Optimal Processes*. Wiley Interscience, New York, 1962.
 - [43] L. Young. *Lectures on the calculus of variations and optimal control theory*. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Co., 1969.