2021
ACTIVITY REPORT

Project-Team

GAMMA

Adaptive Mesh Generation and Advanced numerical Methods

DOMAIN

Applied Mathematics, Computation and Simulation

THEME

Numerical schemes and simulations

Contents

Project-Team GAMMA

Creation of the Project-Team: 2019 June 01

Keywords

Computer sciences and digital sciences

A6.2. – Scientific computing, Numerical Analysis & Optimization

A6.2.7. – High performance computing

A6.2.8. – Computational geometry and meshes

A6.5.1. – Solid mechanics

A6.5.2. – Fluid mechanics

Other research topics and application domains

B5.2.3. – Aviation

B5.2.4. – Aerospace

B9.5.1. – Computer science

B9.5.2. – Mathematics

B9.5.3. – Physics

B9.5.5. – Mechanics

1 Team members, visitors, external collaborators

Research Scientists

- Frederic Alauzet [Team leader, Inria, Senior Researcher, HDR]

- Paul-Louis George [Inria, Emeritus]

- Adrien Loseille [Inria, Researcher, HDR]

- David Marcum [Inria, International Chair, Advanced Research Position]

PhD Students

- Sofiane Benzait [CEA]

- Francesco Clerici [Inria]

- Lucien Rochery [Inria]

- Lucille Marie Tenkes [Inria]

Technical Staff

- Matthieu Maunoury [Inria, Engineer]

- Cosimo Tarsia Morisco [Inria, Engineer, from Mar 2021]

Administrative Assistant

- Maria Agustina Ronco [Inria]

External Collaborators

- Rémi Feuillet [SIEMENS INDUSTRY SOFTWARE, until Sep 2021]

- Loic Marechal [Dassault Systemes]

- Julien Vanharen [ONERA]

2 Overall objectives

Numerical simulation has been booming over the last thirty years, thanks to increasingly powerful numerical methods, computer-aided design (CAD) and the mesh generation for complex 3D geometries, and the coming of supercomputers (HPC). The discipline is now mature and has become an integral part of design in science and engineering applications. This new status has lead scientists and engineers to consider numerical simulation of problems with ever increasing geometrical and physical complexities. A simple observation of this chart

$$\text{CAD} \longrightarrow \text{Mesh} \longrightarrow \text{Solver} \longrightarrow \text{Visualization / Analysis}$$

shows: **no mesh = no simulation** along with **"bad" mesh = wrong simulation**. We have concluded that the mesh is at the core of the classical computational pipeline and a key component to significant improvements. Therefore, the requirements on meshing methods are an ever increasing need, with increased difficulty, to produce high quality meshes to enable reliable solution output predictions in an automated manner. These requirements on meshing or equivalent technologies cannot be removed and all approaches face similar issues.

In this context, `Gamma` team was created in 1996 and has focused on the development of robust automated mesh generation methods in 3D, which was clearly a bottleneck at that time when most

of the numerical simulations were 2D. The team has been very successful in tetrahedral meshing with the well-known software `Ghs3d` [31, 32] which has been distributed worldwide so far and in hexahedral meshing with the software `Hexotic` [54, 55] which was the first automated full hex mesher. The team has also worked on surface meshers with `Yams` [26] and BLSurf [14] and visualization with `Medit`. Before `Medit`, we were unable to visualize in real time 3D meshes !

In 2010, `Gamma3` team has replaced `Gamma` with the choice to focus more on meshing for numerical simulations. The main goal was to emphasize and to strengthen the link between meshing technologies and numerical methods (flow or structure solvers). The metric-based anisotropic mesh adaptation strategy has been very successful with the development of many error estimates, the generation of highly anisotropic meshes, its application to compressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations [8], and its extension to unsteady problems with moving geometries [12] leading to the development of several softwares `Feflo.a/AMG-Lib`, `Wolf`, `Metrix`, `Wolf-Interpol`. A significant accomplishment was the high-fidelity prediction of the sonic boom emitted by supersonic aircraft [9]. We were the first to compute a certified aircraft sonic boom propagation in the atmosphere, thanks to mesh adaptation. The team has started to work on parallelism with the development of the multi-thread library `LPlib` and the efficient management of memory using space filling curves, and the generation of large meshes (a billion of elements) [52]. Theoretical work on high-order meshes has been also done [29].

Today, numerical simulation is an integral part of design in engineering applications with the main goal of reducing costs and speeding up the process of creating new design. Four main issues for industry are:

- Generation of a discrete surface mesh from a continuous CAD is the last non-automated step of the design pipeline and, thus, the most human time consuming

- High-performance computing (HPC) for all tools included in the design loop

- The cost in euros of a numerical simulation

- Certification of high-fidelity numerical simulations by controlling errors and uncertainties.

Let us now discuss in more details each of these issues.

Generating a discrete surface mesh from a CAD geometry definition has been the numerical analysis Achille's heel for the last 30 years. Significant issues are far too common and range from persistent translation issues between systems that can produce ill defined geometry definitions to overwhelming complexity for full configurations with all components. A geometry definition that is ill defined often does not perfectly capture the geometry's features and leads to a bad mesh and a broken simulation. Unfortunately, CAD system design is essentially decoupled from the needs of numerical simulation and is largely driven by the those of manufacturing and other areas. As a result, this step of the numerical simulation pipeline is still labor intensive and the most time consuming. There is a need to develop alternative geometry processes and models that are more suitable for numerical simulations.

Companies working on high-tech projects with high added value (Boeing, Safran, Dassault-Aviation, Ariane Group, ...) consider their design pipeline inside a HPC framework. Indeed, they are performing complex numerical simulations on complex geometries on a daily-basis, and they aim at using this in a shape-optimization loop. Therefore, any tools added to their numerical platform should be HPC compliant. This means that all developments should consider hybrid parallelism, *i.e.,* to be compatible with distributed memory architecture (MPI) and shared memory architecture (multi-threaded), to achieve scalable parallelism.

One of the main goals of numerical simulation is to reduce the cost of creating new designs (e.g reduce the number of wind-tunnel and flight tests in the aircraft industry). The emergence of 3D printers is, in some cases, making tests easier to perform, faster and cheaper. It is thus mandatory to control the cost of the numerical simulations, in other word, it is important to use less resources to achieve the same accuracy. The cost takes into account the engineer time as well as the computing resources needed to perform the numerical simulation. The cost for one simulation can vary from 15 euros for simple models (1D-2D), to 150 euros for Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (3D) stationary models, or up

to 15 000 euros for unsteady models like LES or Lattice-Boltzmann [1]. It is important to know that a design loop is equivalent to performing between 100 and 1 000 numerical simulations. Consequently, the need for more efficient algorithms and processes is still a key factor.

Another crucial point is checking and certification of errors and uncertainties in high-fidelity numerical simulations. These errors can come from several sources:

  i) modeling error (for example via turbulence models or initial conditions),

  ii) discretization error (due to the mesh),

  iii) geometry error (due to the representation of the design) and

  iv) implementation errors in the considered software.

The error assessment and mesh generation procedure employed in the aerospace industry for CFD simulations relies heavily on the experience of the CFD user. The inadequacy of this practice even for geometries frequently encountered in engineering practice has been highlighted in studies of the AIAA [2] CFD Drag Prediction Workshops [58] and High-Lift Prediction Workshops [72, 73]. These studies suggest that the range of scales present in the turbulent flow cannot be adequately resolved using meshes generated following what is considered best present practices. In this regard, anisotropic mesh adaptation is considered as the future, as stated in the NASA report "CFD Vision 2030 Study: A Path to Revolutionary Computational Aerosciences" [75] and the study dedicated to mesh adaptation [64].

These preoccupations are the core of the GAMMA project scientific program. To answer the first issue, GAMMA will focus on designing and developing a geometry modeling framework specifically intended for mesh generation and numerical simulation purposes. This is a mandatory step for automated geometry-mesh and mesh adaptation processes with an integrated geometry model. To answer the last three issues, the GAMMA team will work on the development of a high-order mesh-adaptive solution platform compatible with HPC environment. To this end, GAMMA will pursue its work on advanced mesh generation methods which should fulfill the following capabilities:

  i) geometric adaptive,

  ii) solution adaptive,

  iii) high-order,

  iv) multi-elements (structured or not), and

  v) using hybrid scalable parallelism.

Note that items i) to iv) are based on the well-posed metric-based theoretical framework. Moreover, GAMMA will continue to work on robust flow solvers, solving the turbulent Navier-Stokes equations from second order using Finite Volume - Finite Element numerical scheme to higher-order using Flux Reconstruction (FR) method.

The combination of adaptation - high-order - multi-elements coupled with appropriate error estimates is for the team the way to go to reduce the cost of numerical simulations while ensuring high-fidelity in a fully automated framework.

3   Research program

The main axes are:

  • Geometric Modeling:

    – High-fidelity discrete CAD kernel.

---

[1] Source Valéo and Safran Tech.
[2] The American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

  – Continuous parametric CAD kernel.

- Enhanced Generic Meshing Algorithm:

  – Adaptation (extreme anisotropy, metric-aligned, metric-orthogonal).

  – High-order (tetrahedra, hexahedra, boundary layer, adapted).

  – Larges meshes (tetrahedra, hexahedra, adapted).

  – Moving mesh methods for moving geometries.

- Toward Certified Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations:

  – Flow solver and adjoints (Finite Volumes, Finite Elements, Flux Reconstruction).

  – Error estimates and correctors.

- Advanced Mesh and Solution Visualisation:

  – Pixel exact rendering (High-Order mesh, High-Order solution).

  – Pre-processing and post-processing.

4 Application domains

Our research in mesh generation, mesh adaptation and certification of the Numerical Simulation Pipeline finds applications in several different domains such as aviation and aerospace but also all fields where computation and simulation are used: fluid mechanics, solid mechanics, solving wave equations (acoustic, electromagnetism...), energy or biomedical.

5 New software and platforms

5.1 New software

5.1.1 FEFLOA-REMESH

Keywords: Scientific calculation, Anisotropic, Mesh adaptation

Functional Description: FEFLOA-REMESH is intended to generate adapted 2D, surface and volume meshes by using a unique cavity-based operator. The metric-aligned or metric-orthogonal approach is used to generate high quality surface and volume meshes independently of the anisotropy involved.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/adrien.loseille/index.php?page=softwares

Contact: Adrien Loseille

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet, Rémi Feuillet, Lucien Rochery, Lucille Marie Tenkes

5.1.2 GHS3D

Keywords: Tetrahedral mesh, Delaunay, Automatic mesher

Functional Description: GHS3D is an automatic volume mesher

URL: http://www.meshgems.com/volume-meshing.html

Contact: Paul Louis George

Participants: Paul Louis George, Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet

5.1.3   HEXOTIC

Keywords: 3D, Mesh generation, Meshing, Unstructured meshes, Octree/Quadtree, Multi-threading, GPGPU, GPU

Functional Description: Input: a triangulated surface mesh and an optional size map to control the size of inner elements.

Output: a fully hexahedral mesh (no hybrid elements), valid (no negative jacobian) and conformal (no dangling nodes) whose surface matches the input geometry.

The software is a simple command line that requires no knowledge on meshing. Its arguments are an input mesh and some optional parameters to control elements sizing, curvature and subdomains as well as some features like boundary layers generation.

URL: https://team.inria.fr/gamma/gamma-software/hexotic/

Contact: Loic Marechal

Participant: Loic Marechal

Partner: Distene

5.1.4   Metrix

Name: Metrix: Error Estimates and Mesh Control for Anisotropic Mesh Adaptation

Keywords: Meshing, Metric, Metric fields

Functional Description: Metrix is a software that provides by various ways metric to govern the mesh generation. Generally, these metrics are constructed from error estimates (a priori or a posteriori) applied to the numerical solution. Metrix computes metric fields from scalar solutions by means of several error estimates: interpolation error, iso-lines error estimate, interface error estimate and goal oriented error estimate. It also contains several modules that handle meshes and metrics. For instance, it extracts the metric associated with a given mesh and it performs some metric operations such as: metric gradation and metric intersection.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Frederic Alauzet

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet

5.1.5   VIZIR

Name: Interactive visualization of hybrid, curbed and high-order mesh and solution

Keyword: Mesh

Functional Description: Vizir is a light, simple and interactive mesh visualization software, including: (i) A curved meshes visualizator: it handles high order elements and solutions, (ii) Hybrid elements mesh visualization (pyramids, prisms, hexahedra), (iii) Solutions visualization : clip planes, capping, iso-lines, iso-surfaces.

URL: http://vizir.inria.fr

Publication: hal-01686714

Contact: Adrien Loseille

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Rémi Feuillet, Matthieu Maunoury

5.1.6 Wolf

Keyword: Scientific calculation

Functional Description: Numerical solver for the Euler and compressible Navier-Stokes equations with turbulence modelling. ALE formulation for moving domains. Modules of interpolation, mesh optimisation and moving meshes. Wolf is written in C++, and may be later released as an opensource library. FELiScE was registered in July 2014 at the Agence pour la Protection des Programmes under the Inter Deposit Digital Number IDDN.FR.001.340034.000.S.P.2014.000.10000.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Frederic Alauzet

Participants: Frederic Alauzet, Adrien Loseille, Rémi Feuillet, Lucille Marie Tenkes, Francesco Clerici

5.1.7 Wolf-Bloom

Keyword: Scientific calculation

Functional Description: Wolf-Bloom is a structured boundary layer mesh generator using a pushing approach. It start from an existing volume mesh and insert a structured boundary layer by pushing the volume mesh. The volume mesh deformation is solved with an elasticity analogy. Mesh-connectivity optimizations are performed to control volume mesh element quality.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Frederic Alauzet

Participants: Adrien Loseille, David Marcum, Frederic Alauzet

5.1.8 Wolf-Elast

Keyword: Scientific calculation

Functional Description: Wolf-Elast is a linear elasticity solver using the P1 to P3 Finite-Element method. The Young and Poisson coefficient can be parametrized. The linear system is solved using the Conjugate Gradient method with the LUSGS preconditioner.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Frederic Alauzet

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet

5.1.9 Wolf-Interpol

Keyword: Scientific calculation

Functional Description: Wolf-Interpol is a tool to transfer scalar, vector and tensor fields from one mesh to another one. Polynomial interpolation (from order 2 to 4) or conservative interpolation operators can be used. Wolf-Interpol also extract solutions along lines or surfaces.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Frederic Alauzet

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet

5.1.10   Wolf-MovMsh

Keyword: Scientific calculation

Functional Description: Wolf-MovMsh is a moving mesh algorithm coupled with mesh-connectivity optimization. Mesh deformation is computed by means of a linear elasticity solver or a RBF interpolation. Smoothing and swapping mesh optimization are performed to maintain good mesh quality. It handles rigid bodies or deformable bodies, and also rigid or deformable regions of the domain. High-order meshes are also handled

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Paul Louis George

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet

5.1.11   Wolf-Nsc

Keyword: Scientific calculation

Functional Description: Wolf-Nsc is numerical flow solver solving steady or unsteady turbulent compressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. The available turbulent models are the Spalart-Almaras and the Menter SST k-omega. A mixed finite volume - finite element numerical method is used for the discretization. Second order spatial accuracy is reached thanks to MUSCL type methods. Explicit or implicit time integration are available. It also resolved dual (adjoint) problem and compute error estimate for mesh adaptation.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Frederic Alauzet

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet

5.1.12   Wolf-Shrimp

Keyword: Scientific calculation

Functional Description: Wolf-Shrimp is a generic mesh partitioner for parallel mesh generation and parallel computation. It can partition planar, surface (manifold and non manifold), and volume domain. Several partitioning methods are available: Hilbert-based, BFS, BFS with restart. It can work with or without weight function and can correct the partitions to have only one connected component.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Frederic Alauzet

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet

5.1.13   Wolf-Spyder

Keyword: Scientific calculation

Functional Description: Wolf-Spyder is a metric-based high-order mesh quality optimizer using vertex smoothing and edge/face swapping.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Frederic Alauzet

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet

5.1.14 Wolf-Xfem

Keyword: Scientific calculation

Functional Description: Wolf-Xfem is a tool providing the mesh of the intersection between a surface mesh and a volume mesh.

URL: https://pages.saclay.inria.fr/frederic.alauzet/software.html

Contact: Frederic Alauzet

Participants: Adrien Loseille, Frederic Alauzet

6 New results

6.1 Mesh glossary

> **Participants:** Frédéric Alauzet, Paul Louis George *(correspondant)*, Adrien Loseille.

After the publication of the third volume on Meshing, Geometric Modeling and Numerical Simulation 3 was published in 2020 [16, 35, 34], books also available in French [15, 36, 33], a glossary in French on mesh has been written [6]. From A to Z, this glossary provides definitions and gives a number of comments. Moreover, subtilities are given probably not so easy to understand even by people familiar with the french dialect.

6.2 Numerical simulations on *GPU* with the `GMlib` v3.0 library

> **Participants:** Loïc Maréchal *(correspondant)*, Julien Vanharen.

The whole library was completely rewritten to implement an automatic finite-element shader generation that converts a simple user source code into an *OpenCL* source that is in compiled on the GPU at run time. The library handles all meshing data structures, from file reading, renumbering and vectorizing for efficient access on the GPU, and transfer to the graphic card, all automatically and transparently. With this framework, the user can focus on the calculation part of the code, known as kernel, as all the rest is taken care of by the library. The OpenCL language was chosen as it is hardware agnostic and runs on any GPU (Intel, Nvidia and AMD) and can also use the multicore and vector capacities of modern CPUs.

Julien Vanharen developed a basic heat solver using the v3.0 as a test case so we could validate the software with various boundary conditions, calculation scheme, unstructured meshes and different memory access patterns with success. Even with basic calculation which does not stress the full GPU's power, we achieved two orders of magnitude greater speed against a single CPU core and one order of magnitude compared to a multithreaded implementation. As Julien moved to ONERA, we plan on setting up a collaboration between the two teams to implement more complex HPC codes.

6.3 High Order Meshing: from a straight mesh to a curved one

> **Participants:** Loïc Maréchal *(correspondant)*.

Works continued on `P1toPk`, a software that transform any first order hybrid mesh (triangles, quads, tets, pyramids, prisms and hexes) into a second order one while respecting a prescribe surface curvature. Efforts were made on boundary layers curving, which was challenging because jacobian validity is harder

to guarantee as the elements get highly stretched, and a lot effort were also made to speed up the code by optimizing mathematical operations and parallelizing them. The code is now mature enough to be sent to industrial users for real life usage and we are waiting for valuable feedback in the present year.

6.4 Pixel-exact rendering for high-order meshes and solutions

Participants: Adrien Loseille *(correspondant)*, Rémi Feuillet, Matthieu Maunoury.

Classic visualization software like ParaView [44], TecPlot [76], FieldView [40], Ensight [10], Medit [25], Vizir (OpenGL legacy based version) [47], Gmsh [37], . . . historically rely on the display of linear triangles with linear solutions on it. More precisely, each element of the mesh is divided into a set of elementary triangles. At each vertex of the elementary triangle is attached a value and an associated color. The value and the color inside the triangle is then deduced by a linear interpolation inside the triangle. With the increase of high-order methods and high-order meshes, these softwares adapted their technology by using subdivision methods. If a mesh has high-order elements, these elements are subdivided into a set of linear triangles in order to approximate the shape of the high-order element [82]. Likewise, if a mesh has a high-order solution on it, each element is subdivided into smaller linear triangles in order to approximate the rendering of the high-order solution on it. The subdivision process can be really expensive if it is done in a naive way. For this reason, mesh adaptation procedures [68, 57, 56] are used to efficiently render high-order solutions and high-order elements using the standard linear rendering approaches. Even when optimized these approaches do have a huge RAM memory footprint as the subdivision is done on CPU in a preprocessing step. Also the adaptive subdivision process can be dependent on the palette (*i.e.* the range of values where the solution is studied) as the color only vary when the associated value is in this range. In this case, a change of palette inevitably imposes a new adaptation process. Finally, the use of a non conforming mesh adaptation can lead to a discontinuous rendering for a continuous solution. Other approaches are specifically devoted to high-order solutions and are based on ray casting [62, 63, 65]. The idea is for a given pixel, to find exactly its color. To do so, for each pixel, rays are cast from the position of the screen in the physical space and their intersection with the scene determines the color for the pixel. If high-order features are taken into account, it determines the color exactly for this pixel. However, this method is based on two non-linear problems: the root-finding problem and the inversion of the geometrical mapping. These problems are really costly and do not compete with the interactivity of the standard linear rendering methods even when these are called with a subdivision process unless they are done conjointly on the GPU. However, synchronization between GPU and `OpenGL` buffer are non-trivial combination.
The proposed method intends to be a good compromise between both methods. It does guarantee pixel-exact rendering on linear elements without extra subdivision or ray casting and it keeps the interactivity of a classical method. Moreover, the subdivision of the curved entities is done on the fly on GPU which leaves the RAM memory footprint at the size of the loaded mesh.

We are developing a software, `ViZiR 4`, with exact non linear solution rendering to address the high-order visualization challenge [1]. `ViZiR 4` is bundled as a light, simple and interactive high-order meshes and solutions visualization software. It is based on OpenGL 4 core graphic pipeline. The use of OpenGL Shading Language (GLSL) allows to perform pixel exact rendering of high order solutions on straight elements and almost pixel exact rendering on curved elements (high-order meshes). `ViZiR 4` enables the representation of high order meshes (up to degree 4) and high order solutions (up to degree 10) with pixel exact rendering. Furthermore, in comparison with standard rendering techniques based on legacy OpenGL, the use of OpenGL 4 core version improves the speed of rendering, reduces the memory footprint and increases the flexibility. Many post-processing tools, such as picking, hiding surfaces, isolines, clipping, capping, are integrated to enable on the fly the analysis of the numerical results.

We added in `ViZiR 4` the visualization of polygonal and polyhedral meshes. Such meshes offer flexibility as the number of vertices and faces are arbitrary. Dual meshes are examples of such meshes. Many visualization softwares do not handle polygons and when it is the case, the interactivity is often

limited. We showed that our graphic pipeline can be used to render polygons. New keywords have been introduced in the `libMeshb` library to define these polygons and polyhedra. Furthermore, some functions have been introduced to ease the access of these data. New tessellation algorithms have been developed and do not add extra vertices in the tessellation as the aim is to minimize the number of triangles to maximize the rendering performances.

6.5   High-order mesh generation

Participants: Frédéric Alauzet *(correspondant)*, Adrien Loseille, Rémi Feuillet, Dave Marcum, Lucien Rochery.

For years, the resolution of numerical methods has consisted in solving Partial Derivative Equations by means of a piecewise linear representation of the physical phenomenon on linear meshes. This choice was merely driven by computational limitations. With the increase of the computational capabilities, it became possible to increase the polynomial order of the solution while keeping the mesh linear. This was motivated by the fact that even if the increase of the polynomial order requires more computational resources per iteration of the solver, it yields a faster convergence of the approximation error [3] [81] and it enables to keep track of unsteady features for a longer time and with a coarser mesh than with a linear approximation of the solution. However, in [18, 45], it was theoretically shown that for elliptic problems the optimal convergence rate for a high-order method was obtained with a curved boundary of the same order and in [13], evidence was given that without a high-order representation of the boundary the studied physical phenomenon was not exactly solved using a high-order method. In [85], it was even highlighted that, in some cases, the order of the mesh should be of a higher degree than the one of the solver. In other words, if the used mesh is not a high-order mesh, then the obtained high-order solution will never reliably represent the physical phenomenon.

Based on these issues, the development of high-order mesh generation procedures appears mandatory [23]. To generate high-order meshes, several approaches exist. The first approach was tackled twenty years ago [21] for both surface and volume meshing. At this moment the idea was to use all the meshing tools to get a valid high-order mesh. The same problem was revisited a few years later in [74] for bio-medical applications. In these first approaches and in all the following, the underlying idea is to use a linear mesh and elevate it to the desired order. Some make use of a PDE or variational approach to do so [7, 66, 24, 59, 80, 83, 38], others are based on optimization and smoothing operations and start from a linear mesh with a constrained high-order curved boundary in order to generate a suitable high-order mesh [43, 28, 78]. Also, when dealing with Navier-Stokes equations, the question of generating curved boundary layer meshes (also called viscous meshes) appears. Most of the time, dedicated approaches are set-up to deal with this problem [60, 42]. In all these techniques, the key feature is to find the best deformation to be applied to the linear mesh and to optimize it. The prerequisite of these methods is that the initial boundary is curved and will be used as an input data. A natural question is consequently to study an optimal position of the high-order nodes on the curved boundary starting from an initial linear or high-order boundary mesh. This can be done in a coupled way with the volume [69, 79] or in a preprocessing phase [70, 71]. In this process, the position of the nodes is set by projection onto the CAD geometry or by minimization of an error between the surface mesh and the CAD surface. Note that the vertices of the boundary mesh can move as well during the process. In the case of an initial linear boundary mesh with absence of a CAD geometry, some approaches based on normal reconstructions can be used to create a surrogate for the CAD model [82, 39]. Finally, a last question remains when dealing with such high-order meshes: Given a set of degrees of freedom, is the definition of these objects always valid ? Until the work presented in [29, 41, 30], no real approach was proposed to deal in a robust way with the validity of high-order elements. The novelty of these approaches was to see the geometrical elements and their Jacobian as Bézier entities. Based on the properties of the Bézier representation, the validity of the element is concluded in a robust sense, while the other methods were only using a sampling of the Jacobian to conclude about its sign without any warranty on the whole validity of the elements.

---

[3]The order of convergence is the degree of the polynomial approximation plus one.

In this context, several issues have been addressed : the analogy between high-order and Bézier elements, the development of high-order error estimates suitable for parametric high-order surface mesh generation and the generalization of mesh optimization operators and their applications to curved mesh generation, moving-mesh methods, boundary layer mesh generation and mesh adaptation.

Metric fields are the link between particular error estimates - be they for low-order [50, 51] or high-order methods [20], for the solution of a PDE [48] or a quantity of interest derived from it such as drag or lift [46] - and automatic mesh adaptation. In the case of linear meshes, a metric field locally distorts the measure or distance such that, when the mesh adaptation algorithm has constructed an uniform mesh in the induced Riemannian space, it is strongly anisotropic in the usual Euclidean (physicial) space. As such, anisotropy arises naturally, without it ever being explicitely sought by the (re)meshing algorithm.

We seek to extend these principles of metric-based P^1 adaptation to high-order meshes. In particular, we expect the meshing process to naturally recover curvature from the variations of the metric field, very much like P^1 remeshing recovers anisotropy from local values of the metric field. As such, curvature must be the consequence of a simple geometric property computed in the Riemannian space, like anisotropy is the consequence of unitness in a space where distances are distorted. Therefore, we propose Riemannian edge length minimization (or geodesic seeking as in [84]) as the driver for metric field curvature recovery.

The metric field's own intrinsic curvature may derive from any error estimate, be it boundary approximation error [27, 22] or an interpolation error estimate. So far, interpolation error estimates on high-order elements are limited to isotropy ([17] in L^2 and [61] in L^1 norms) or require that the curvature of the element be bounded, essentially establishing a range where it may be considered linear [11].

Robustness and modularity of the general remeshing algorithm may be derived from the use of a single topological operator such as the cavity operator [52, 53, 49]. This operator remeshes element subsets (so-called cavities) by reconnecting cavity boundary nodes to a given point in space, already belonging to the mesh or otherwise. This very elementary operation can handle the most common topological operations: insertions, collapses, edge or face swaps. Therefore, it is central both to mesh adaptation (node creation, deletion) and to mesh optimization (mainly swaps).

A new P^2 cavity operator was devised and implemented in $3D$. Volume curvature is driven, first, by a purely metric-based curving procedure using a Riemannian edge length minimization algorithm. The considered cost-function is strongly non-linear, as it depends on the geometry of the edge as well as on the metric field along the edge which, itself, depends on the geometry of the edge. Analytical derivatives of this quantity with regards to edge shape were computed nonetheless, which was necessary to implement optimization in $3D$ with reasonable CPU costs. This first required the extension of the log-euclidean metric interpolation scheme to high-order elements. A version for simplices of all dimensions and degrees was proposed based on Lagrange interpolation of the log-metrics. This means that the metric field along the P^2 edge can now be described in terms of the metrics at the two extremities and at the Lagrange control point. The dependency of the metric at the Lagrange control point must in turn be identified. It is based on localization of the point in a so-called back-mesh and, again, log-euclidean metric interpolation in the host back element. At last, derivatives of Riemannian edge length could be computed, and were used to implement a Newton minimization algorithm that is capable of finding local minima of edge length at a rate of 4e4 edges per second while remaining entirely consistent with the log-euclidean framework used in our anisotropic remeshing algorithm. Secondly, final cavities are made valid or improved using a new Jacobian correction algorithm that can very quickly optimize entire shells of edges using a recently identified property of Jacobian determinant control coefficients, namely that they depend linearly on a given control point. Using the very powerful simplex algorithm for linear programs, we can find a global solution to maximizing the minimum Jacobian of all elements sharing an edge in a single pass (with average runtime of 5e-5 seconds on a laptop). Three communications have been made on the subject in the last year, with peer-reviewed proceedings [3, 4, 2]. Applications on large meshes deriving from industrial cases were carried out, illustrating the ability of these optimization procedures to curved meshes of 20M elements in under 10min.

6.6 Unstructured anisotropic mesh adaptation for 3D RANS turbomachinery applications

Participants: Frédéric Alauzet, Adrien Loseille *(correspondant)*, Julien Vanharen.

The scope of this paper is to demonstrate the viability and efficiency of unstructured anisotropic mesh adaptation techniques to turbomachinery applications. The main difficulty in turbomachinery is the periodicity of the domain that must be taken into account inthe solution mesh-adaptive process. The periodicity is strongly enforced in the flow solver using ghost cells to minimize the impact on the source code. For the mesh adaptation, the local remeshing is done in two steps. First, the inner domain is remeshed with frozen periodic frontiers, and, second, the periodic surfaces are remeshed after moving geometrice ntities from one side of the domain to the other. One of the main goal of this work is to demonstrate how mesh adaptation, thanks to its automation, is able to generate meshes that are extremely difficult to envision and almost impossible to generate manually. This study only considers feature-based error estimate based on the standard multi-scale Lpinterpolation error estimate. We presents all the specific modifications that have been introduced in the adaptive process to deal with periodic simulations used for turbomachinery applications. The periodic mesh adaptation strategy is then tested and validated on the LS89 high pressure axial turbine vane and the NASA Rotor 37 test cases.

6.7   Mixed-element mesh adaptation for CFD simulations

Participants: Frédéric Alauzet *(correspondant)*, Lucille Marie Tenkès, Julien Vanharen, Adrien Loseille, Cosimo Tarsia Morisco.

Due to their various nature, physical phenomena that we seek to capture in CFD simulations may have specific specific mesh requirements. For example, to solve the boundary layer, some numerical schemes favor structured meshes respecting alignment with the boundary of the domain, while these constraints are not necessary elsewhere. Our approach is to use the techniques of metric-based mesh adaptation to generate a mixed-element mesh that can fulfill these different mesh requirements. This approach is based on the *metric-orthogonal* point-placement, creating some structured parts from the intrinsic directional information bore by the metric-field. Some unstructured areas may remain where structure is not needed. The main goals of this work are to improve the orthogonality of the output mesh and its alignment with the metric field. This work has three main axes. First, we have improved the preprocessing gradation step to smooth the metric field and improve the orthogonality of the final mesh. Then, we have studied two methods to obtain quadrilaterals: one using an a priori quadrilaterals recombination, the other detecting straightforwardly the orthogonal patterns during the re-meshing step. Finally, the work on the solver Wolf has been carried on and corrected to perform robust and accurate simulations on mixed-element meshes. These three developments were embodied in a mixed-element adaptation loop. The first two topics are detailed in what follows.

Enhanced metric gradation correction   The previously described generation method highly relies on the metric field. However, a metric field computed from a solution during the adaptation process is most of the time quite messy and shows abrupt size variations. In standard mesh adaptation, it leads to low-quality elements. In orthogonal mesh adaptation, it additionally breaks the alignment and the structure of the output mesh. An additional step to smooth the input metric field is therefore required. In the context of mixed-element mesh adaptation, this gradation correction process has been modified to improve the number and the quality of the quadrilaterals in the final mesh. Further developments have been considered on this topic, in particular to increase the robustness of the method. Results have been published in [77].

A posteriori **and** *a priori* **mesh generation**   Metric-orthogonal point-placement is currently used to generate quasi-structured meshes with right-angled triangles where the metric is the most anisotropic and unit triangles elsewhere. The aim of this work is to recover some quadrilaterals in the structure. To do so, two approaches can be considered: an *a posteriori* quadrilateral recombination based on geometrical

criteria, and an *a priori* quadrilateral detection. The latter is more straightforward because it uses directly the point-placement information. A framework was established to set up this method. Developments and preliminary results were presented in [5].

In order to obtain a correct metric field on hybrid meshes, a robust hybrid solver is mandatory. When dealing with 2D (3D) elements different from triangles (tetrahedra), the most tricky aspect is the gradient formulation. This is due to the fact that within a Finite Elements interpolation framework, the gradient on an element with more than three nodes (i.e. not simplicial complex) is not element-wise constant. This brings many added difficulties to the flux balance computation. A first attempt at performing inviscid and laminar simulations on hybrid meshes was to approximate gradients on quadrilaterals with its iso-barycenter values [5]. The extension of this formulation to turbulent flows, however highlighted a lack of efficiency and robustness. For this reason, a APFE (APproximated Finite-Element) method [67] has been implemented and as well extended to a implicit time integration scheme. This approach turns out to be very efficient and robust in many fully-structured mesh verification cases. The extension to 3D cases (prisms and pyramids) is ongoing.

6.8   Coupled flow and adjoint solver

Participants: Francesco Clerici *(correspondant)*, Frédéric Alauzet.

When solving the RANS equations, usually one decouples the equations relative to the mean-flow and the equations relative to turbulence. This division provides two separated systems to be solved at each time step, one relative to the mean-flow and the other relative to the turbulence. This presents two main drawbacks: in the flow solver, the Jacobian of the system lacks of the terms bounding the mean-flow and the turbulence, and this can slow down the residual convergence. The second drawback regards the adjoint problem, which consists into a linear system assembled with the transpose of the Jacobian matrix of the residuals and, on the right-hand side, the derivative of an aeronautical coefficient with respect to the flow variables. A Jacobian missing the coupling terms between the mean-flow and the turbulence provides a null adjoint turbulent viscosity, and this is a limitation in the development of more complex discretization error estimates. We have therefore developed a 2D version of the coupled flow and adjoint solver which includes in the Jacobian the coupling terms between the mean-flow and the turbulence. When have tested this method on the 2D geometry provided for the 4th CFD AIAA High Lift Prediction Workshop, and the result provided several features to emerge, such as a high mesh refinement inside the boundary layer of the leading edges, and inside the regions of high turbulence destruction. The work is pursued in collaboration with Philippe Spalart (Boeing), and is presented in [19].

6.9   Turbulent error estimate

Participants: Francesco Clerici *(correspondant)*, Frédéric Alauzet.

Goal-oriented mesh adaptation is a methodology used to adapt the mesh in order to minimize the discretization error commited on a functional depending on the solution. As an intermediate step, one finds an upper bound to such discretization error taking the form of a weighted sum of the interpolation errors of the solution, and such upper bound is called *error estimate*. Regarding Wolf and the RANS equations, up to now we have focused only on the mean-flow part of such an error estimate, meaning that the terms coming from turbulence have been neglected. The scope of this work is to enrich the error estimate with the information coming from the turbulence. In particular, the methodology has been tested on the 2D geometry provided for the 4th CFD AIAA High Lift Prediction Workshop, providing high mesh refinements on the boundaries of the turbulent regions. Also this work is pursued in collaboration with Philippe Spalart (Boeing), and is presented in [19].

7 Bilateral contracts and grants with industry

7.1 Bilateral contracts with industry

Participants: Frédéric Alauzet *(correspondant)*, Adrien Loseille.

- Boeing
- Safran Tech

7.2 Bilateral grants with industry

Participants: Frédéric Alauzet *(correspondant)*, Adrien Loseille.

- Projet RAPID DGA

ANR IMPACTS 2018-2021 Ideal Mesh generation for modern solvers and comPuting ArchiteCTureS.

- Coordinateur : Adrien Loseille

- The rapid improvement of computer hardware and physical simulation capabilities has revolutionized science and engineering, placing computational simulation on an equal footing with theoretical analysis and physical experimentation. This rapidly increasing reliance on the predictive capabilities has created the need for rigorous control of numerical errors which strongly impact these predictions. A rigorous control of the numerical error can be only achieved through mesh adaptivity. In this context, the role of mesh adaptation is prominent, as the quality of the mesh, its refinement, and its alignment with the physics are major contributions to these numerical errors. The IMPACTS project aims at pushing the envelope in mesh adaptation in the context of large size, very high fidelity simulations by proposing a new adaptive mesh generation framework. This framework will be based on new theoretical developments on Riemannian metric-field and on innovative algorithmic developments coupling a unique cavity-operator with an advancing-point techniques in order to produce high quality hybrid, curved and adapted meshes.

8 Scientific production

8.1 Publications of the year

International journals

[1] R. Feuillet, M. Maunoury and A. Loseille. 'On pixel-exact rendering for high-order mesh and solution'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 424 (Jan. 2021), p. 109860. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcp.2020.109860. URL: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02950321.

International peer-reviewed conferences

[2] L. Rochery and A. Loseille. 'Developments on the P2 cavity operator and Bézier Jacobian correction using the simplex algorithm.' In: AIAA SCITECH 2022 Forum. San Diego, United States: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 3rd Jan. 2021. DOI: 10.2514/6.2022-0389. URL: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03521594.

[3] L. Rochery and A. Loseille. 'P2 Cavity Operator and Riemannian Curved Edge Length Optimization: a Path to High-Order Mesh Adaptation'. In: AIAA Scitech Forum 2021. Virtual, United States: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 11th Jan. 2021. DOI: 10.2514/6.2021-1781. URL: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03163746.

[4]   L. Rochery and A. Loseille. 'P2 Cavity Operator with Metric-Based Volume and Surface Curvature'. In: 29th International Meshing Roundtable (IMR). Virtual, France, 21st June 2021. URL: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03521606.

[5]   L.-M. Tenkes, F. Alauzet and J. Vanharen. 'Hybrid anisotropic mesh adaptation using metric-orthogonal approach'. In: AIAA Scitech 2021 Forum. Virtual, United States: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 11th Jan. 2021. DOI: 10.2514/6.2021-1779. URL: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03163869.

Reports & preprints

[6]   P.-L. George, F. Alauzet and A. Loseille. *Le glossaire du maillage*. RT-0515. INRIA, 7th Sept. 2021. URL: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03337014.

8.2   Cited publications

[7]   R. Abgrall, C. Dobrzynski and A. Froehly. 'A method for computing curved meshes via the linear elasticity analogy, application to fluid dynamics problems'. In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 76.4 (2014), pp. 246–266.

[8]   F. Alauzet and A. Loseille. 'A decade of progress on anisotropic mesh adaptation for Computational Fluid Dynamics'. In: *Comput. Aided Des.* 72 (2016), pp. 13–39.

[9]   F. Alauzet and A. Loseille. 'High Order Sonic Boom Modeling by Adaptive Methods'. In: *J. Comp. Phys.* 229 (2010), pp. 561–593.

[10]   Ansys Inc. *Ensight*. https://www.ansys.com/en/products/platform/ansys-ensight.

[11]   T. Apel. *Anisotropic finite elements: local estimates and applications*. Vol. 3. Teubner Stuttgart, 1999.

[12]   N. Barral, G. Olivier and F. Alauzet. 'Metric-based anisotropic mesh adaptation for three-dimensional time-dependent problems involving moving geometries'. In: *J. Comp. Phys.* 331 (2017), pp. 157–187.

[13]   F. Bassi and S. Rebay. 'High-order accurate discontinuous finite element solution of the 2D Euler equations'. In: *Journal of computational physics* 138.2 (1997), pp. 251–285.

[14]   H. Borouchaki, P. Laug and P. George. 'Parametric surface meshing using a combined advancing-front – generalized-Delaunay approach'. In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 49.1-2 (2000), pp. 233–259.

[15]   H. Borouchaki and P. L. George. *Maillage, modélisation géométrique et simulation numérique 1: Fonctions de forme, triangulations et modélisation géométrique*. Vol. 1. ISTE Group, 2017.

[16]   H. Borouchaki and P. L. George. *Meshing, Geometric Modeling and Numerical Simulation 1: Form Functions, Triangulations and Geometric Modeling*. John Wiley & Sons, 2017.

[17]   L. Botti. 'Influence of Reference-to-Physical Frame Mappings on Approximation Properties of Discontinuous Piecewise Polynomial Spaces'. In: *Journal of Scientific Computing* 52 (Sept. 2012). DOI: 10.1007/s10915-011-9566-3.

[18]   P. G. Ciarlet and P.-A. Raviart. 'The combined effect of curved boundaries and numerical integration in isoparametric finite element methods'. In: *The mathematical foundations of the finite element method with applications to partial differential equations*. Elsevier, 1972, pp. 409–474.

[19]   F. Clerici, P. Spalart and F. Alauzet. 'Coupled adjoint solver and turbulent error estimate for anisotropic mesh adaptation in high-fidelity RANS simulations.' In: *AIAA J.* (2022).

[20]   O. Coulaud and A. Loseille. 'Very High Order Anisotropic Metric-Based Mesh Adaptation in 3D'. In: *Procedia Engineering* 163 (2016). 25th International Meshing Roundtable, pp. 353–365. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.11.071. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S187770581633380X.

[21]   S. Dey, R. M. O'bara and M. S. Shephard. 'Curvilinear Mesh Generation in 3D'. In: *Proceedings of the 7th International Meshing Roundtable*. 1999, pp. 407–417.

[22]   R. Feuillet, O. Coulaud and A. Loseille. 'Anisotropic Error Estimate for High-order Parametric Surface Mesh Generation'. In: *28th International Meshing Roundtable*. Buffalo, NY, United States, Oct. 2019. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3653371. URL: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02345068.

[23]   R. Feuillet, A. Loseille and F. Alauzet. 'Optimization of P2 meshes and applications'. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 124 (Apr. 2020), p. 102846. DOI: 10.1016/j.cad.2020.102846. URL: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02554187.

[24]   M. Fortunato and P.-O. Persson. 'High-order Unstructured Curved Mesh Generation Using the Winslow Equations'. In: *J. Comput. Phys.* 307 (Feb. 2016), pp. 1–14.

[25]   P. J. Frey. *Medit: An interactive mesh visualization software, INRIA Technical Report RT0253*. 2001.

[26]   P. Frey. 'About surface remeshing'. In: *Proceedings of the 9th international meshing roundtable*. New Orleans, LO, USA, 2000, pp. 123–136.

[27]   P. J. Frey. *About Surface Remeshing*. 2000.

[28]   A. Gargallo-Peiró, X. Roca, J. Peraire and J. Sarrate. 'Defining quality measures for mesh optimization on parameterized CAD surfaces'. In: *Proceedings of the 21st International Meshing Roundtable*. Springer, 2013, pp. 85–102.

[29]   P. L. George and H. Borouchaki. 'Construction of tetrahedral meshes of degree two'. In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 90.9 (2012), pp. 1156, 1182.

[30]   P. L. George, H. Borouchaki and N. Barral. 'Geometric validity (positive jacobian) of high-order Lagrange finite elements, theory and practical guidance'. In: *Engineering with computers* 32.3 (2016), pp. 405–424.

[31]   P. George and H. Borouchaki. '"Ultimate" robustness in meshing an arbitrary polyhedron'. In: *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng* 58.7 (2003), pp. 1061–1089.

[32]   P. George, F. Hecht and E. Saltel. 'Automatic mesh generator with specified boundary'. In: *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 92 (1991), pp. 269–288.

[33]   P. L. George, F. Alauzet, A. Loseille and L. Maréchal. *Maillage, modélisation géométrique et simulation numérique 3: Stockage, transformation, utilisation et visualisation de maillage*. Vol. 4. ISTE Group, 2020.

[34]   P. L. George, F. Alauzet, A. Loseille and L. Maréchal. *Meshing, Geometric Modeling and Numerical Simulation 3: Storage, Visualization and In Memory Strategies*. John Wiley & Sons, 2020.

[35]   P. L. George, H. Borouchaki, F. Alauzet, P. Laug, A. Loseille and L. Maréchal. *Meshing, Geometric Modeling and Numerical Simulation, Volume 2: Metrics, Meshes and Mesh Adaptation*. John Wiley & Sons, 2019.

[36]   P. L. George, H. Borouchaki, F. Alauzet, P. Laug, A. Loseille and L. Maréchal. *Maillage, modélisation géométrique et simulation numérique 2: Métriques, maillages et adaptation de maillages*. Vol. 2. ISTE Group, 2018.

[37]   C. Geuzaine and J.-F. Remacle. 'Gmsh: A 3-D finite element mesh generator with built-in pre- and post-processing facilities'. In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 79.11 (2009), pp. 1309–1331.

[38]   R. Hartmann and T. Leicht. 'Generation of unstructured curvilinear grids and high-order discontinuous Galerkin discretization applied to a 3D high-lift configuration'. In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids* 82.6 (2016), pp. 316–333.

[39]   J. Ims and Z. J. Wang. 'Automated low-order to high-order mesh conversion'. In: *Engineering with Computers* 35.1 (Jan. 2019), pp. 323–335.

[40]   Intelligent Light. *FieldView*. http://www.ilight.com/en/products/fieldview-18.

[41]   A. Johnen, J.-F. Remacle and C. Geuzaine. 'Geometrical validity of curvilinear finite elements'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 233.15 (2013), pp. 359–372.

[42]   S. L. Karman. 'Curving for Viscous Meshes'. In: *27th International Meshing Roundtable*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 303–325.

[43]   S. L. Karman, J. T. Erwin, R. S. Glasby and D. Stefanski. 'High-Order Mesh Curving Using WCN Mesh Optimization'. In: *46th AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference*. 0 vols. AIAA AVIATION Forum. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2016.

[44]   KitWare Inc. *ParaView*. https://www.paraview.org/.

[45]   M. Lenoir. 'Optimal isoparametric finite elements and error estimates for domains involving curved boundaries'. In: *SIAM journal on numerical analysis* 23.3 (1986), pp. 562–580.

[46]   A. Loseille, A. Dervieux and F. Alauzet. 'Fully anisotropic goal-oriented mesh adaptation for 3D steady Euler equations'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 229.8 (2010), pp. 2866–2897. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2009.12.021. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999109007001.

[47]   A. Loseille, H. Guillard and A. Loyer. *An introduction to Vizir: an interactive mesh visualization and modification software*. EOCOE, Rome, Italy. 2016.

[48]   A. Loseille. 'Anisotropic 3D hessian-based multi-scale and adjoint-based mesh adaptation for Computational fluid dynamics: Application to high fidelity sonic boom prediction.' Theses. Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris VI, Dec. 2008. URL: https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00361961.

[49]   A. Loseille. 'Recent Improvements on Cavity-Based Operators for RANS Mesh Adaptation'. In: *2018 AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting*. DOI: 10.2514/6.2018-0922. eprint: https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/pdf/10.2514/6.2018-0922. URL: https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/abs/10.2514/6.2018-0922.

[50]   A. Loseille and F. Alauzet. 'Continuous mesh framework part I: well-posed continuous interpolation error'. In: *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* 49.1 (2011), pp. 38–60.

[51]   A. Loseille and F. Alauzet. 'Continuous mesh framework part II: validations and applications'. In: *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* 49.1 (2011), pp. 61–86.

[52]   A. Loseille, F. Alauzet and V. Menier. 'Unique cavity-based operator and hierarchical domain partitioning for fast parallel generation of anisotropic meshes'. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 85 (2017), pp. 53–67.

[53]   A. Loseille and R. Lohner. 'Cavity-Based Operators for Mesh Adaptation'. In: *51st AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition*. DOI: 10.2514/6.2013-152. eprint: https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/pdf/10.2514/6.2013-152. URL: https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/abs/10.2514/6.2013-152.

[54]   L. Maréchal. 'A new approach to octree-based hexahedral meshing'. In: 2001, pp. 209–221.

[55]   L. Maréchal. 'Advances in Octree-Based All-Hexahedral Mesh Generation: Handling Sharp Features'. In: *Proceedings of the 18th International Meshing Roundtable*. Salt Lake City, UT, USA, 2009, pp. 65–84.

[56]   M. Maunoury. 'Méthode de visualisation adaptée aux simulations d'ordre élevé. Application à la compression-reconstruction de champs rayonnés pour des ondes harmoniques.' Ph.D. Thesis. Université de Toulouse, Feb. 2019.

[57]   M. Maunoury, C. Besse, V. Mouysset, S. Pernet and P.-A. Haas. 'Well-suited and adaptive post-processing for the visualization of hp simulation results'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 375 (2018), pp. 1179–1204.

[58]   D. Mavriplis. 'Results from the 3rd Drag Prediction Workshop using NSU3D unstructured mesh solver'. In: *45th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting*. AIAA-2007-0256, Reno, NV, USA, Jan. 2007.

[59]   D. Moxey, D. Ekelschot, Ü. Keskin, S. Sherwin and J. Peirò. 'High-order curvilinear meshing using a thermo-elastic analogy'. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 72 (2016), pp. 130–139.

[60]   D. Moxey, M. Green, S. Sherwin and J. Peiró. 'An isoparametric approach to high-order curvilinear boundary-layer meshing'. In: *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 283 (2015), pp. 636–650.

[61] D. Moxey, S. P. Sastry and R. M. Kirby. 'Interpolation error bounds for curvilinear finite elements and their implications on adaptive mesh refinement'. In: *Journal of Scientific Computing* 78.2 (2019), pp. 1045–1062.

[62] B. Nelson, R. Haimes and R. M. Kirby. 'GPU-Based Interactive Cut-Surface Extraction From High-Order Finite Element Fields'. In: *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 17.12 (2011), pp. 1803–11.

[63] B. Nelson, E. Liu, R. M. Kirby and R. Haimes. 'ElVis: A System for the Accurate and Interactive Visualization of High-Order Finite Element Solutions'. In: *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 18.12 (2012), pp. 2325–2334.

[64] M. Park, J. Krakos, T. Michal, A. Loseille and J. Alonso. 'Unstructured Grid Adaptation: Status, Potential Impacts, and Recommended Investments Toward CFD Vision 2030'. In: *46th AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference*. AIAA Paper 2016-3323, Washington, D.C., USA, 2016.

[65] J. Peiró, D. Moxey, B. Jordi, S. J. Sherwin, B. Nelson, R. M. Kirby and R. Haimes. 'High-Order Visualization with ElVis'. In: *Notes on Numerical Fluid Mechanics and Multidisciplinary Design*. Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 521–534.

[66] P.-O. Persson and J. Peraire. 'Curved mesh generation and mesh refinement using Lagrangian solid mechanics'. In: *47th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including The New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition*. 2009, p. 949.

[67] G. Puigt, V. Auffray and J.-D. Müller. 'Discretisation of diffusive fluxes on hybrid grids'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 229.5 (2010), pp. 1425–1447.

[68] J.-F. Remacle, N. Chevaugeon, E. Marchandise and C. Geuzaine. 'Efficient visualization of high-order finite elements'. In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 69.5 (2007), pp. 750–771.

[69] E. Ruiz-Gironès, X. Roca and J. Sarrate. 'High-order mesh curving by distortion minimization with boundary nodes free to slide on a 3D CAD representation'. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 72 (2016). 23rd International Meshing Roundtable Special Issue: Advances in Mesh Generation, pp. 52–64.

[70] E. Ruiz-Gironès, J. Sarrate and X. Roca. 'Defining an L^2-disparity Measure to Check and Improve the Geometric Accuracy of Non-interpolating Curved High-order Meshes'. In: *Procedia Engineering* 124 (2015), pp. 122–134.

[71] E. Ruiz-Gironès, J. Sarrate and X. Roca. 'Generation of curved high-order meshes with optimal quality and geometric accuracy'. In: *Procedia engineering* 163 (2016), pp. 315–327.

[72] C. Rumsey and J. Slotnick. 'Overview and Summary of the Second AIAA High Lift Prediction Workshop'. In: *Journal of Aircraft* 52.4 (2015), pp. 1006–1025.

[73] C. Rumsey, J. Slotnick, M. Long, R. Stuever and T. Wayman. 'Summary of the first AIAA CFD High-Lift Prediction Workshop'. In: *Journal of Aircraft* 48.6 (2011), pp. 2068–2079.

[74] S. J. Sherwin and J. Peiró. 'Mesh generation in curvilinear domains using high-order elements'. In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 53.1 (2002), pp. 207–223.

[75] J. Slotnick, A. Khodadoust, J. Alonso, D. Darmofal, W. Gropp, E. Lurie and D. Mavriplis. *CFD Vision 2030 Study: A path to revolutionary computational aerosciences*. CR-2014-218178. NASA, Mar. 2014.

[76] TecPlot Inc. *TecPlot*. https://www.tecplot.com/.

[77] L.-M. Tenkes and F. Alauzet. 'Size Gradation Control for Anisotropic Hybrid Meshes'. In: *Numerical Geometry, Grid Generation and Scientific Computing*. Vol. 143. Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering. Springer International Publishing, May 2021, pp. 127–139. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-76798-3_8. URL: https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03536960.

[78] T. Toulorge, C. Geuzaine, J.-F. Remacle and J. Lambrechts. 'Robust untangling of curvilinear meshes'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 254 (2013), pp. 8–26.

[79] T. Toulorge, J. Lambrechts and J.-F. Remacle. 'Optimizing the geometrical accuracy of curvilinear meshes'. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 310 (2016), pp. 361–380.

[80]   M. Turner, J. Peirò and D. Moxey. 'A Variational Framework for High-order Mesh Generation'. In: *Procedia Engineering* 163.Supplement C (2016). 25th International Meshing Roundtable, pp. 340–352.

[81]   J. Vanharen. 'High-order numerical methods for unsteady flows around complex geometries'. Ph.D. Thesis. Université de Toulouse, 2017.

[82]   A. Vlachos, P. Jörg, C. Boyd and J. L. Mitchell. 'Curved PN Triangles'. In: *Proceedings of the 2001 Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics.* 2001, pp. 159–166.

[83]   Z. Q. Xie, R. Sevilla, O. Hassan and K. Morgan. 'The generation of arbitrary order curved meshes for 3D finite element analysis'. In: *Computational Mechanics* 51.3 (Mar. 2013), pp. 361–374.

[84]   R. Zhang, A. Johnen and J.-F. Remacle. 'Curvilinear mesh adaptation'. In: *International Meshing Roundtable.* Springer. 2018, pp. 57–69.

[85]   P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah. 'On the Necessity of Superparametric Geometry Representation for Discontinuous Galerkin Methods on Domains with Curved Boundaries'. In: *23rd AIAA Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference.* 2017.